1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON 3 EUGENE DIVISION 4 ELIZABETH HUNTER, et al., 5 Plaintiffs, Case No. 6:21-cv-474-AA 6 v. Monday, November 8, 2021 7 8:00 AM U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, 8 et al., 9 Defendants. 10 v. COUNCIL FOR CHRISTIAN 11 COLLEGES & UNIVERSITIES, 12 WESTERN BAPTIST COLLEGE d/b/a CORBAN UNIVERSITY, WILLIAM JESSUP UNIVERSITY, AND 13 PHOENIX SEMINARY, 14 Defendants-Intervenors. 15 16 17 18 19 PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION HEARING 20 VOLUME 3 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 21 22 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANN L. AIKEN 23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 24 25

1 TELEPHONIC or VIDEO APPEARANCES 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: 4 PAUL J.C. SOUTHWICK Paul Southwick Law, LLC 5 8420 N Ivanhoe Street, Suite 83929 Portland, OR 97203 6 MISHA ISAAK Perkins Coie, LLP 7 1120 NW Couch Street, 10th Floor Portland, OR 97209-4128 8 9 JOSEPH C. BAXTER 7706 SW 45th Ave., No. 76 10 Portland, OR 97219 11 12 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 13 ELLIOTT M. DAVIS Department of Justice, Civil Division 14 P.O. Box 883 Washington, D.C. 20044 15 HILARIE SNYDER 16 Department of Justice, Civil Division Federal Programs Branch 17 P.O. Box 883 Washington, D.C. 20044 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	TELEPHONIC or VIDEO APPEARANCES
2	(Continuing)
3	FOR THE INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS (WESTERN BAPTIST COLLEGE, WILLIAM JESSUP UNIVERSITY, and PHOENIX SEMINARY):
4	
5	RYAN J. TUCKER Alliance Defending Freedom
6	15100 N 90th Street Scottsdale, AZ 85260
7	
8	FOR THE INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS (COUNCIL FOR CHRISTIAN COLLEGES & UNIVERSITIES):
9 HERBERT G. GREY	HERBERT G. GREY
10	Herbert G. Grey, Attorney at Law 4800 SW Griffith Drive, Suite 320
11	Beaverton, OR 97005-8716
12	GENE SCHAERR Schaerr Jaffe, LLP
13	1717 K Street NW, Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20006
14	JOSHUA J. PRINCE
15	Schaerr Jaffe, LLP 1717 K Street NW, Suite 900
16	Washington, D.C. 20006
17	NICHOLAS P. MILLER Schaerr Jaffe, LLP
18	1717 K Street NW, Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20006
19	
20	
21	
22	COURT REPORTER: Kendra A. Steppler, RPR United States District Courthouse
23	405 E 8th Avenue, Room 2130 Eugene, OR 97401
24	(541)431-4112
25	* * *

1	INDEX
2	WITNESS PAGE
3	RANDOLPH WILLS
4	Direct Examination (Continued) by Ms. Snyder492 Cross-Examination by Mr. Southwick503
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Prince546
6	MARK REGNERUS
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Schaerr553 Cross-Examination by Mr. Southwick565
8	Direct Examination (Continued) by Mr. Schaerr573 Cross-Examination (Continued) by Mr. Southwick612
9	Redirect Examination by Mr. Schaerr632
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Now's the time set for Civil 2 Case No. 21-474, Hunter, et al. v. U.S. Department of Education, et al., for preliminary injunction hearing. If you 3 could please introduce yourselves for the record, beginning 4 with Plaintiffs. 5 MR. SOUTHWICK: Paul Southwick and Joseph Baxter for 6 7 the Plaintiffs. MS. SNYDER: Hilarie Snyder and Elliott Davis for the 8 9 Government Defendants. MR. SCHAERR: Gene Schaerr for the CCCU Intervenor. 10 And I'm here with Joshua Prince. And I think Nicholas Miller 11 12 will be joining us later. 13

MR. TUCKER: And Ryan Tucker on behalf of the Religious School Intervenors.

THE COURT: It sounds like we have pretty decent connections today. So I'm going to keep my fingers crossed we're not going to have some of the difficulties we had on Friday. We have the -- your witness for the Government -- Mr. Willis (sic) -- is he -- there he is. If he could just say his name.

THE WITNESS: Wills.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Wills -- I'm sorry. Mr. Wills, I wanted to make sure you popped up. I would remind you you're still under oath, and we'll continue. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Sure. 2 MS. SNYDER: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 4 R-A-N-D-O-L-P-H W-I-L-L-S, 5 having been previously sworn, testified as follows: 6 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) 8 BY MS. SNYDER: Mr. Wills, do you recall speaking on Friday about the 9 process OCR Enforcement uses to evaluate, investigate, and 10 resolve administrative complaints of discrimination? 11 12 Yes, I do. 13 Do you also recall speaking on Friday about how an 14 assurance of religious exemption letter may impact that 15 process? 16 Yes, I do. 17 I'd like to now turn your attention to the Plaintiffs in 18 this case. You are aware that Plaintiffs, or someone on their 19 behalf, filed administrative complaints with OCR alleging 20 violations of Title IX; correct? 21 Yes, I'm aware of that. 22 Could you please look at Government Defendant's Exhibit 3 in the binder in front of you? 23 24 MS. SNYDER: And, Beth, if you could call that up, 25 please.

BY MS. SNYDER:

1

2

8

9

19

- Q Could you identify Exhibit 3, please?
- A Yes. Exhibit 3 is a chart listing complaints filed -- 36
 complaints that have been filed recently with OCR. It provides
 a description of the status of those complaints and also
 indicates that the complainants or the individuals filing on
 complainants' behalf are Plaintiffs in the current action in
 - Q Okay. And who compiled Exhibit 3?
- 10 A Exhibit 3 was compiled by Melanie Velez, who is an acting enforcement director in OCR.
- 12 Q And does Exhibit 3 summarize records in OCR's possession?
- 13 A Yes, it does.

front of the Court.

- 14 | Q Do you see on the first page -- what is Column No. 1?
- 15 A Column No. 1 lists the docket number assigned to the individual case. This is OCR's docket number.
- 17 Q And does Column No. 1 accurately reflect docket numbers as 18 of Friday's date?
 - A Yes, it does.
- 20 | Q If you could please, what is Column 2?
- 21 A Column 2 includes the name of the recipient educational 22 institution that was raised in the complaint.
- Q And does Column 2 accurately reflect docket numbers as of Friday's date?
- 25 A Yes, it does.

- Q If you could, please, what is Column 3?
- A Column 3 indicates the date that each complaint was received by OCR.
 - Q And is that column accurate as of Friday's date?
- A Yes, it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

- Q Could you please explain what Column 4 is?
- 7 A Column 4 indicates the stage in OCR's processing that each 8 complaint is currently in.
 - Q And is Column 4 accurate as of Friday's date?
- 10 A Yes, it is.
- 11 Q Could you please explain what Column 5 is?
- 12 A Column 5 indicates the OCR regional office to which these 13 complaints -- these various complaints -- are assigned.
 - Q And is Column 5 accurate as of Friday's date?
- 15 A Yes, it is.
- 16 Q Could you please explain what Column 6 references?
- 17 A Column 6 references the name of the plaintiff in the
 18 instant action who is also involved as the complainant in the
 19 OCR administrative action.
- Q And does Column 6 accurately reflect that information as of Friday's date?
- 22 A Yes, it does.
- 23 Q Okay. If you could, please, look at the next column,
- 24 Column 7. Could you identify what Column 7 is?
- 25 | A Column 7 indicates whether the complainant in the OCR

administrative action has requested a 180-day waiver, and it also indicates the status of that waiver.

- Q Now, you spoke on Friday a little about waivers and timeliness. Could you explain to the Court what a 180-day waiver request is?
- A 180-day waiver request is a request to literally waive OCR's timeliness requirement. You may recall that I testified that OCR requires that complaints be filed within 180 days of the last alleged act of discrimination. When that is not the case, OCR provides, under certain conditions, for granting a waiver of that timeliness requirement so that the case can still move forward to investigation if that is appropriate.
- Q Okay. And so Column 7 then reflects whether or not a particular complainant filed a waiver request; is that correct?
- A Yes, it does.
- Q And does it reflect the status of that waiver request?
- A It does.

- 18 Q And is Column 7 accurate as of Friday's date?
- 19 A Yes, it is.
- 20 Q If you could, please, look at Column 8. Could you describe for the Court what that column is?
 - A Column 8 includes entries with regard to any communication or interaction that OCR has had with the complainant, slash,

 Plaintiffs in the administrative action.
 - Q And is Column 8 accurate as of Friday's date?

A Yes, it is.

1

2

3

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

- Q Sorry. Is it accurate as of Friday's date? Does it include all the communications through Friday?
- A I'm sorry. This does not include -- no. This column

 actually is accurate as of -- immediately prior to my

 deposition. Since then there have been further actions taken

 by OCR that are not reflected here.
 - Q Okay. So -- and your deposition was when so the Court knows?
- 10 A My deposition was on October 21.
- Q Okay. So that column is accurate as of approximately
 October -- or, excuse me -- as of approximately October 21st;
 is that correct?
- 14 A That's correct.
- Q Okay. Is there any other edits or problems with that -the accuracy of that column?
 - A Yes. There was -- the column, as of October 21st, included an entry by the Dallas office that was incorrect. And that has been corrected.
 - Q Okay. So this chart reflects, then, the correct status of communications with -- and interactions -- with the complainant or Plaintiffs through approximately October 21st; correct?
- 23 A That's correct.
- Q And is your understanding that, in some instances, additional communications have been made subsequent to

1 October 21st.

- A That is my understanding.
- Q Okay. Let's talk a little bit more specifically about the fourth column that says "stage."
 - A Yes.
 - Q What stage are Plaintiffs' administrative complaints in with OCR?
 - A Plaintiffs' administrative complaints are in the evaluation stage with OCR, with the exception of one complaint that has been dismissed.
 - Q Okay. So could you explain -- you said there's one exception where a complaint has been dismissed. Could you identify which complaint that is, please?
 - A Yes. That is Complaint Docket No. 08212212. It was a complaint filed -- one of the complaints filed against Brigham Young University.
 - Q And what is the status of that particular complaint?
- 18 A That complaint is currently closed because it has been dismissed.
 - Q Do you know why it was dismissed?
 - A Yes. It was dismissed under one of the Case Processing Manual provisions in Section 108, dismissed under Section 108(j), which provides that OCR must dismiss complaints where OCR learns that a similar complaint has been filed -- a complaint that was similar or identical allegations with same

operative facts have been filed in federal court or state court. And here we have an example of a filing in federal court. Which required the dismissal of this particular complaint.

- Q So with the exception of Brigham Young complaint that you identified, what stage are the remaining administrative complaints in?
- A The remaining administrative complaints are in the evaluation stage.

MS. SNYDER: Beth, you can take down that exhibit. BY MS. SNYDER:

- Q And could you just remind the Court, again, what the evaluation stage means?
- A The evaluation stage is the stage wherein OCR determines whether to either dismiss a complaint or to go forward to open an investigation. There are various considerations that OCR undertakes at that stage in no particular order. We determine whether we have subject-matter jurisdiction; personal jurisdiction; whether the complaint is filed timely; and, if not, whether a waiver has been requested, which, of course, it would be up to OCR to determine whether to grant.

We also determine whether this is the type of complaint that going forward would necessitate OCR having a signed consent form from a complainant, which we use so that we can actually reveal a certain amount -- a limited amount -- of

personal information to a recipient, once a complaint has been opened, to enable that recipient to appropriately respond to whatever the allegations are.

Q Is it accurate to say that the evaluation stage is the first stage --

A Oh.

Q -- in OCR's process of considering an administrative complaint?

A Absolutely.

Q You mentioned during your direct -- and I believe you spoke a little bit about this -- that some changes were implemented in September. Has OCR's process with respect to Plaintiffs' complaints -- has that been impacted at all, as a result of OCR's consideration of possible process changes around September 2021?

A The changes that we implemented in mid-September were to do with making sure we knew the complaints were filed with OCR; and that regional offices reported that to headquarters; and that, among other things, any action that the regional offices proposed to take would actually have to come to headquarters for review by headquarters and approval by the Assistant Secretary.

Q And in considering whether or not to make those changes, did that impact at all the timeline within which OCR is considering Plaintiffs' administrative complaints?

Q And do you recall when the pause was lifted?

- A I don't. It was not long after we actually said, "Please pause." It may have been two weeks later. It may have been toward the end of September, beginning of October, but I don't have an exact date.
- Q Now, who is the ultimate decision-maker on whether or not to dismiss any of Plaintiffs' administrative complaints at the evaluation stage?
- A The ultimate decision-maker on whether to dismiss any of Plaintiffs' complaints -- administrative complaints -- at the evaluation stage is the Assistant Secretary.
- Q And who is the ultimate decision-maker on whether or not to open any of Plaintiffs' administrative complaints for investigation?
- A That is likewise the Assistant Secretary.
 - Q And just to be clear, is the Assistant Secretary the decision-maker even if the religious exemption is not

dispositive?

- A Yes, it is. Under any circumstance, the Assistant Secretary will have to approve dismissals or openings.
- Q You testified that all but one of Plaintiffs' administrative complaints are still pending with OCR; is that correct?
- A That is correct.
- Q Do you know how OCR will resolve those complaints?
- A I do not.
- Q Why not?
- A We have a process in place for the resolution of those complaints, whatever the resolution might be. The process actually begins, very importantly, at the regional office level. I would not be -- I will not even know what that is until a proposal comes forward from the regional offices. None has -- with the exception of the one case that was dismissed, no other proposals have come forward, to my knowledge.
- Q Okay. And do you know when OCR will resolve those complaints?
- 20 A Likewise I do not know when they will be resolved.
 - Q Why not?
 - A Again, there's a process in place. The evaluation process is taking place at the regional office level. At a certain point, the regional office will make a proposal going forward.
- 25 I do not know when that will happen.

- Q Okay. And do you know whether or not OCR will dismiss any of these complaints based on the religious exemption?
 - A I do not know that either.
 - Q Why not?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A Again, there's a process for evaluation of these complaints. The evaluation may include looking at assurances of exemptions. But it is a process. And, as of this date, I have not had any indication that a proposal's been made to dismiss based on an assurance or religious exemption.
- 10 Q And just to be clear, is it -- is it your decision -- is
 11 it --
- 12 | A No.
- Q Is it your decision to dismiss those based on a religious exemption?
- 15 A No. No. It is not my decision. The decision is always
 16 the Assistant Secretary's.
 - Q Okay. And just very generally, what process will OCR -- excuse me -- very generally, what process will OCR Enforcement use to continue to consider Plaintiffs' administrative complaints?
 - A We use the process we use in every complaint in terms of the evaluation process and deciding to go forward.
 - Q Okay. And you say "the process you use in every complaint." Is that what you described during your testimony on Friday?

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

It's what I described during my testimony on Friday. also what is set forth with a degree of specificity in OCR's Case Processing Manual.

Thank you, Your Honor. I have no MS. SNYDER: further direct questions.

> THE COURT: Thank you. For the Plaintiffs, go ahead.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

Good morning, Mr. Wills. This is Paul Southwick. met each other a couple of weeks ago, if you recall, during your deposition. Thanks for being here again today.

You just testified that -- I'm going to paraphrase a little bit. But I think you just testified that "we use the same process for every complaint." So the same process for the Plaintiffs as you would use for any other complaint, and that that process is found in the OCR Processing Manual; is that fair to say?

- Yes, that's fair to say.
- Okay. Can you point to me where in the OCR Process -- in the OCR Processing -- sorry. Let me make sure I'm saying this right. Can you point to me where in the OCR Case Processing Manual -- so that's Government Exhibit 1 -- where it provides guidance for OCR investigators in how to handle religious exemption issues?

1 There's no specific provision in the Case Processing 2 Manual on how to handle religious exemption issues. there's no specificity in the Case Processing Manual for how to 3 handle other very specific issues that come before OCR. 4 are general guidelines laid out that we do consider in all 5 And certainly subject-matter jurisdiction is one of 6 7 those standards that we look to. But it's not fair to say that they're treated the same; 8 right? Because there's an extra layer of analysis required 9 10 when there's a religious exemption; is that correct? There's an extra -- the analysis of any given case will be 11 12 a little bit different, certainly. But, generally speaking, 13 these are the principles, are the standards, that we look to in 14 evaluating cases. So if I'm the OCR investigator, and a Plaintiff's 15 16 complaint has shown up on my desk, where should I turn -- where 17 should I turn in this Processing Manual to find out how I'm 18 going to analyze whether or not an existing religious exemption 19 covers the allegations in this complaint or not? Where am I 20 going to turn? 21 Well, you may not know that, actually, when you first 22 receive a complaint. You may not be able to identify the particular recipient as possibly an institution -- an 23

educational institution that's religious in nature.

wouldn't necessarily know that.

24

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

You'd simply move forward and look at timeliness, personal jurisdiction, whether a consent form is necessary. And I think we covered this on Friday. At that point, assuming all of those other basic prerequisites were met, you would issue a letter opening the complaint for investigation.

- So sometimes the initial investigator might have no idea of whether a religious exemption comes into play. Fair enough. In other circumstances, the initial investigator might know that there is a religious exemption issue there; is that correct?
- That is correct. Α
- And in that case, that initial investigator -- from your prior testimony, I believe you said that initial investigator is supposed to look and -- you know, if there -- and request to see if there's an assurance of exemption on file. And if there is, then that investigator is supposed to look at that assurance of exemption, see what is exempted and why, and then make a comparison and a determination on whether this complaint sitting in front of them should be closed or open. Is that generally correct?
- It -- generally, with a caveat. They're not making the final determination. They certainly would be looking -- they would speak to our Program Legal Group and determine whether, as they suspected, an assurance existed. And, if so, review that assurance to determine whether the exemption actually was

something that is covered -- that covers the allegations of the complaint.

But they would not be making the final determination.

They might, on the basis of that, determine that it appears that we do not have subject-matter jurisdiction because the exemption covers the allegations in the complaint, or they may make a preliminary determination that it does not, in which case they would be recommending that we move forward with issuing a letter notification.

- Q So they don't make the final determination, but they make the initial determination; is that correct?
- A They will propose a step to take. Yes. They will make a proposed determination.
- Q And what kind of policy guidance, or guidance at all, does OCR provide to those investigators to decide whether or not certain religious tenets conflict with compliance with Title IX with respect to that Title IX complaint sitting on their desk? What kind of guidance do you provide? Because the Case Processing Manual doesn't say anything about it. Have you provided any guidance for your initial investigators on how to make those determinations?
- A We've not provided any official guidance on how to make those determinations. And as you know, those determinations are reviewed at numerous levels, all the way up to the final level and the final decision-maker, which is the Assistant

Secretary.

- Q But another thing that can happen is that the initial investigator might not know that there is an assurance of exemption on file, as you suggested earlier, and, in that case, they might recommend opening an investigation. And if that is affirmed by the Assistant Secretary, then an investigation would be opened. And then the complainant would be notified that the investigation is opened, and the educational institution would also be notified the investigation was opened; is that correct?
- A That is correct.
- Q And at that point, after opening the investigation, somebody could point out, "Hey, there's an assurance of exemption on file." And then the complaint could be closed at that point on the basis of a preexisting assurance of exemption; is that correct?
- A That is a possibility. Yes, that is correct.
- Q And I believe that you testified earlier that, in fact, after the evaluation stage, you know, then there's the opening of the investigation. And then the investigation could take several months. There could be efforts at reaching resolution. The complainant could have been providing, you know, a lot of time and energy providing testimony and documents to OCR, as could the educational institution. Is that fair to say that sometimes these investigation processes can last several

months?

A Yes. Sometimes investigations can last several months.

Q And so despite all of that, and even if there were several months of negotiations and evidence and a lot of resources by OCR and a lot of personal emotional resources from the Title IX complainant, at any point during that process, even at the very end, an educational institution could assert an assurance of exemption. And if OCR determines that it applies, the allegations in the complaint -- everything -- would be over, and the complaint would be dismissed; is that correct?

A That remains a possibility. Yes, that is correct, as a possibility.

Q Earlier, Mr. Wills, you testified that all of the Plaintiffs' complaints are in the evaluation stage. So I just want to note that according to the Case Processing Manual -- so, again, Government Exhibit 1, Section 103, which is page 8 -- it says, "Once OCR determines pursuant to CPM Section 102" -- and Section 102 is whether information is subject to further processing -- that it says, "Once OCR determines, pursuant to CPM Section 102, that written information it has received is appropriate for further processing, the information is referred to as a complaint. And OCR will assign a case number to the complaint and establish a file."

So at the TRO stage, the Court's opinion recognized that the evidence at the time of the TRO in this case was that a lot

of the complaints had not even been assigned a case number.

But based on Exhibit 3 that we're looking at -- Government

Exhibit 3, which is the -- that chart of all the Plaintiffs'

complaints -- it's -- isn't it true that now all of Plaintiffs'

complaints have at least been assigned a case number and are at

the evaluation stage? Is that correct?

MS. SNYDER: Objection, Your Honor. He's misstated

testimony. The question is compound and confusing.

THE COURT: Rephrase your question. If you need to break it down, break it down.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

- Q Dr. Wills, is it accurate to say that all of Plaintiffs' complaints have at least satisfied the requirements for being assigned a case number because, in fact, they have been assigned a case number; is that correct?
- A That is correct.
- Q All right. So, at this point, OCR has determined that it has received written information such that it can move on to further processing of the complaints; is that correct?
- A That is correct.
- Q All right. And during your deposition, you testified -well, let me back up a little bit. So in this chart it states
 that all of the Plaintiffs' complaints -- other than the one
 BYU complaint that's been dismissed -- that they all remain in
 the evaluation stage; is that accurate?

A That's accurate, yes.

- Q And at your deposition, you testified that the average time to complete the evaluation stage -- the whole evaluation stage -- was 73 days. Do you recall that testimony?
- A I recall that testimony. That is a -- 73 days was the average time for completion of evaluation in fiscal year 2021.
- Q And do you have any reason to doubt your prior testimony, or does that remain accurate in your understanding -- 73 days?
- A That remains accurate.
- Q So all of the Plaintiffs' complaints, if you look at the "received by" date -- you can look through each of the dates.

 But at least for all the complaints that were filed in June and July, which is the vast majority of all the complaints, we're significantly beyond the 73 days; is that right?
- A They are beyond the 73 -- the average of 73 days.
- Q In fact, they're beyond 100 days; is that right?
 - A That appears to be correct.
 - Q And you referred to OCR taking a pause or putting a pause on all of Plaintiffs' complaints. Do you recall approximately how long Plaintiffs' complaints were put on hold by OCR?
 - A I don't recall how long they were put on hold. My recollection is it was a fairly short period of time, but it was a period of time definitely.
 - Q But that pause or that hold -- that wasn't because the Plaintiffs did anything wrong filing their complaints. That

1 was -- is that fair to say? 2 That is fair to say. All right. So the pause -- the hold -- that was -- that 3 was OCR trying to get its ducks in order and figure out how 4 it's going to process these religious exemption issues; is that 5 right? 6 7 MS. SNYDER: Objection, mischaracterizes his 8 testimony. THE COURT: Rephrase your question, please. 9 10 BY MR. SOUTHWICK: The pause or the hold that you referred to on Plaintiffs' 11 12 complaints -- that was the decision of OCR to subject these 13 plaintiff complaints to that hold; is that correct? 14 That was OCR's decision. Yes. So now that the complaints have been free to resume --15 16 they've all resumed the evaluation stage; is that correct? 17 None of them are still being held back; is that right? 18 None are being held back. And I do want to point out that 19 the pause was simply on moving forward with interviewing 20 complainants or complainants representatives. It did not pause 21 all work on these cases or any review of documentation that we 22 had. 23 So the pause -- the hold -- that was just on 24 interviews and the -- and what was the purpose of those

interviews? Was that to gather additional information for

evaluation?

A Yes. Generally speaking, when we reach out to complainants during the evaluation stage -- and it's not a requirement to do so, as I indicated in earlier testimony -- it's really to clarify complaints; to understand better what the allegations of the complaint are; to understand and address, if appropriate, issues of timeliness and waiver. They're a variety of issues we may be looking at in a clarification call.

Q All right. So now that the pause is lifted, would you agree -- and -- now that the pause is lifted, and it's been, you know, over 100 days for most of these complaints, would you agree that the investigations should, in general, be nearing the completion of the evaluation stage at this point?

THE COURT: Overruled. If he knows the answer, he can give it.

MS. SNYDER: Objection, speculation and foundation.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Southwick, would you repeat the question, please?

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

Q Sure. Given that the hold or the pause has been lifted, and that these complaints have been filed generally more than 100 days ago, would you agree that, in general, these complaints should be nearing the completion of the evaluation stage at this point?

I can't answer whether they should be nearing the

```
2
    completion of the evaluation stage, because I don't actually
    know what -- other than the brief descriptions here -- what
 3
    state these particular complaints are in. Some may be near
 4
    that stage. Some may not yet be near that stage. So -- which
 5
    is the best I can do by way of answer to your question right
 6
 7
    now.
         So could these investigators just continue to sit on these
 8
 9
    complaints for months and months? Would that be acceptable to
10
    you?
              MS. SNYDER: Objection, misstates his testimony in
11
12
    the record.
13
               THE COURT: Rephrase --
14
    BY MR. SOUTHWICK:
15
         I'm not misstating -- I'm not saying it was your
16
    testimony, Mr. Wills. I'm asking you --
17
              THE COURT: Excuse me.
18
    BY MR. SOUTHWICK:
         You're the Director of Enforcement at OCR.
19
20
               THE COURT:
                           Excuse me.
21
              MR. SOUTHWICK: Oh.
22
               THE COURT:
                          Yeah.
                                  Please listen when I -- I tried to
23
    interrupt a minute ago. Rephrase your question and just ask it
24
    in the form of a question.
25
              MR. SOUTHWICK: Apologies, Your Honor.
```

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

Q Mr. Wills, as the Director of Enforcement at OCR, would it be acceptable for you -- to you -- for investigators to just continue sitting on Plaintiffs' complaints and not moving the process of processing them along?

MS. SNYDER: Objection, foundation and misstates the testimony.

THE COURT: Overruled. He can answer the question if he can answer it.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Southwick, I don't believe that the investigators are sitting on these complaints. There's -- as I testified earlier, and as we've seen even from the earlier Government Exhibit No. 3, there has been action taken. There are interactions happening with the complainants in appropriate instance. So I don't view them, first of all, as sitting on these complaints at this point.

Yes, it is our hope to move through the process of processing complaints always as expeditiously as possible but also with appropriate consideration to all the factors that we have to consider before we determine whether to open a complaint. But I do want to stress that I do not find that what is happening now, as far as I've been told, constitutes sitting on these complaints.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

Q Okay. So the average time to complete the evaluation

stage is 73 days. But it's been more than 180 days. And only one of Plaintiffs' 35 or 36 complaints have actually completed the evaluation stage; isn't that true?

MS. SNYDER: Objection, misstates the testimony.

THE COURT: Overruled. I don't -- overruled.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Southwick, again, I would ask you to repeat the question. Because maybe I misunderstood. I thought I heard you say that there are complaints that are over 180 days old.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

- Q Sorry. If I said that, I misspoke. I was saying 100 days. What I'm asking, Mr. Wills, is out of the 35 or 36 complaints that we have here on Government Exhibit 3, only one of them has actually made it through the evaluation stage; right?
- A That is correct.
- Q Okay. So the other 90-plus percent of complaints have not completed the evaluation stage, even though it's been over 100 days; is that accurate?
- A That is accurate.
- Q Mr. Wills, during your deposition, do you recall us looking at some of the George Fox University correspondence with OCR and decisions from OCR regarding a transgender student who was making a housing discrimination complaint back in 2014?

 A Yes, I do.

1 And do you recall that after that complaint was opened for 2 investigation, George Fox University asserted a religious exemption, and that the Office of Civil Rights then dismissed 3 that complaint on the basis of the religious exemption? 4 Yes, I recall that. 5 Mr. Wills, are you familiar with that process happening 6 7 with respect to other LGBT students at other educational institutions? 8 9 Mr. Southwick -- which process, Mr. Southwick? The 10 process -- please just clarify that for me. 11 Well, Mr. Wills, a transgender student's housing complaint 12 was dismissed by OCR on the basis of a religious exemption. 13 I'm wondering, are you familiar whether the Office of Civil 14 Rights has similarly dismissed other complaints from LGBTQ 15 students on the basis of the religious exemption to Title IX? 16 I believe so. I'm just trying to recall whether the 17 complaint that I had in mind involves an LGBTQ student, which I 18 don't recall right now. I would need to refer to an exhibit to 19 make that determination. OCR has certainly dismissed other 20 complaints on the basis of assurance of exemption that covered 21 the allegations of the complaint. 22 So yesterday -- or, excuse me -- on Friday my office circulated some documents to be used for cross-examination to 23 the Court and Counsel. I'm going to share my screen and pull 24

up some of these. The three documents I'm going to look at

were attached as exhibits to a declaration in this case in the briefing on the initial motion for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction.

The first document that I'm pulling up here is Docket No. 50-21. And it is a correspondence between the Office of Civil Rights and Spring Arbor University in -- it looks like 2013 -- the 2013 and 2014 time period. Mr. Wills, have you seen these documents before?

A I have not.

- Q All right. I'm happy to go through them. Are you able to see these, or would you like a printed copy?
- A I actually would like a printed copy because the screen is rather far away, and it's very hard for me to read.
 - O Sure.
 - MR. SOUTHWICK: Hilarie, are you able to print a copy for the witness?
 - MS. SNYDER: We are. But you need to give us a few minutes to do it.
- 19 MR. SOUTHWICK: Okay.
- 20 BY MR. SOUTHWICK:
 - Q Well, I'll go ahead and like to introduce these exhibits so we can kind of go through that process now while we're waiting for physical copies. I'd like to introduce the exhibit on my screen here as Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 21.
 - THE COURT: Objections? Can everybody see it and

1 make that decision? 2 MS. SNYDER: Your Honor, may we -- once we get the 3 hard copy, could --4 THE COURT: Sure. 5 MS. SNYDER: -- we take a look then? Thank you. Ι don't anticipate an objection, but I would like to see it. 6 7 MR. SOUTHWICK: All right. 8 BY MR. SOUTHWICK: 9 And just the three documents we're going to look at -- the 10 next one is Docket 50-20. And this is a similar line of correspondence between OCR, but this is with Liberty University 11 12 in 2014. And then Docket 50-18 -- this is with BYU-Idaho and 13 the Office of Civil Rights. These are the three documents I'd like introduced as Exhibits 21, 22, and 23. 14 15 MS. SNYDER: Elliott went to print them. Do you guys 16 want to take like a two-minute break to allow that to happen? Let's do that. Let's do that. 17 THE COURT: 18 MR. SOUTHWICK: All right. Sounds good. Thank you. 19 20 (A break was taken from 8:46 AM to 8:58 AM.) 21 22 THE COURT: Does everybody have the copies? Ιs 23 everybody back? Go ahead and please be seated. 24 MR. SOUTHWICK: Plaintiffs are back. 25 THE COURT: Does everybody have copies of the

```
1
    requested admission of the Exhibits 21, 22, and 23?
 2
              MS. SNYDER:
                            Yes, Your Honor.
                          All right. Objections?
 3
              THE COURT:
              MR. TUCKER: No objection from CCCU, Your Honor.
 4
              MS. SNYDER: No objections from the Government
 5
    Defendants, Your Honor.
 6
 7
              MR. TUCKER: This is Ryan Tucker. No objections from
    the Religious Schools.
 8
 9
               THE COURT:
                          Thank you. They will be received.
10
    Continue with your cross.
    BY MR. SOUTHWICK:
11
12
         Thank you, Dr. Wills. So I'm going to turn to
13
    Exhibit No. 21, which is the Spring Arbor University
14
    correspondence. And it looks like your lawyers had a chance to
15
    provide a print-out of that. I'll just go through this
16
    document with you since you -- do you have it in front of you,
17
    Mr. Wills?
18
         I do.
                 Thank you.
19
         All right. So the first page is stamped December 6th,
20
    2013. And this is a correspondence from OCR to the President
21
    of Spring Arbor University. Does that look correct to you?
22
    Α
         Yes, it does.
23
         And the subject line says "OCR Docket No. 15-14-2006.
24
    so this appears to be in response to an OCR Title IX Complaint;
25
    is that correct?
```

A Yes, that's correct.

Q All right. And in this correspondence, OCR recognizes that on November 4th of 2013 -- so about a month prior -- OCR received a complaint filed against Spring Arbor University alleging sex-based discrimination against the student. The complaint alleges that the university is discriminating against the student based on sex by denying him equal access to university's education program and activities because -- and then there are some redactions about the specific allegations. Does that look accurate?

A Yes, it does.

And just so that we can kind of fill in some of the missing pieces, we can tell that this is a complaint filed by an LGBTQ+ student if we look down towards the last page here, page 7. On page 7, the last page of this document, which is further correspondence from OCR to Spring Arbor, it states that in a letter dated June 27th, 2014, OCR's Assistant Secretary granted the university's request and stated in relevant part that the university is exempt from the above provisions, quote, "to the extent that they prohibit discrimination based on gender identity or sexual orientation, or require a recipient to treat students consistent with their gender identity, and compliance would conflict with the controlling organization's religious tenets." Is that an accurate reading of this document?

A Yes, it is.

Q All right. So going back to the first page, I just wanted to clarify, because of the redactions, that we are dealing with an LGBTQ+ student here. The second paragraph of page 1 talks about Title IX and OCR's Enforcement. Third paragraph says, "Because OCR has jurisdiction over this allegation, and it was filed timely, OCR is opening this complaint for investigation."

And so is it fair to say that at the time this complaint was filed, OCR was processing complaints by LGBTQ students for gender identity or sexual orientation discrimination?

A Yes.

Q All right. And then it goes on to discuss the Title IX claim. If you go to page 2, it says that the Department is opening this matter for investigation, describes the Case Processing Manual processes as you've described. Page 2 says, "Going to conduct the prompt investigation."

And then if you look down towards the end of page 2, OCR makes a request of Spring Arbor University. And it says, "Therefore, requesting that you forward the following information to us within 15 calendar days." And you asked for a number of documents, including a copy of the university's policies, procedure of --

MS. SNYDER: Objection, Your Honor. I hate to interrupt, but I believe Counsel's testifying.

THE COURT: Will you please just ask a question and

1 refer to the document that the witness can read for himself, 2 please? Go ahead. MR. SOUTHWICK: Sure. 3 BY MR. SOUTHWICK: 4 5 Mr. Wills, is it fair to say that this -- the first three pages of this document that we're looking at demonstrates the 6 7 Office of Civil Rights opening up an investigation for LGBTQ discrimination on behalf of the Title IX complainant at Spring 8 Arbor University? 9 10 Yes, that's fair to say that. And this was -- this was at the end of 2013. 11 Okay. All 12 right. And then let's look to the next -- page 4. This is -the next correspondence is from August of 2014 -- so about 13 14 seven or eight months later. This is also from OCR -- same 15 case number. And it talks about the complaint that was filed. 16 MS. SNYDER: Again, objection, Your Honor. Counsel's 17 testifying. 18 MR. SOUTHWICK: I'm merely trying to provide some 19 context for the document. I could ask --20 BY MR. SOUTHWICK: Mr. Wills, have you read this document before? 21 22 I read it today. Yes. 23 All right. Since you've already read it, is it fair to say that this correspondence from August of 2014 acknowledges 24 25 that Spring Arbor University requested and received a religious

- 1 exemption from Title IX relating to sexual orientation and 2 gender identity, and specifically with respect to a number of implementing regulations as described on page 6 and 7 of this 3 document? 4 Yes, that is accurate. 5 And is it also accurate that on the basis of the religious 6 7 exemption granted by OCR, the student's Title IX complaint was administratively closed? 8 9 Yes, that is correct. 10 So similar to the transgender student at George Fox University, this LGBT student at Spring Arbor University also 11 had their open Title IX complaint administratively closed on 12 13 the basis of the religious exemption; is that right? 14 That's right. Α 15 All right. If you could turn to Exhibit No. 22, the 16 Liberty University correspondence dated January 16th, 2014. 17 And have you had an opportunity to review this document? 18 Α I'm currently reviewing it. 19 THE COURT: I'm going to step out a sec. 20 21 (A break was taken from 9:08 AM to 9:09 AM.) 22
- 23 BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

25

And take your time, Mr. Wills, but just let us know when you've finished your review.

- A I've completed my review.
- Q All right. I'd just like to ask you a few questions about the first -- the first few pages of this document are a correspondence between Jerry Falwell, the former President of Liberty University, and the Office of Civil Rights; is that correct?
- A That is correct.

- Q And Jerry Falwell says that this letter grew out of a November 8th, 2013, letter that it received from the District of Columbia office of OCR regarding a complaint filed against Liberty with the allegation that the antiabortion policy discriminated against female students; is that accurate?
- A That is accurate.
- Q And then if you look down to the bottom of page 1, it says that "the Department asked Liberty to formally claim a religious exemption under 34 CFR 106.12 by providing the names, the religious entity" -- "the names" -- it looks like there's a missing "of" -- "of the religious entity that oversees the university, description of specific religious tenets the university believe they're inconsistent with Title IX, and provisions of the Title IX regulation from which the university claims an exemption."

Mr. Wills, is it OCR's practice to invite educational institutions to formally claim religious exemptions in response to Title IX complaints against those educational institutions?

A I cannot state that that is OCR's official practice.

Q But it is OCR's official practice now; isn't it? Because didn't you testify earlier that now in each -- in each letter in which OCR is going to open a Title IX investigation, it in fact invites the educational institution to claim any number of exemptions, including religious exemptions to Title IX, rather than comply with the opening of the investigation; isn't that correct, Mr. Wills?

A I have to disagree with that characterization. The letter -- the current letter opening cases for investigation is not an invitation. There's no specific invitatory language in that letter. It simply informs a recipient that these exemptions and exceptions are available without even describing what they might be, and it -- and states simply if you intend -- or if the recipient intends to exercise any right under that -- under any of those sections, please notify OCR.

But there's no inviting or "we ask you to" or "we invite you to file for an assurance," which is, at least as it's recorded here, different from the language in the letter to Robin Murphy from Liberty University.

Q But that change in OCR's letters -- the change in OCR's letters regarding opening investigation, which provides information about exemptions that can be claimed -- I believe that you testified that language was changed in response to the Title IX complaints raising religious exemption issues that

- were filed this year; is that correct?
- Α That is correct.
- So --

2

3

- The change of language was added, actually, as a response 4 to that. 5
- So, previously, OCR would not have alerted educational 7 institutions, "Look, we're opening investigation. But you may 8 The prior language in the opening letters didn't be exempt." provide that kind of notification of exemption to educational 9 10 institutions; did it?
- 11 That is correct. We did not include the current language Α 12 in prior letters of notification --
- 13 So Dr. Falwell --
- 14 -- with religious exemptions.
- 15 So Jerry Falwell -- at least his interpretation was that 16 he was asked -- at least according to this document -- and then
- 17 he goes on to provide that justification. And we don't need to
- 18 go through all of it. But he describes the -- Liberty
- 19 University and its beliefs and its governing structure. Is
- 20 that a fair summary of the rest of the document?
- 21 I would say that is a fair summary of the rest of the 22 document. Yes.
- 23 All right. And then in response -- so this is April
- 24 22nd -- so a few months later -- the Office of Civil Rights
- 25 responds to Mr. Falwell. And could you summarize for the Court

what OCR's response to Mr. Falwell is?

- A Certainly. The response of communication from the
 Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights is essentially the
 issuance of an assurance of exemption based on the request that
 Reverend Falwell made in the earlier communication.
- Q And, in fact, OCR grants the exemption to allow Liberty University to punish women who have abortions; is that correct?
- A I don't see anywhere in this letter a granting of allowing Liberty University to punish women. It granted the exemption that was requested.
- Q Would you -- we could read over Liberty University's request again if you'd like, but isn't it about honor code violations for having abortions? Or termination of pregnancy?
- A Yes, it is.

- Q So Liberty University was asking OCR to grant a religious exemption to allow it to continue to enforce its honor code to punish students who have terminated their pregnancies.
- MS. SNYDER: Objection, Your Honor, argumentive. The documents speak for themselves.
 - THE COURT: Sustained.
- MR. PRINCE: Also relevance, Your Honor. This is Joshua Prince.
- 23 BY MR. SOUTHWICK:
 - Q And the last page of this document notes that Jerry Falwell actually requested an exemption from 34 CFR 106.31(b)

to allow the university to maintain a policy allowing for the discipline of students who have an abortion. However, OCR looks like, on its own accord, said, "Well, the actual provision that you want an exemption from is 34 CFR 106.40(b)," and then OCR goes on to grant that exemption. Is that a correct understanding of that paragraph?

MS. SNYDER: Objection, speculation and relevance.
BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

O Mr. Wills --

THE COURT: Wait, wait. Sustained. Move on.
BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

- Q All right. The last document in this series I'd like to ask you about, Mr. Wills, is Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 23, BYU-Idaho correspondence. This is a four-page document. And have you had a moment to briefly review this, Mr. Wills?
- A I'm reviewing it right now, Mr. Southwick.
 - Q All right. Thank you.
- A I've finished reading the letter.
- Q Thank you, Dr. Wills. Is it fair to say that this letter is from BYU-Idaho's President to the Office of Civil Rights regarding a Title IX complaint it received from a transgender student?
- A I can infer that from reading the letter it involves gender identity. But I don't see any specific reference to a particular transgender student -- unless I missed that.

1 Q And then if you look at the bottom of page 3, it states 2 very specifically, "The complaint against BYU" --I --3 Α Do you see that? 4 I do. 5 Yes, I see that. Okay. So we're talking, again, about a complaint filed 6 7 against BYU-Idaho with the Office of Civil Rights on behalf of a transgender student. And then this is BYU-Idaho responding 8 to the Office of Civil Rights regarding that complaint; is that 9 fair to say? 10 MS. SNYDER: Objection, foundation and speculation. 11 12 THE COURT: Rephrase your question. 13 BY MR. SOUTHWICK: 14 Dr. Wills, now that you've had an opportunity to review 15 this correspondence, could you describe the general nature of 16 this correspondence? 17 Generally speaking, this is a response by the President of 18 BYU-Idaho to a communication he received -- or Brigham Young 19 received from the Office for Civil Rights. And in this 20 communication from the President of BYU-Idaho, the President 21 sets forth the bases for requesting a religious exemption or a 22 religious exemption with regard to the complaint filed by a transgender student with the Office for Civil Rights. 23

And then the letter goes on, on page 4, to reference a

prior religious exemption that BYU-Idaho received from the

24

1 Office of Civil Rights back in the 1980s; is that correct? 2 Α That's correct. It references an exemption that was assured to the predecessor institution -- Ricks College. 3 And then are you aware of whether or not OCR affirmed that 4 religious exemption for BYU-Idaho in the context of this 5 complaint? 6 7 MS. SNYDER: Objection. Confusing, ambiguous question. 8 9 THE COURT: Overruled. 10 THE WITNESS: I cannot say with certainty that the exemption was assured with regard to this particular complaint. 11 12 I would have to review further documentation to make that 13 statement. 14 BY MR. SOUTHWICK: 15 However, based on current OCR policies, given that 16 BYU-Idaho raised this exemption, if the -- if it had properly 17 followed OCR's quidance with respect to requesting an assurance 18 of exemption, the Office of Civil Rights would have granted 19 that exemption with respect to gender identity; is that 20 correct? 21 MS. SNYDER: Objection, foundation and speculation. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 BY MR. SOUTHWICK: 24 So, Dr. Wills, we've looked at a number of documents in

which LGBT students as well as at least one instance in

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 which -- a woman who had an abortion -- were trying to assert their Title IX rights at federally funded educational institutions by filing Title IX complaints with the Office of Civil Rights. And is it fair to say that in at least some of these instances that we reviewed just now, the Office of Civil 5 Rights responded by administratively closing those students' 7 Title IX complaints; is that right? That is correct. Dr. Wills, are you aware of any situation in which an LGBTQ student has gone to the Office of Civil Rights for help

against a religious educational institution with claims of discrimination where the Office of Civil Rights has actually been able to help that student rather than dismissing that student's complaint?

Can you rephrase the question, please? Or just restate "Am I aware of" --

Mr. Wills, are you aware of any cases in which an LGBTQ student has filed a Title IX complaint for sexual orientation or gender identity discrimination against an educational institution, that had already received an assurance of exemption or had claimed a religious exemption in the course of that Title IX administrative proceeding, and where the Office of Civil Rights has actually been able to help that student as opposed to administratively dismissing their complaint on the basis of the religious exemption?

MS. SNYDER: Objection, ambiguous.

THE COURT: Overruled. If he knows the answer, he can give it. If he doesn't know the answer, he can give it as well.

THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of a situation where an assurance of exemption has issued from the Assistant Secretary that covers the allegations of a complaint filed by an LGBTQ student where OCR has not dismissed the complaint for lack of jurisdiction.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

- Q Thank you, Mr. Wills. And during your deposition,
 Mr. Wills, I had asked you about whether or not the religious
 exemption restricts OCR's ability to prevent sex discrimination
 at educational institutions that receive federal financial
 assistance. And do you recall that your testimony was that in
 fact the religious exemption did restrict OCR's ability to
 prevent sex discrimination?
- A Yes. I recall my testimony.
- 19 Q And do you stand by that testimony today?
- 20 | A I do.
 - Q During your deposition, we reviewed some documents from the -- well, I guess, now Former Acting Assistant Secretary. So just to clarify, the Acting Assistant Secretary named as a defendant in this lawsuit was Suzanne Goldberg. But I believe it's my understanding from your testimony on Friday that the

new Assistant Secretary has indeed been confirmed, and that that is Catherine Lhamon; is that correct?

A That is correct.

- Q And so the prior acting Assistant Secretary had put out a number of documents. And we reviewed one during your deposition in which the Acting Assistant said that sex discrimination threatens equal access for students and can derail opportunities for them to learn. And I asked whether you agreed with that statement, and you said that you did agree. Do you recall that testimony?
- A I do recall that testimony.
- Q And does that remain your testimony today?
- 13 A Yes, it does.
 - Q And during your deposition, I asked you whether or not a student could attend an educational institution and not know whether their Title IX rights would be protected at that institution, because that institution might not currently have an assurance of exemption but could request one at a later time. And your testimony was that, "Yes, indeed, a student could attend an institution and not know whether their Title IX rights would be protected." Is that your recollection of your testimony?
 - MS. SNYDER: Objection, speculation.
 - THE COURT: Overruled.
 - THE WITNESS: Yes, that's my recollection.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

Q And does that remain your testimony today?

MS. SNYDER: Again, objection, speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

- Q During your deposition, I also asked you whether OCR can investigate discriminatory policies as opposed to merely discrete discriminatory acts. And I believe that your testimony was that, "Yes, OCR has subject-matter jurisdiction to investigate discriminatory policies." Is that an accurate description of your testimony?
- A Yes, it is.
 - Q And does that remain your testimony today?
- 15 A It does.
 - Q And during your testimony, we went over Bob Jones
 University's student policy, which -- student conduct code -which we've -- we went over with the Court with the witness
 from Bob Jones University earlier. And that had to do with
 prohibitions on same-sex dating, prohibitions on transgender
 students medically transitioning. And I asked you whether or
 not such a policy would be considered an unlawful policy but
 for the religious exemption to Title IX.

Putting the religious exemption to Title IX aside, would a general policy like that -- would OCR consider that to be

unlawful under Title IX? And --

MS. SNYDER: Objection, Your Honor. Oh, sorry, Paul. BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

Q And I believe that your testimony at pages 223 and 224 of your deposition was that "Yes, such a policy would be unlawful and under OCR subject-matter jurisdiction." Do you recall whether that is an accurate description of your testimony?

MS. SNYDER: Objection, compound and ambiguous question.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I do recall that that was my testimony. And under those circumstances, putting aside the issue of religious exemption, OCR would open an investigation and investigate a complaint that alleged that the policy was violated.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

- Q And, Mr. Wills, are you aware that -- of the 36 Title IX complaints from the Plaintiffs that are listed on Government Exhibit 3 -- that approximately ten of those have been filed by students who are current students at the educational institutions? Meaning they're not alumni, they weren't expelled, but they are currently at educational institutions as current students; are you aware of that?
- A I am not aware of that.
- Q But would you agree that for a current student who alleges

injuries stemming from a discriminatory policy, like the Bob

Jones University policy, that such a current student would be stating a claim within the subject-matter jurisdiction of OCR setting aside the religious exemption?

MS. SNYDER: Objection, speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Generally speaking -- and I state this with a great deal of caution -- yes. That would be something that would generally move us toward opening a complaint for investigation. But, again, I do not like to speculate based on hypotheticals. I do not give advisory opinions. I'm not necessarily the complete decision-maker in every process. But, generally speaking, that would weigh in favor of opening a complaint for investigation.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

Q Mr. Wills, I am getting near the end of my cross. But I -- there's one -- there's one more document that I'd like to ask you about from Government's -- from the Government's exhibit. And I believe that is the Smith memorandum, which --

MR. SOUTHWICK: Joe, do you have the exhibit list?

For the Court's reference, the Plaintiffs would like to

turn to Government's Exhibit No. 11.

Oh -- yeah -- Smith; right? Let me just make sure I've got the right memorandum. Yeah -- Smith 1989 -- so Government Exhibit No. 11.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

- Q And just let me know when you've had a chance to get there, Mr. Wills, and to at least take a little review of the document.
- A Yes. I'm looking at the document right now.
- Q I'm going to ask you some questions about pages 3 and 4 of Government Exhibit 11. And it's under the section that has a headline of "Investigations of Institutions with Religious Exemptions." So if you could particularly just take a review of those paragraphs, and then let me know when you've had a chance to review those.
- A I've finished my review of the paragraphs.
- Q Thank you, Mr. Wells. So just for everyone's recollection, I included Exhibit 11. This is one of the memorandums that you've testified that is part of the guidance for OCR in what to do in situations where a Title IX complaint comes in and there's been a religious -- an assurance of religious exemption already on file. Is that fair to say that this is what this section of Exhibit 11 is about?

MS. SNYDER: Objection, I think this is -- I don't believe that Mr. Wells has testified about this here today.

Your question was -- misstates past testimony and is ambiguous.

MR. SOUTHWICK: I'll rephrase.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

- Q Mr. Wills, are you familiar with Government Exhibit No. 11?
- A I am.

- Q And, in fact, it's a document you reviewed in preparation for your 30(b)(6) deposition; is that correct?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q And could you describe for the Court what this document is?
 - A This is a document from the then Acting Assistant
 Secretary for Civil Rights William Smith directed to OCR senior
 staff. And it lays out Title IX religious exemption procedures
 and instructions for investigating complaints at institutions
 with religious exemptions.
 - Q Okay. So then let's go ahead and go to the bottom of page 3 of Exhibit 11, which has a Bates Stamp ED2.000078, and that section about investigations of institutions with religious exemptions. Let me know when you've been able to find that.
 - A All right. I've located it.
 - Q So the first sentence there says, "When a complaint is filed against an institution that has already been granted a religious exemption by OCR, the regional offices should carefully review OCR's letter granting the exemption and the institution's letter requesting the exemption to determine whether the complaint allegations fall within the exemption

granted."

My question to you -- is this OCR's current practice or current policy?

- A The current policy is for OCR -- where a religious exemption assurance has been granted -- is to review the letter of assurance and determine whether the exemption that has been assured covers the particular allegations of the complaint.
- Q So the next sentence says, "The letters requesting and granting the exemption will clarify the extent of the exemption." Do you agree with that assessment in terms of OCR's current policy?
- A I do.
 - Q All right. Then a little bit further down, it gives an example of a potentially -- it gives an example of a situation. And I'll read that. It says, "For example, if a complainant alleges that an institution with a religious exemption to Section 106.34 does not provide women with access to advanced courses in chemistry, the regional office must determine whether the institution's exemption to 106.34 addresses courses in chemistry by reviewing the letters requesting and granting the exemption. The regional office's review of the religious exemption file indicates that the exemption is only for those courses training future ministers, which are based on religious tenets limited to men, then the regional office must investigate the complainant's allegations regarding access to

advanced chemistry courses."

Do you see that there in this document?

A I do see that.

Q So my question to you is does current OCR policy follow this guidance document from Exhibit No. 11?

A Yes. This is a statement of OCR's current policy toward assessment of the extent of a religious exemption. We must do that carefully. In saying that we look to what the exemption -- what provision is exempted, we must also carefully assess whether it covers, completely, all of the allegations of the complaint.

This is a perfect example of a situation where that might not be the case, where the exemption really ran to -- you're exempted from coursework. Women can be excluded from certain coursework that is related only to providing training for men who are training for the priesthood or the ministry. It does not provide a blanket exemption for advanced chemistry courses, necessarily. It's a stock example offered here, but it's a caution to the field to be very careful and deliberate in determining what exactly the exemption covers and what are the nature of the allegations in the complaint.

Q So I'd like to refer to one of the specific examples that's in this case. And that would be Alex Duron, a plaintiff who testified on day one of this preliminary injunction hearing, in which he testified about having his admission

MS. SNYDER: Objection, speculation and ambiguous.

THE COURT: Overruled. If he can answer, he can

rescinded to a graduate nursing program because of his sexual orientation.

So my question to you, Mr. Wills, is when OCR is evaluating Mr. Duron's complaint, is it going to be asking whether or not Union University -- the university he attended -- whether or not Union University's religious tenets regarding sexual orientation require the university to rescind his admission to a graduate nursing program? Is that the kind of analysis that will be done?

A I don't speak to the kind of analysis that will be done. I can't speculate as to the analysis. We will do a thorough and careful analysis of each one of the complaints that we have before us. But I don't speak to what that analysis is going to include, other than it will by careful, thoughtful, and accurate.

Q And so when the Office of Civil Rights closed the door by administratively dismissing Title IX complaints, at least from the transgender student at George Fox University and Spring Arbor University, was OCR obligated to do an analysis of whether or not those institutions' beliefs about gender and gender identity required denying access to an on-campus restroom to a transgender student? Is that kind of analysis being done?

answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't know what the analysis was in that particular case. So I can't answer that question with specificity. All I can do is say that the documents such as they are speak for themselves.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

Q But, Dr. Wills, you're going to -- you're going to have to answer this question; aren't you? Because you are the Director of Enforcement at OCR; is that correct?

MS. SNYDER: Objection, misstates --

MR. SOUTHWICK: Let me back that up.

MS. SNYDER: -- misstates the question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

- Q Dr. Wells, you are the Director of Enforcement at the Office of Civil Rights; is that correct?
- A That's not my official title. But, yes, for all intents and purposes, I am the Director of Enforcement at OCR.
- Q And then along with the Assistant Secretary, you and a small group of headquarters officials are going to be reviewing each and every one of Plaintiffs' Title IX complaints that have been filed in this action. Whether or not the recommendation is for dismissal or to open investigation, you, the Assistant Secretary, and a small group of headquarters officials are going to be reviewing each and every one of these complaints;

is that correct?

- A That is correct. I can't tell you the size of that small group, but, yes, this will be reviewed by a number of individuals at the headquarters office, bearing in mind that the final decision is only and exclusively the Assistant Secretary's.
- Q I do apologize. There is one last document to ask you about, but it's going to be very short. And this is Government Exhibit No. 21. And, Mr. Wills, have you seen Government Exhibit 21 before?
- A Yes, I have.
- 12 Q And can you just briefly describe this document?
 - A Yes. This document is a listing of each of the recipients and the corresponding Plaintiffs, slash, complainants in the cases that we have also where we have administrative filings in OCR. And it lists the recipients. It lists the associative plaintiffs. And there is a central column that lists whether an assurance of religious exemption letter has issued.
 - Q All right. And it basically shows that some of the institutions attended by Plaintiffs already have assurances of religious exemptions, but other institutions do not; is that correct?
- 23 A Yes. As of the creation of this letter, that is true.
 - Q And so for the students on this list who are attending institutions that do not currently have an assurance of

1 religious exemption -- those students don't really have any way 2 of knowing whether or not, or to what extent, their Title IX rights are going to be protected by the Office of Civil Rights; 3 is that correct? 4 MS. SNYDER: Objection, speculation. 5 THE COURT: Overruled. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah. They don't -- they would have no way of knowing their -- at least with regard to what's listed 8 on this charge. There's no indication that an assurance 9 exists. 10 11 MR. SOUTHWICK: Thank you, Mr. Wills. No further 12 questions from Plaintiffs. 13 THE COURT: For the Intervenors, any questions? MR. TUCKER: No questions from the Religious Schools. 14 15 MR. MILLER: You're on mute, Josh. You're on mute. 16 THE COURT: The individual -- again, please somebody 17 speak, because I started to hear somebody say there was no 18 objection. Just identify yourselves for the record. It was 19 the gentlemen with the blue tie. There you go. 20 MR. TUCKER: Yes. This is Ryan Tucker, counsel for 21 the Religious School Intervenors. I do not have any questions. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else. MR. MILLER: Counsel for CCCU does have some 23 24 questions. This is Nicholas Miller. And my colleague --

Joshua Prince -- is having trouble with his audio it seems.

```
1
              THE COURT: Well, Mr. Miller, if you're going to
 2
    cross, go right ahead.
              MR. MILLER: Well, I don't have the cross. My
 3
    colleague does -- Joshua Prince.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Oh, I thought you were going to cross.
              MR. PRINCE: No.
                                 It's Joshua Prince. And he's
 6
 7
    online, but he's trying to speak but cannot be heard.
 8
              THE COURT: He's mute. So...
              MR. SOUTHWICK: Josh, it looks like you're not muted
 9
10
    on the system. So it might be your earbuds are not connected.
11
              MR. MILLER: Can you use your computer microphone,
12
    Josh?
          Disconnect the earbuds and...
13
              MR. SCHAERR: Yeah. He's going to dial in and use a
    phone for the audio. Apologies, Your Honor. We thought we had
14
15
    this checked out earlier today.
16
              THE COURT:
                          Technology is fickle.
17
              MR. SCHAERR:
                             Indeed.
18
              MR. PRINCE: Hello, this is Joshua Prince. May I be
19
    heard?
20
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
21
              MR. PRINCE: I apologize, Your Honor. My microphone
22
    worked this morning --
23
              THE COURT: That's fine.
              MR. PRINCE: -- as I believe Mr. Schaerr said.
24
25
         Mr. Wills, can you hear me?
```

1 THE COURT: You're kind of muffled. So you're going 2 to need to slow down and articulate. MR. PRINCE: Of course. Thank you, Your Honor. 3 4 Mr. Wills, can you hear me? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. PRINCE: 9 All right. I only have a few questions. Thank you for 10 your time. My first question is that you mentioned that the average time that it takes to evaluate an administrative 11 12 complaint is around 70 days; is that correct? 13 That is correct. For fiscal year 2021, it was 73 days. And is it fair to say that when you use the word 14 15 "average," it means that some complaints may take less than 70 16 days and some may take more than 70 days? 17 That is correct. 18 And OCR handles thousands of such evaluations each year; 19 isn't that accurate? 20 Yes, that is accurate. 21 So would it be consistent with your claim that the average 22 time to evaluate administrative claims -- to have 35 complaints 23 out of thousands take longer than the average? 24 I'm sorry, sir. Could you restate that question?

Of course. This case involves 35 or so complaints out of

- the thousands that OCR receives every year; is that accurate?
- A That is accurate.

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q And would it be consistent with your claim -- that the average time to evaluate such claims took around 70 days -- to have this small subset take longer than the average?
- A That is -- yes. That is a -- very definitely a

 possibility, just given the fact that we wanted to ensure that

 we approach these cases in a consistent manner. Yes. That may

take a little bit longer than average.

- Q Okay. Now, to your knowledge, has OCR or the Department of Education ever written a religious school's policy on sexuality or gender?
- A To my knowledge, OCR has never written a university or an institution's policy on sexuality or gender.
 - Q Has it ever instructed such a school to draft a policy on sexuality or gender, to your knowledge?
 - A No. It has never instructed a school to write -- or guidance in writing that policy on sexuality or gender.
 - Q Has it ever instructed students or faculty at a religious school to discriminate on the basis of sexual orientation or gender?
- A No, it has never done so.
- Q I want to turn quickly to Government Exhibit 1, which you reviewed both in your direct examination and in your cross-examination, I believe. And I want to turn to page 12,

1 Section 109. Do you have that before you? 2 Α Yes, I do. MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor, with apologies again, 3 Mr. Prince just texted me and said his phone connection cut 4 5 out, and he's dialing back in. THE COURT: All right. 6 MR. PRINCE: This is Mr. Prince. I apologies for 7 that. 8 BY MR. PRINCE: 9 10 Can you hear me, Mr. Wills? Yes, I can. 11 Α 12 Okay. So I'm going to read from Section 109 on page 12. 13 It says, "OCR interprets its statutes and regulations 14 consistent with the requirements of the First Amendment, and all actions taken by OCR must comport with First Amendment 15 16 principles." Did I read that correctly? 17 Yes. You read that correctly -- that portion of Section 109. 18 So is it fair to say that OCR will consider the First 19 20 Amendment rights of religious schools as well when determining 21 the applicability to Title IX? 22 MS. SNYDER: Objection, outside the scope and speculative. 23 24 THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. PRINCE:

Q The last document we discussed on cross-examination with Mr. Southwick was Government's Exhibit 21, where some religious institutions do not currently have an assurance of exemption letter; is that accurate? Do you remember that?

A Yes. According to the chart, Government Exhibit 21, at least at the time the chart was created, there were some institutions that did not have an assurance of religious exemption letter.

Q And you're familiar with the text (indiscernible) as written in the statute.

THE COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear that. It cut out.
THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.

BY MR. PRINCE:

- Q And if I read the exemption, it says, "This section," meaning Title IX, "shall not apply to an educational institution which is controlled by a religious organization. The application of this subsection would not be consistent with the religious tenets of such organization." Is that a fair reading of the statute?
- A That's a fair reading of my understanding of that particular exemption.
- Q Is it fair to say that the text statute does not require a religious organization to seek OCR's approval before asserting the exemption?

1 MS. SNYDER: Objection, Your Honor. I believe this 2 is outside the scope. Sustained. And it's also -- it's not 3 THE COURT: helpful to the decision-maker, I would suggest. 4 5 MR. PRINCE: Understood, Your Honor. BY MR. PRINCE: 6 7 We talked about one complaint that has been dismissed 8 under Section 108(j). Do you remember that? 9 Yes, I do. 10 And is it fair to say that Section 108(j) allows a person whose complaint has been dismissed to refile their complaint at 11 12 the close of that federal case? 13 There is a provision in Subsection 108(j) that under Yes. 14 certain circumstances would allow for refiling of the complaint with OCR. 15 16 Is it your understanding today that OCR dismissed its 17 complaint on the basis of the Title IX section that the student could then sue the school for a violation of Title IX? 18 19 If I understand the question, is it my -- I'm sorry, 20 Mr. Prince. Could you repeat the question for me? 21 Of course. 0 22 Α I missed part of the first section. Is it your understanding -- my apologies. 23 0 24 I'm sorry. Α

Is it your understanding if OCR dismisses a student's

25

1 complaint filed with OCR, that the student could then sue the 2 school for violation of Title IX, even if their complaint was dismissed? 3 Yes, that is correct. 4 And in that proceeding, is it your understanding that the 5 student would be free to argue that the Title IX exemption is 6 7 invalid, either in general or as applied to the student's complaint? 8 9 It's my understanding, yes. 10 0 Thank you. 11 MR. PRINCE: Your Honor, I have no further questions 12 for this witness. 13 Thank you. Returning to the Government, THE COURT: any redirect? 14 15 MS. SNYDER: No, Your Honor. 16 May this witness be excused? THE COURT: Thank you. 17 Nothing from Plaintiffs. MR. SOUTHWICK: 18 MS. SNYDER: Nothing more from Defendant Government, 19 Your Honor. 20 MR. TUCKER: Nothing from the Religious Schools 21 This is Ryan Tucker. Intervenors. 22 THE COURT: I'm assuming nothing for the other 23 Intervenor. Could somebody respond, please? I just want to make sure everybody's been heard. And if they're not -- if 24

we're missing somebody's connection, I just want to know.

1	Because I'm going to excuse this witness as soon as I hear from
2	the last Intervenor.
3	MR. TUCKER: This is Ryan Tucker. Hopefully you
4	heard me moments ago. We have no questions for the witness.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	Mr. Wills, thank you so very much. I'm sorry we took part
7	of your Friday evening. I appreciate very much your being back
8	here today. You're excused. And have a good rest of the day.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: For the Government, additional witnesses?
11	MS. SNYDER: No more witnesses for the Government,
12	Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Thank you very much. We'll turn to the
14	Intervenors. And I understand there is a witness expected to
15	be called.
16	MR. SCHAERR: Yes, Your Honor. Intervenor CCCU would
17	like to call Dr. Mark Regnerus as an expert witness.
18	THE COURT: And is he on the screen? There I see a
19	new person. If you would just speak so just say your name.
20	MR. REGNERUS: Yes. Mark Regnerus from the
21	University of Texas.
22	THE COURT: Thank you. And if you would raise your
23	right hand.
24	

 $\texttt{M-A-R-K} \quad \texttt{R-E-G-N-E-R-U-S}\,,$

1 called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as 2 follows: 3 THE COURT: Thank you. For the court reporter, 4 please state your full name, spell your last, and then I'll 5 turn it over to -- I believe Mr. Schaerr's going to do the 6 direct. Correct? 7 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 10 THE WITNESS: My name is Mark Daniel Regnerus. Му 11 last name is spelled R-E-G-N-E-R-U-S. 12 THE COURT: Go ahead. 13 MR. SCHAERR: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 16 BY MR. SCHAERR: 17 Dr. Regnerus, could you describe your educational 18 background? 19 I was -- did college education at Trinity Christian 20 College, which at one point was a CCCU member. I'm not sure if that's still the case. Graduated from there in 1993, followed 21 22 by a master's degree and a Ph.D. from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in the year 2000. After that I took a 23 position at Calvin College a year before moving to the 24

University of Texas in 2002 where I've been ever since.

- Q Well, thank you. In what subject did you receive your 2 Ph.D.?
 - A Sociology.
 - Q Okay. And did you submit an expert report in this case?
- 5 | A I did.

4

6

- Q And let's display Exhibit 26 if we could. I believe
- 7 Mr. Prince is doing that. And, Dr. Regnerus, is Exhibit 26
- 8 your expert report in this case?
- 9 A Yes, it appears so.
- 10 Q And did you include with your report a current copy of 11 your CV?
- 12 | A I did.
- Q And is that also part of Exhibit 26? I believe it's on the screen now. Dr. Regnerus, is this your CV on the screen?
- 15 | A Yes.

17

- 16 Q Okay. And does it appear to be part of Exhibit 26?
 - A Looks like it.
- 18 Q Okay. Thank you.
- MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor, we would move the admission of Exhibit 26 as the expert report of Dr. Mark Regnerus.
 - THE COURT: Any objection?
- 22 MR. SOUTHWICK: Objection -- this is Paul
- 23 | Southwick -- objection from Plaintiffs. I'd like to hear
- 24 | further what Mr. Regnerus is being offered as an expert
- 25 | witness. Based on his report, it appears that he's an expert

1 in numerous unrelated fields. And so I'd like to hear from the 2 Counsel's -- attorneys as to what exactly Regnerus is being offered as an expert about. 3 MR. SCHAERR: And I'm happy to do that, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Then go ahead. Please do. 5 6 MR. SCHAERR: Okay. 7 BY MR. SCHAERR: Dr. Regnerus, tell us where you work. 8 9 I work at the University of Texas at Austin in the Right. 10 sociology department. I've been there 19 years. 11 And what is your title? Q 12 Professor of Sociology. Α 13 Okay. And do you have tenure at the University of Texas? 14 I have tenure. Α Yes. 15 And when did you achieve tenure? 16 I think that was in 2007, or right around there. Α 17 Okay. And prior to that, what were your positions there? 18 Assistant Professor of Sociology; then Tenure Associate 19 Professor; and then, about three or four years ago, promoted to

Okay. And in what areas -- what are the areas that you've

I've come back around to that on occasion. But around 2003 or

2004, I ventured into the study of relationship behavior.

I started out as a sociologist of religion, which

20

21

22

23

24

25

full Professor.

Right.

researched and published on?

1 Wrote a book on religious influences on adolescent sexual 2 behavior and attitudes and decision-making. Kind of aged with those adolescents as they moved into young adulthood. Wrote 3 another book -- all four books are from Oxford -- on young 4 adult sexual decision-making. Moved into a little bit older 5 phase of young adulthood. That was the third book where I 6 7 explored, to some extent -- a minority part -- how the -- what we call the sexual economics theory understands same-sex 8 behavior and decision-making -- relationship decision-making. 9 I've written --10

THE COURT: Excuse me. Excuse me. Just for purposes -- for the record, please explain what that entailed -- what that research entailed.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: These are mostly survey-based. I've collected at least three large-scale nationally represented surveys myself. But most of these books also entail an interview component. So I kind of combine some of the things -- the strengths that you have heard from on the Plaintiffs' expert witnesses. Some of them are better at surveys. Some of them are better at qualitative interviews.

THE COURT: I'm more interested in --

THE WITNESS: Some do both.

THE COURT: I'm more interested in what are your topics of coverage.

THE WITNESS: In those books?

THE COURT: No. In that last -- you talked about your most recent look at -- I believe you said sexual economics.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. That was a -- that's a theory, basically, that understands how -- what influences how people fall in love, or not, date, have a sexual relationship together, break apart, et cetera. It's kind of a macro theory more in economics than in sociology.

BY MR. SCHAERR:

- Q And, Dr. Regnerus, have you researched and published articles on sexual orientation?
- A I have. One was in 2012 on the adult children's outcomes of -- outcomes of adult children who have grown up with a parent who had been or was in a same-sex relationship. A follow-up to that, later that year, I've written an analytic piece evaluating one of Dr. Meyer's colleagues on influence of antigay stigma on sexual minorities' mortality, and then, more recently, a forthcoming piece on attitudes about -- American attitudes about transitions -- I'm sorry, not transitions -- transgender medicine for adolescents.
- Q Okay. And have you -- have you peer-reviewed articles and studies on these issues?
- A Yes, plenty.
- Q Do you have an estimate of about how many articles and studies you've peer-reviewed?

- A Over the course of my career?
- Q Yes.

A Probably 80 to 100.

THE COURT: So I want to go back and ask a question.

I didn't understand and you were kind of muffled.

THE WITNESS: All right.

THE COURT: So the published on sexual orientation first was children's outcome of parents in same-sex relationships. The second one I didn't quite understand. I understood the third one.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

THE COURT: What was the second one?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. The second one -- that was a study -- I think it was 2017-2018 -- basically a reevaluation of Dr. Mark Hatzenbuehler, who's a common coauthor with Dr. Meyer. He did an analysis of population-based data connected to the National Death Index looking at how -- what he called "antigay stigma" led to the -- about a dozen years of, on average, lost life, basically -- diminished mortality longevity among sexual minority populations in the United States.

And I saw that, and I though that looked a little awry.

So we pursued an analysis of that -- could not replicate the key findings -- wrote an article about that. It was published.

And then, eventually, the same Journal of Social Science and

```
1
    Medicine retracted Dr. Hatzenbuehler's article.
 2
              MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor, anything further -- any
    other questions on --
 3
              THE COURT: So I just -- again, I'm just -- in terms
 4
    of expertise -- so the article that you published challenged a
 5
    thesis in an article written by Dr. Meyer that --
 6
 7
              THE WITNESS: Dr. Meyer's colleague, not Dr. Meyer.
              THE COURT: Whose name is what?
 8
              THE WITNESS: Mark Hatzenbuehler.
 9
              THE COURT: All right -- Mark Hatzenbuehler.
10
                             I believe he's at Columbia or Harvard.
11
              THE WITNESS:
12
              THE COURT: And his theory was that there was
13
    essentially an increase in mortality because of antigay stigma.
14
              THE WITNESS: Yeah. So the fundamental question
15
    was --
16
              THE COURT:
                         So hold on.
                                        Hold on.
17
              THE WITNESS: Yeah.
                                    Sorry.
18
              THE COURT: So by "diminished mortality," it would be
    self-harm and suicide ideation. There was a --
19
20
              THE WITNESS: No. It was just sort of the -- they
21
    connected it to the National Death Index so they could track
22
    when people who were participating in the survey had passed
23
    away.
              THE COURT: So what -- I've got it. So it's just
24
25
    your --
```

1 THE WITNESS: All --2 THE COURT: All moralities. All mortalities -- car accident or suicide -- got it. 3 4 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. THE COURT: And so you took on that study, and you 5 could not replicate the findings. And you published a 6 7 different analysis. And, at some point thereafter, the first article was withdrawn. 8 9 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 10 THE COURT: All right. I just wanted to understand 11 that. Thank you. 12 THE WITNESS: Sure. 13 BY MR. SCHAERR: 14 Thank you, Dr. Regnerus. Dr. Regnerus, for which journals 15 have you done peer-reviewing of articles? 16 Oh, I mean, I list a whole -- I don't know -- 10-12 lines 17 of them at the end of the CV. For this general topic, I've 18 reviewed them for American Sociological Review, American 19 Journal of Sociology -- the two best journals we have in the 20 discipline -- and Social Forces, Archives of Sexual Behavior, 21 Journal of Homosexuality, Gender & Society, and the list goes 22 on. Okay. And can you briefly describe any additional 23 academic experience that you've had with sexuality issues? 24 25 Additional academic experience... Α

- Q Like perhaps teaching and advising people.
- A God, I've -- I've advised a variety of students over the years. Most of them have gone on to decent scholarly jobs. I teach classes -- I teach social research methods to undergraduate majors. I've had -- I've done that for a number of years. I've probably taught that 20 times.
 - O Mm-hm.

- A I've taught sociology for religion courses, and I've taught sort of a unique course for freshman several years ago. I think it was something like "God, Sex, and Religion." Kind of how these three things often come together in discussions and in social observations. So I consider myself having a fair degree of general knowledge in this area, particularly methodological knowledge in the area.
- Q Okay. And when you say "in this area," are you talking about the intersection between religion and sexuality issues?
- A Yes, and, you know, with regards to some of the methodological issues that were raised in the reports.
- Q Okay. And have you written any books in that area of the intersection between religion and sexuality?
 - A I would say two of the books overlap that directly.
- 22 Q Mm-hm.
 - A The first and the last.
- Q Okay. And so is it fair to say that, among other things, you're an expert in the sociology of religion and sexual

behavior?

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

- A Yeah. That -- I think I'm probably one of -- one of several in the country. There's sort of like a -- that's our -- as sociologists -- our sort of core domain.
- Q Okay. Now, in preparation for your testimony today and for your report, did you review anything?
 - A Yes. I reviewed the three expert witness reports and other studies relevant to assessing those.
 - Q And when you say "the three expert witness reports," are you referring to Doctors Coley, Meyer, and Wolff?
- 11 A Correct.
 - Q And those are the Plaintiffs' experts; right?
- 13 | A Yes.
- 14 Q And did you review any other expert reports?
- 15 A Not -- you know -- not in this case. No.
- 16 Q Did you review a report from Dr. Shirley Hoogstra?
- 17 A Oh, yeah. I did review hers.
- 18 **Q** Okay.
- 19 A I'm not clear on what the status of that report is. I
 20 mean, is it an expert report? The legal terms I don't quite
- 21 understand.
- 22 Q Okay. But you did review her report anyway?
- 23 | A I did.
- Q Okay. And can you briefly just characterize the studies that you -- that you reviewed in preparing your expert report

- without necessarily naming all of them?
- A Characterizing the studies?
- Q Yes.

2

3

11

12

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A You know, like the survey -- like the REAP report -- I
 took an extended look at that. I looked at a variety of other
 survey-based analyses that were conducted during the COVID era.
- 7 I took a look at the Rutgers report that Hoogstra's document 8 makes reference to, which was conducted prior to the COVID era.
- 9 So -- I've looked at some CDC data. Yeah. I kind of tried to get the territory for this particular topic.
 - Q Okay. And your report cites a number of those studies; correct?
- 13 A Correct.
 - Q And what specifically were you retained to do in this case?
- 16 A Primarily, I was retained to evaluate the three expert
 17 witness reports and other relevant studies.
 - Q Okay. And what's your understanding of the core factual issue that's addressed by the three Plaintiffs' expert reports?
 - A In my estimation, the core issue is whether CCCU-type -- I call them this -- CCCU-type universities or colleges and their policies exacerbate emotional health problems that LGBT students there experience or not.
 - Q Okay. And when you say "CCCU-type" school, what particular kind of religious colleges are you talking about

there?

- A Right. Well, I don't have an exact sense of who's in and who's out of those things. But they tend to be Protestant.
- $O \quad Mm-hm.$
- A They tend to be theologically conservative. And they tend to have particular policies around relationship behavior that hew to more -- I guess we call them traditionally Christian understandings about marriage, about the body, about the distinctiveness of men and women. Although, I strongly presume that those policies in particular vary among the different institutions based on their theological background -- that sort of thing.
- Q So is it fair to say that when you refer to "CCCU-type" schools, you're talking about schools that generally try to adhere to the traditional Christian biblical understanding of sexuality?
- A Yeah, insofar as we have a grasp of that. I mean, Christianity is a big tent, of course.
- O Mm-hm.
 - A But these are more theologically conservative schools, which would be historically more attuned to Christian teaching on this domain over the years.
 - Q Okay. And based on your review of the expert reports and other studies in this case, and without telling me yet what your opinion is, have you reached an opinion on the factual

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Correct.

issue addressed by the Plaintiffs' experts here? Α Yes, I have. Q Okay. Well, Your Honor, based on his training MR. SCHAERR: and experience, and his review in this case, I move that the Court admit Dr. Regnerus as an expert in the sociology of religion and sexual behavior. MR. SOUTHWICK: Your Honor, this is Paul Southwick for Plaintiffs. I'd like to do some cross on Mr. Regnerus' qualifications in this respect. THE COURT: Fine. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SOUTHWICK: Mr. Regnerus, have you previously testified in a court proceeding? I have. And, Mr. Regnerus, did you testify -- have you testified in court proceedings on behalf of parties defending same-sex marriage bans? Same-sex marriage bans? Yes. At least it was on -- I think it was an adoption case, frankly. Mr. Regnerus, is that case that you're recalling the case in Michigan -- a federal court case in Michigan?

- Q And that would be the DeBoer v. Snyder?
- A That would be DeBoer v. Snyder.
- Q Aw, thank you. I'm going to pull up an opinion in that case. Are you familiar with the Judge's opinion in this case?
 - A I have read it.
 - Q And are you familiar with the reference to your -- you testified as an expert witness in that case; is that correct?
- A Correct.
- 9 | Q And --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- 10 A Several of us did.
- Q All right. And some of what the testimony that you provided related to that -- that study that you referred to

from 2012 regarding same-sex parents and parenting --

- 14 A Mm-hm.
- 15 0 -- is that correct?
 - A Mm-hm. Correct. Well -- yeah.
 - Q And can you describe what -- the general outcome of that study as you provided that testimony to the federal court?
 - MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this line of questioning. It really has nothing to do with his testimony on the issues of this case.
 - THE COURT: Overruled.
- THE WITNESS: Could you restate the question, please?

 BY MR. SOUTHWICK:
 - Q Mr. Regnerus, didn't you testify in federal court

regarding that 2012 sexual orientation and parenting study?

- A Yes, that's correct.
- Q And hasn't that study been widely criticized in academic circles?
- A It's been widely criticized. At the same time, it's factually correct. It has not been retracted, unlike

 Mr. Hatzenbuehler's article I evaluated. And it has since led to a variety of studies that uphold the same conclusions that I reached. Not all of them. Fundamentally, this domain boils down to disputes about measurements and disputes about analytical decision-making -- very technical stuff.
 - Q And, Mr. Regnerus, do you stand by the methodology and conclusions of that study?
- 14 | A I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

- Q Now, Mr. Regnerus, in that case -- DeBoer v. Snyder -- I'm going to read the Judge's -- the Federal Judge's opinion.

 After you provided expert testimony, the Court states, "The Court finds Regnerus' testimony entirely unbelievable and not
- worthy of serious consideration." Do you agree with that statement, or do you disagree?
- 21 A I don't agree with that statement.
- Q The Court goes on to describe the study and says, "The
 Court finds this testimony unbelievable," and goes on -- it
 states, "It's no wonder that the NFSS" -- which is a shorthand
- 25 for that study; is that right?

A That's correct.

- Q "Has been widely and severely criticized by other scholars, and that Regnerus' own Sociology Department at the University of Texas has distanced itself from NFSS, in particular, and Dr. Regnerus' views in general, and reaffirmed the aforementioned American Psychological Association position statement." Is that right?
 - A Well, the Sociology Department didn't distance itself.

 The Sociology Department Chair, making a decision without consulting the executive committee, a member of which I believe I was at the time, rendered that decision, speaking so-called on behalf of the Department. But that was her opinion.
 - Q And, in fact, the Department Chair issued a statement saying, "Dr. Regnerus' work had been cited inappropriately in efforts to diminish the civil rights and legitimacy of LGBTQ partners and their families." Do you recall that?
 - A Yeah. I believe that was part of the letter -- her opinion.
- Q Do you agree with that assessment?
 - A I disagree with that assessment.
 - Q But, in fact, you continue to stand by the study. And is one of the conclusions of the study essentially that same-sex parents provide suboptimal parenting environments for children?
 - A I don't believe I said that in those words. I believe --
 - Q All right. Well, could you tell us what the central

1 conclusions of your study were? 2 Α The central conclusions --MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor, I have to object again. 3 This is so far afield from what Dr. Regnerus is doing in this 4 5 case. 6 THE COURT: It goes to his value as an expert. And I 7 find it helpful. And I will overrule that objection. Okay. 8 MR. SCHAERR: 9 THE WITNESS: All right. So could you just repeat 10 that last question for me, please? BY MR. SOUTHWICK: 11 12 Mr. Regnerus, that study that was so thoroughly 13 criticized by the Court -- can you tell us what the general 14 conclusion of that study was? 15 I evaluated about 15 different family structure Right. 16 17 18

types during adolescence, which is itself a challenge, because American households exhibit a lot of turnover these days. Two of those household types were types where there was a mother or a father who had been in a same-sex relationship at some point during the child's growing-up years. Right? These are children who were 18 to 39, as adults, reflecting upon their growing-up years back in -- when I fielded this in 2011.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we looked across that whole domain of both assessments of the present -- like current employment, marital status, family well-being -- and also back about their past --

1 right? -- their growing-up years, their assessments of their 2 relationship with their mother and their father, family dynamics -- and came to the conclusion that those kids who grew 3 up in that kind of a household, that had a parent who had been 4 in a same-sex relationship of unclear duration and unclear 5 arrangement, fared worse on roughly 15 to 25 of the 40 6 7 different outcomes we studied when compared to children who were raised in stably married mother-and-father households --8 also compared to a variety of different kinds of households --9 single-mother households, single-father households, 10 stepparenting households, adopted before age two, adopted after 11 12 The reach of that -- the ability of that study was 13 significant.

Now, you're right. It raised a lot of -- both attention and irritation. And, yet, some people say, "Oh, it's been debunked." Like it's debunked? But it's still in print.

Because there's nothing factually wrong about what appears there. People didn't like --

Q Mr. Regnerus --

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A -- the conclusions.
- Q Mr. Regnerus, isn't the true that the journal that printed it issued an audit, and the audit found that it should never have been printed in the first place?
- A The audit -- I'd be happy to talk about that. The audit, which is an almost unheard of experience, occurred because the

editor of the journal seemed sort of paralyzed by the criticism that he was getting. So he appointed someone who claimed to be a neutral party, who had been a friend of mine for a fair number of years, who's a sociologist of religion, by the way -- not a sociologist of sexual behavior per se -- to audit the entire process. And he concluded at the end of the process -- he didn't like the fact that it was published -- but he didn't see radical anomalies in the review process if that -- you know -- to characterize it.

And so, I mean, I was stunned that such a thing would happen. And -- so it remained in print. The editor felt so harassed -- he was sued by someone in New Jersey in Florida court -- and retired prematurely and passed away not that long ago. And I'm very sorry for his experience. At the same time, the article is not untrue.

- Q Mr. Regnerus, just to clarify, regardless of the conclusions of the study, the Court in DeBoer took issue with the methodology of the study; is that correct?
- A What do you mean "the Court"? The Judge?
- Q The Judge.

- A Right. Insofar as a Judge is expected to really understand the nuances of regression methods and the use of control variables.
- Q And, Mr. Regnerus, are you aware that the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, in considering the appeal in this matter,

likewise reaffirmed the statements of the District Court regarding your study and your testimony?

- A I'm not aware of that at all. I just know they overturned the decision. That's all.
- Q Mr. Regnerus, are you a clinical psychologist?
- A I'm not.

- Q Are you an expert in the field of mental health outcomes for LGBTQ youth?
 - A Not an expert. Expert in the methodology of some of the studies in this domain.
 - Q And, Mr. Regnerus, are you an expert on religious liberty issues or the religious underpinnings of Council for Christian College and Universities' code of conduct statements?
 - A I'm not sure who is an expert on those things. I'm a sociologist of religion who typically studies large-scale data on the religious influences on youth, young adult behavior, relationship behavior, et cetera.

MR. SOUTHWICK: Your Honor, Plaintiffs move to exclude the portions of Mr. Regnerus' expert report that deal with clinical psychology, LGBT mental health outcomes, sexual orientation change efforts, gender identity change efforts, as well as separately move to exclude Mr. Regnerus' testimony regarding methodology, as he has previously been found by a Federal Court that his methodologies themselves are unbelievable and not worthy of serious consideration.

1 MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor, may I conduct some 2 follow-up questioning? THE COURT: Certainly. 3 4 MR. SCHAERR: Thank you. 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) 6 7 BY MR. SCHAERR: Dr. Regnerus, what was the -- what did the Sixth Circuit 8 ultimately do with the District Judge's decision in the DeBoer 9 10 case? 11 They reversed it on a two-to-one decision. 12 Okay. And you mentioned earlier that, in that case, you 13 had -- you had relied upon and testified about a 2012 study on 14 family structures; is that correct? Mm-hm. 15 Α And did your -- did your analysis of that -- did your 16 17 analysis of that study play any role in your analysis in this 18 case? 19 Α No. 20 Okay. Does your work on family structures at issue with 21 that case have any bearing on your analysis of the issues in 22 this case? 23 Α They don't. 24 Okay. You mentioned earlier that you're not a clinical 25 psychologist; correct?

- A That's correct.
 - Q Are you experienced in assessing psychological studies?
- 3 A Yes.

2

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay. And can one be an expert in assessing psychological studies without being a clinical psychologist?
 - A Absolutely. There are plenty of them out there who do exactly that.
 - Q Okay. And you would consider them experts in that field?
- 9 | A Yes.
- 10 | Q Okay.
- 11 A There's plenty about contemporary psychology that has been criticizable over the last 15 to 20 years.
- Q And, Dr. Regnerus, do you recall reading the Sixth Circuit's opinion in the DeBoer case?
 - A I think I have read it at one time.
 - Q Okay. Do you recall seeing anything at all in that opinion about criticizing your study?
 - A No. I was -- if it exists, I was unaware of it until a moment ago.
 - Q Okay.
 - MR. SCHAERR: Well, Your Honor, obviously we oppose the Plaintiffs' motion to exclude any portions of Dr. Regnerus' testimony. We think he's fully qualified to express his opinions in this case. And we believe that his opinions will be helpful to the Court. And obviously he's simply responding

to the three expert reports and the two -- the many hours of expert testimony that we've heard from the Plaintiffs.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. SOUTHWICK: Nothing further from Plaintiffs.

MR. TUCKER: Your Honor, Ryan Tucker for the Religious Schools. We certainly do not object to the expert testimony of this individual.

THE COURT: So I thank you for the follow-up in the examination. He will be acknowledged as an expert. But his -- I will look carefully at his opinions. And it will go to admissibility and weight to be given to it and expertise. And, you know, I do understand the distinctions in these studies. And I do understand the distinction between a sociologist and a psychologist. So I think I can weigh and balance that in this opinion. But I appreciated the record that was made. Thank you. Go ahead.

MR. SCHAERR: Thank you, Your Honor. We would also move to admit Dr. Regnerus' expert report as Exhibit 26.

THE COURT: Again, his report will be admitted. The Court retains and will retain the ability to evaluate that report and to the extent it goes to weight and with regard to how that report is used will be, again, looked at by the Court over against the areas of expertise of this particular witness.

MR. SCHAERR: Understood, Your Honor. Thank you.

MS. SNYDER: Your Honor, this is the Government

1 Defendants. Just -- I understand the Court's ruling. Just for 2 the record, we'd like to state an objection to the admission of the report on hearsay. I understand Your Honor has already 3 taken it into evidence. 4 THE COURT: Right. 5 6 MS. SNYDER: Thank you. 7 MR. SCHAERR: May I proceed, Your Honor? 8 THE COURT: Yes, please. 9 MR. SCHAERR: Thank you. 10 BY MR. SCHAERR: Dr. Reqnerus, are you aware of the generation study that 11 12 was conducted by Dr. Meyer and discussed in his testimony on 13 Thursday? 14 Yes, I am. 15 Okay. Can you briefly review for us what that study found 16 with respect to those members of the LGBT community in the 17 youngest cohort that Dr. Meyer looked at? 18 Right. The generation study that -- in the particular 19 article that Dr. Meyer had coauthored -- noted statistically 20 higher suicide attempts across a lifetime -- higher reports of 21 everyday discrimination, higher psychological distress, greater 22 internalized homophobia all among the youngest cohort 18-to-25-year-olds -- in the generation study at the same time, 23 as they report lower levels of physical assault, lower levels 24

of sexual assault, less experience with being robbed,

threatened with violence.

This is unique in some ways to see, across a lifetime, higher rates of reporting of these all the while happening while American society has become more secular; demonstrated less stigma on average; and the social support for LGBT population, social support for same-sex marriage, et cetera, has grown.

So Dr. Meyer's study reports that this -- youngest LGBT adults -- even while they experience higher connection with the LGBT community and no difference in felt stigma -- that the situation remains that they seem in psychologically greater distress across a variety of outcomes compared to their older LGBT peers or cohorts in this study.

- Q Okay. And, Dr. Regnerus, is that -- is that group or that cohort that Dr. Meyer looked at -- is it -- is it limited to members of the LGBT community who attend religious colleges?
- A No. I think the -- that's without regard to like attendance in college at all.
- Q So that's in the general population; is that correct?
- 20 A As far as I can tell, yes.
 - Q Okay. Now, do these findings, in your opinion, have relevance to this case beyond the opinions that Dr. Meyer expressed last week?
 - A Could you restate that question?
 - Q Do these findings from Dr. Meyer have relevance in this

case beyond the opinions that Dr. Meyer expressed in his testimony last week?

A Yeah. Yeah, I think so. I mean, it suggests that previous eras of LGBT students at Christian colleges and universities were probably apt to have understood the unique rules about sexual relationships, et cetera, as the norm, and either they would -- abided by them or surreptitiously thwarted them or simply elected to avoid them -- the colleges -- in the first place, selecting universities that didn't have such rules.

- Q Okay. And does Dr. Meyer's analysis suggest that LGBT students or college-age LGBT students are struggling in other settings besides religious college?
- A Yes.

- 15 | 0 Okay.
- 16 A Yeah. The evidence and the generations study declares so.
- 17 Q Okay. So it's a generalized problem?
 - A It seems to be. And it seems to be -- crossed different kinds of data sets -- different data collection. And I cited several studies, including the Rutgers study that Hoogstra had mentioned.
 - Q Okay. Well, let's -- and let's move into some of that -- some of that data. Are you aware of any data to suggest that the struggles faced by LGBT young people are more prevalent at religious colleges than they are at secular colleges?

- Having reviewed the REAP study, which is exclusive to CCCU-types of colleges, you see clear difference between LGBT students and non-LGBT students. But what we don't get from that is a sense of comparison outside of those environments. So I took it upon myself to examine some of the more recent 5 studies that focused on LGBT college students and were at other 7 kinds of institutions. And --
 - Okay.

2

3

4

6

8

14

16

17

18

- 9 -- they've seemed to be faring no better than the students 10 did at -- in the REAP study -- and sometimes worse.
- 11 So you say the students in secular colleges are faring no 12 better than the students in the --
- 13 Right. Α
 - -- in the REAP survey?
- Yeah, it appears so. 15
 - Well, let's -- and let's dig into that a little more. going to show you what's been marked as CCCU Exhibit 1. rather Mr. Prince is going to show you. Okay. Do you recognize this report, Dr. Regnerus?
- 20 I do. I've read it.
- 21 Okay. And is this the REAP survey that you mentioned 22 earlier?
- It is. 23 Α
- 24 Okay. And what exactly did the REAP survey review?
- 25 They reviewed a variety of outcomes and behaviors, et

1 cetera, as well as experiences -- you know, experiences of 2 stigma, experiences of discipline -- and all of it in the CCCU types of colleges and universities -- and compared LGBT 3 students versus non-LGBT students. Everybody there is at one 4 of these kinds of CCCU-type of schools. 5 6 Okay. Thank you. 7 MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor, we would move the admission of CCCU Exhibit 1. 8 9 THE COURT: Objections? Anything else? 10 MR. SOUTHWICK: No objections from Plaintiffs. 11 MS. SNYDER: No objections from Government 12 Defendants, Your Honor. 13 MR. TUCKER: And no objections from the Religious 14 Schools. 15 Thank you. It will be received. THE COURT: 16 MR. SCHAERR: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 BY MR. SCHAERR: 18 And do you recall whether the REAP survey mentioned the 19 rates at which LGBT students were disciplined for violations of 20 the school's sexuality policy? 21 Α I do. 22 And do you recall what those rates were? 23 Well, in general -- this is among -- there was --24 5 percent of respondents to the REAP report stated that they 25 had ever faced disciplinary action from their college or

university -- right? -- 5 percent. Among that 5 percent -- small minority -- 12 percent reported the sexual code of conduct as a reason for such an action.

So it means like if -- 0.05 times 0.12 -- you get 0.006 of 1 percent of all REAP survey respondents, or 6 out of every 1,000. There was 3,001 kids in the study. So we're talking about 18 students out of 3,000 who were disciplined for this particular reason.

Q Okay.

A So, you know, for context, the REAP survey administrators point out that 10 percent of respondents self-identify as a sexual minority or, if you want to be more generous, 12 percent or even 30 percent, depends on how you measure it. And so we're talking about a lot of students here. Right?

Potentially 300 to 360 to make it even 900 out of the 3,000 total in the study. And we're talking about, you know, 18 students total who were disciplined by failing in -- with regard to the sexual code of conduct. Which having been a student in that environment myself, you know, a lot of people don't like the sexual code of conducts -- right? -- and chafe against them. So it's a small number, given the focus that we've talked about on -- or heard about on discipline.

Q Okay. So is it fair to say, based on your review of the REAP survey, that discipline of LGBT students based on the school's sexuality policy is rare?

A Yes.

- Q Okay. Let's pull up what has been marked as CCCU
- 3 Exhibit 2. And I'll ask you, Dr. Regnerus, if you recognize
- 4 | this document.
- 5 | A I do.
- 6 Q Okay. And tell us what that is.
- 7 A It's a sort of -- Rutgers University-based reports
- 8 comparing heterosexuals and what they call queer-spectrum
- 9 students across a variety of outcomes. I think it was fielded
- 10 in 2017. I mean, if it's published in 2018, I think it was
- 11 2017. I'm not entirely sure -- pre-COVID era though.
- 12 Q Okay. And what did that study look at?
- 13 A It looked at questions about like victimization, mental
- 14 | health, basic emotional health, substance use, a variety of
- 15 | things.
- 16 O Okay. And did it focus on LGBT students?
- 17 A Yes. And compared to, you know, heterosexual students, it
- 18 was not -- if I'm not mistaken -- it was not -- yeah -- I guess
- 19 this was students only. But it was not at like CCCU-type
- 20 schools. Although there may by Christians among them, but it's
- 21 | not a CCCU-based survey.
- 22 Q Okay. So it's a study of LGBT college students at secular
- 23 universities; is that fair?
- 24 A That's fair.
- 25 THE COURT: At the only public university in New

```
1
    Jersey.
 2
               THE WITNESS: That's right. College of New Jersey --
         That's also public.
 3
    no?
               THE COURT:
                           Pardon?
 4
              MR. SCHAERR: Yes.
 5
               THE WITNESS: The College of New Jersey is also
 6
 7
    public.
               THE COURT: But it's considered the only public
 8
    university in New Jersey.
 9
10
               THE WITNESS: Okay.
11
               THE COURT: The main university.
12
              MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor, we would move the admission
    of CCCU Exhibit 2.
13
14
               MR. SOUTHWICK: Plaintiffs have no objections to any
    of CCCU's exhibits.
15
16
              MS. SNYDER: No objection, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: It will be received.
17
18
               MR. SCHAERR: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
    BY MR. SCHAERR:
20
         Well, Dr. Regnerus, let's talk for a minute about what we
21
    learned from the Rutgers study compared with the REAP survey.
22
    Now, what, if anything -- and you've compared those two
    studies; correct?
23
24
         I did.
    Α
25
         And what, if anything, does that comparison show about the
```

mental health of LGBT students at religious colleges compared with LGBT students at secular schools?

A Right. If we're going to evaluate them side by side, in different kinds of samples at different kinds of times, my read on it is that LGBT students who are in non-CCCU schools report at least as high, if not higher, rates of emotional health challenges, depression, anxiety, higher suicidality, if I recall.

There's more outcomes in the Rutgers study than the REAP study. But in terms of victimization -- you know -- notably higher alcohol use -- higher -- which kind of makes sense. You know, there's probably alcohol policies at CCCU schools. But there just seems to be a little -- notable more vulnerability in non-CCCU schools.

- Q Okay. So is it fair to say that to the extent the outcomes in the two studies can be compared, LGBT students at CCCU-type colleges fared no worse and, on many measures, better than their counterparts at secular schools?
- A Yeah, that's correct.
- Q Okay. So what effect, if any, does the fact that -- well, let me back up. Is it your understanding that the REAP review was conducted during COVID and during the shutdowns --
- 23 | A Yes.

- 0 -- associated with that?
- A According to the REAP document, it was fielded during the

COVID era.

Q Okay. And what effect, if any, does that fact have on this comparison between the REAP survey and the Rutgers study? A Typically speaking, most data collection efforts we saw during the COVID era of anybody, LGBT included, noted higher anxiety rates, higher depression rates, more self-harm, et cetera, during the COVID era. The REAP survey was fielded during the COVID era -- even though, you know, you have to look at the dates in which it was fielded -- it was not admitted in the text of it if I'm not mistaken -- such that I would say the REAP survey should frankly be an overestimate of kind of problems among students in CCCU schools, LGBT or not, given that it was fielded during a sort of pandemic when we widely recognized that young people were having above-average difficulty. And, frankly, before that, they were not in great shape either.

I think it's fair to say that young adults in the United States are in some measure of crisis -- particularly LGBT students -- yes -- but it's wide. And since the REAP study was fielded during COVID, that would, on average, if it had been fielded earlier, probably add some degree of additional levels of depression, anxiety, et cetera to the results that is -- that's not revealed now. So I took the liberty of trying to find what else -- what other studies have been fielded during the COVID era. Because that does reflect sort of a more

- pronounced experience of troubles.
 - Q And we'll come to those in just a minute. But just if we can stay on a REAP report for a minute longer.
 - A Sure.

- Q You mentioned that it was conducted during the COVID era.

 If it had been conducted in more normal times, would you have expected to see a -- would you expect -- would you have expected to see students at CCCU schools do better or worse, in general, compared to students at secular colleges?
 - A If COVID had not occurred, and we weren't paying any attention to the sampling dates of these, yeah, that should remain stable in the sense of I would anticipate the nature and dynamics of a lot of CCCU schools offering some degree of generalized protection, especially from like alcohol-related things, violence-related things. And so those rates should be lower still independent of COVID.
- Q Okay. So the rates would have been lower still in the CCCU schools; is that what you're saying?
- A Right. Certainly in the way of anxiety. Anxiety took a spike during the COVID era.
- Q Okay. Now, are the conclusions you drew from comparing the REAP report and the Rutgers study consistent with what you found elsewhere in the literature that you just mentioned?
- A Yes. Yeah.
- Q And tell us about that.

```
1
         Right.
                 So I took a look around at what else had been
 2
    fielded during the COVID era. It was a unique opportunity for
    data collection -- as they call it, a "natural experiment."
 3
    There's a survey by Vanderbilt University researchers -- about
 4
    477 LGBT college students ages 18 to 25 -- same as in the
 5
    generation study, which was not exclusively college students --
 6
 7
    that was in April-June 2020. In that, 61 percent of the
 8
    students recorded frequent mental distress, 65 percent reported
 9
    anxiety, 60 percent reported major depressions --
10
         Okay. And these are --
    0
11
         -- in particular.
12
         Are these at secular universities?
13
         Yeah, I believe so. Yeah. It was across a variety of
14
    universities if I'm not mistaken.
15
         Okay.
    Q
```

16 | A They --

18

22

23

24

- 17 0 And are those --
 - A Those two kind of compare -- sorry -- go ahead.
- Q Are those rates that you mentioned higher or lower than the rates and psychological difficulties as reported in the REAP report?
 - A On some things they're comparable, and some things they're lower in terms of like -- well -- let me rephrase that. There are different kinds of measures. There are clinical measures -- markers -- right?

Q Mm-hm.

A For example, 61 percent said they had more frequent mental distress, which was measured as 14 or more days per month of not good mental health. 65 percent reported anxiety, which is sort of a generalized anxiety disorder -- right? -- a clinical term. 60 percent report a major depression based on patient health questionnaire.

You go to REAP, you have comparable numbers, but they typically -- they're self-reports of ever having experienced depression -- okay? -- which is not current to the situation necessarily -- like ever in, you know, your lifetime having experienced depression.

64 percent report ever having experienced loneliness. By the way, compared to the Rutgers, I think it was 70 to 79 percent had -- of LGBT students -- had experienced loneliness. But 59 percent of the other -- rest of the sample -- experienced loneliness. College can be a lonely experience.

73 percent had reported anxiety. But these are ever -they're just simple self-reports about ever having experienced
these things, which is decidedly different than sort of a
clinical marker in the present.

O Mm-hm.

A So when you see that they're comparable numbers, but one's a clinical marker in the present -- the Vanderbilt study -- and

- 1 one is ever having experienced this self-report -- in the REAP 2 survey -- I'm thinking that the Vanderbilt study is picking up, on average, worse situations in the present than the REAP 3 survey has picked up in the present, although they ask about 4 the past, largely speaking. 5 Okay. So you recall some discussion in the -- in --6 7 previously in this hearing about a 2016 study by Dr. Wolff. Correct. 8 Α 9 Do you recall discussions of that study? Now, are the 10
 - conclusions from your comparison consistent with that 2016 Wolff study?
 - Right. Well, they're kind of different studies. largely qualitative -- interview-based.
 - Mm-hm. 0

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- The ones I'm referring to are more survey-based analyses. But they're coming from comparable kinds of samples or populations -- CCCU-type schools.
- 0 Mm-hm.
- So Dr. Wolff, among other conclusions, remarks in that significantly fewer symptoms of depression and social anxiety were detected in the schools that he's focused on -- the CCCU-type schools -- than compared to Catholic and mainline Protestant colleges and universities, both of which tend to have far more progressive behavioral policies and norms.
 - He seemed puzzled by that finding, yet, he acknowledged

- that religion can offer a substantial amount of comfort and a source of community. So I think they are somewhat comparable but, you know, quite different time frames.
- Q Mm-hm.

- A I don't believe -- you know -- I think that this was -Wolff was well before COVID --
 - Q Right.
 - A -- and is a different kind of data collection effort.
 - Q Okay. But is it fair to say that the overall direction of the Wolff study is similar to the basic conclusion that you reached based on comparing the REAP review and the Rutgers study?
- 13 | A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And I believe the Wolff 2016 study has already been introduced as -- I think as Exhibit 6.
 - MR. SCHAERR: Does that sound right to you, Paul?

 MR. SOUTHWICK: Gene, are you talking about

 Dr. Wolff's expert report?
 - MR. SCHAERR: No, his 2016 study. Maybe I'll just go ahead and move that into -- let's see -- Josh, can you show that study to us with the exhibit number? Yeah. I understand that is CCCU Exhibit 6. Why don't we put that on the screen and have Dr. Wolff identify it -- I'm sorry -- Dr. Regnerus.

 BY MR. SCHAERR:
- 25 Q Dr. Regnerus, is this the 2016 Wolff study that we've been

1 discussing? 2 Α I believe so, yes. MR. SCHAERR: And, Your Honor, we would move the 3 admission of that study. 4 5 THE COURT: Any objection? MS. SNYDER: No objection from the Government 6 7 Defendants, Your Honor. MR. SCHAERR: 8 Okay. Thank you. 9 THE COURT: No other objections? All right. MR. TUCKER: No objections, Your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: Received. 12 MR. SCHAERR: Okay. 13 BY MR. SCHAERR: 14 Well, let's move on now and briefly discuss the theology 15 and related practices that are embraced by Christian colleges. 16 Is it your understanding that religious colleges often have 17 policies on sexuality and gender? 18 Α Yes. 19 And do some of them forbid sexual activity outside of a 20 man-woman marriage? I would bet that most of the CCCU schools do so. 21 22 Okay. And it's fair to say that would be what you would view as probably a characteristic of what you've called the 23 24 CCCU-type schools; is that right? 25 Α Correct.

Q Okay. And based on your understanding, what is it that informs those policies?

A Right. Well, they're mostly from the Protestant tradition of Christianity. And, historically, notions about Christian sexuality have been -- long been fairly narrowly defined. To use the modern terms, they are typically heteronormative in their approach to illicit relationships. Their theological anthropology -- to use that term -- or theology-informed anthropology -- the understanding of the human person -- is probably what we'd call cisnormative. Right? It's focused on males and females, men and women. And these have long been utterly uncontroversial.

Now, the policies have long been probably chafed against, as I mentioned before, but not contested in such a live and systematic manner.

Q Okay.

- A So -- I'm sorry. I can --
- Q Well, and -- go ahead.

A All right. So, I mean, Christian commitments, theological commitments of the body, the meaning of sex, sort of the point of relationships, the significance of marriage and child bearing are very old. They differ somewhat across these different kinds of schools by denomination, et cetera. But there's kind of a consistent strain to them, I think we can agree.

- Q Okay. And are those beliefs and policies typically grounded in the Bible or other sources?
- A In the CCCU, they are typically grounded in the Bible and interpretations thereof.
- Q Okay. And have you formed an opinion, as a sociologist who studies religion, on what would happen to religious colleges that adhere to this theological framework if they tried to make their policies more accommodating towards homosexual and maybe gender-transitioning behavior?
- A Right, I have.

- MR. SOUTHWICK: Objection, lack of foundation, speculation.
- MR. SCHAERR: It's within his expertise and part of his analysis.
- THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.

 BY MR. SCHAERR:
- Q Okay. So you were -- we discussed that you're a sociologist who studies religion. Correct, Dr. Regnerus?
- A That is correct.
- Q Okay. And we've discussed the theological framework on which these -- these policies on what you called "CCCU-type schools" are based; is that right?
- 23 A Right.
 - Q And you've been a professor at a CCCU school; right?
- 25 A Briefly, yes.

- Q At Calvin College?
- A Correct.

2

3

4

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q And so you have some direct experience with an institution that follows that framework; correct?
- 5 A Correct.
 - Q And I think you told me that you were a student at that kind of a college as an undergraduate.
 - A I was.
- 9 Q Okay. So you have extensive personal experience with this 10 kind of institution --
- 11 | A I do.
- 12 | 0 -- is that fair?
- 13 | A Yes.
 - Q And you've also -- in the court for this case and in other settings -- you've also studied those institutions and what makes them tick; right?
 - A Yes. You know, not directly study those institutions.
 But insofar as studying young adults, Christian and otherwise,
 yes.
 - Q Okay. So based on your experience as a student and a professor at a CCCU-type religious school, how do you think -- how do you think the broader community that feeds students to that school, for example, would react if the school tried to change their policies about homosexual and gender transitioning behavior?

MR. SOUTHWICK: Same objections. Lack of foundation, speculation regarding how unnamed people in the community might feel about policy changes.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. SCHAERR: It's a part of -- okay.

BY MR. SCHAERR:

Q Well, based on your experience, Dr. Regnerus, what do you believe would happen to the religious character of those colleges if they abandoned their Bible-based policies?

A Their religious character would be up for grabs. Because the contestation of this kind of thing would create a secularizing force within those universities. They would have decisions to make about, "Do we tweak the policy a little bit? How much is -- can we feel like we can tweak it? Is it -- what's too much to give away in terms of their particular theological traditions and understandings of the human body, relationships, human sexuality, et cetera?"

So you'll see a lot of handwringing, you'll see a lot of meetings over this and, frankly, a lot of these schools it will come down to an understanding of whether they can afford to retain their policy and still survive. And if that's the decision they have to make, they will either alter it in order to stay open, or they will not alter it and see what happens. But usually this is a case where only the strong, in terms of the financially most stable among these universities, will make

it if they don't change.

But, frankly, to think about like how do they change it, they'll be faced with a host of questions not only about the present -- you know, what must we do to tweak our policies not to discriminate -- but also like the anticipatory of the future. Because, you know, oftentimes the plus in LGBT+ is about, you know, forms of sexual orientation or gender identity or combinations thereof that are coming -- emergent in some ways. So it's kind of asking them to move their boundary marks but in an unclear environment.

So I think it would create, frankly, like serious crises at a lot of different schools -- most of the CCCU schools -- and create sort of chaos within prior to the -- when they have to make up decisions on this stuff and live with it.

- Q Okay. And you mentioned that some of them would be financially strong enough that they would feel like they could retain their traditional historical understanding of sexuality; right?
- A Right. Typically, depending on if they're tuition-dependent. If they're tuition-dependent, then they're in serious trouble.
- Q Okay. And so for those who are less tuition-dependent, what would the impact of somebody's forcing -- trying to force them to abandon their traditional policies be on the class of students that they can accept and serve?

- A Right. They would probably not change much about the students they're enrolling there. It's the universities that are sort of -- will be financially stressed by this that -- you know -- not having access to Stafford Loans and Pell Grants would be -- make for a much more challenging decision-making environment for them.
- Q Okay. And does that also mean that they would have to turn away some students who would otherwise like to go there but could no longer afford to because they don't have federal support?
- A Not knowing how admissions works in any of these schools -- admissions is often, so far as I can tell, based on educated guess works and algorithms. And so I think a lot of those would have to shift quite significantly.
- Q Okay. And they might shift away from students who were financially needy; is that fair?
- A That would be more speculative on my behalf. But if they're looking for people who can afford it, who don't need help, that's who they would be primarily interested in, I suspect.
- Q Okay. And is it fair to say also that what you called CCCU-type institutions are trying to build what they would consider Christian communities?
- A Yeah. It's my experience, personally, and also my observation --

Q Mm-hm.

A -- that the kind of community that they purport to create for students is one of their primary sort of advertising points.

Q Okay. And is part of that effort to create a Christian community offering a community where -- where people -- where the entire community is committed to living traditional Christian teachings?

A Yes. You know, most students going to these schools are aware of their relationships, policies, or become aware of them shortly after they get to campus. And so it's kind of the deal. Sometimes it's -- you go in assuming there's a policy even if you don't know it yet. But -- and lots of people are attracted to that.

I would presume that most people who elect to attend a school like this are attracted to that notion at least, you know, at the beginning. I mean, lots of people can rethink their love for the relationship policies after they're enrolled. And that applies to all manner of kinds of students. But most of the time people going in saying, "This is what I want."

Q Mm-hm.

A Today more than ever people apply to more schools and get -- seem to give a lot more thought to it than ever before, which taxes the algorithms of lots of these schools. "Hey, if

you're applying to eight or ten schools, and you only go to one, what chance do they have of coming here?"

Q Sure. So as you understand it, Dr. Regnerus, what's your understanding of the evidence on the number of LGBT students who decide to go to CCCU-type colleges even with their sexuality and gender policies?

A Yeah. That's challenging. And I reviewed the estimates. I'm thinking that was Dr. Coley who made that if I'm not mistaken. I know the term 100,000 -- or the word -- the number 100,000 was tossed out, but it possibly is as large as 133,000.

Now, I think it was Dr. Coley who kind of evaluated this based on sort of how many LGBT, you know, 17 to 18-year-olds should we presume there to be in the country, and then let's sort of apply that to the schools. But I think there's a lot of self-selectivity that goes on here that he didn't really account for. I think that there's a fair number who decide that this is, you know, not what they want and don't enroll in the first place --

O Mm-hm.

A -- more likely don't even apply in the first place. Or if they apply and enroll, some of them have negative experiences or decide that, you know, college is a time for experimentation, et cetera, and they decide, "Well, maybe this is not for me, and I'll transfer somewhere else." The United

States educational institutions are some of the most forgiving in the world. I mean, people enroll here, enroll there, two to three different places. So it's a pretty strong free market in higher ed.

- Q Okay. Now -- but in all events you agree with the Plaintiffs' experts that, at a minimum, it's a significant number of LGBT --
- A Yeah.

- Q -- students who choose to go to CCCU-type colleges; right?
- A Yes. Yes, for sure.
 - Q And per your review and your own experience, do you have an understanding of why members of the LGBT population might choose to attend the CCCU-type school?
 - A Yeah. I presume that, going in, they have quite similar evaluations as non-LGBT students going in. They recognize, on average, I suspect, that -- they're aware of the relationship policies, and they want to abide by them. Sometimes they're not aware, or sometimes they're not aware of the scope of it. But, you know, most of the students enrolling in these things understand it's a Christian institution, Christian-based policies, and desire the kind of environment that that college offers.

And, you know, I think both LGBT and non-LGBT students recognize that Christian sexual morality is not simple, not easy. There's a costly kind of obedience to it. But there's

also forgiveness and the supposition of a community of support for living out difficult things. So I presume that they're interested in that as much as non-LGBT students.

Q Okay. And did you review any literature on the mental health of LGBT persons who decide to live celibate lives in order to be able to live consistently with their Christian beliefs?

A Well, insofar as we're talking about the Yarhouse study, yes. I mean, here's not a lot written on the subject so far as I can tell.

O Hm.

A And in the Yarhouse book, he talks about -- I think it's 300 persons in his study. And they live in different kinds of situations. Some are in what they call "mixed-orientation marriages." Some like to live celibate lives.

Anyways, he pools these and reports that 80 percent of them appear in the normal range for depression; 90 percent in the normal range for anxiety; and a little bit lower -- 63 percent -- for life satisfaction. So compared to like normal ranges -- you know -- like the average for the population for their age.

So it's -- you know -- he also characterizes this life as challenging and not simple, yet, it can be rewarding in terms of family life, and shared children, friendship and love between spouses. But he noticed too that -- you know -- he had

bisexual and homosexuals in the study. Homosexuality is more apt to contribute to divorce than bisexuality was. So it's a mixed, complex situation.

- Q Okay. And the Yarhouse study that you mentioned is the study that's discussed in paragraph 38 of your report; is that right?
- A I believe so, yes.
- Q And how did Dr. Yarhouse's figures compare with the general background rate of depression and anxiety and distress, again, in the broader population?
- A Right. On average, compared to the broader population of adults and different kinds of situations and settings, the relationship status is comparable.
- O Mm-hm.

- A LGBT adults tend to have higher rates of emotional health difficulties, self-reported or clinical.
- O Mm-hm.
 - A So they appear better than their average peers. At the same time, it's a -- you know -- it's a self-selected sample that Yarhouse employs in this. So I wouldn't want to set the precedent of comparing self-selected samples too closely with population averages, because there are different kinds of types.
 - Q Okay. And do you have any sense of the background rate of depression and other mental illness in the LGBT population at

large?

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

16

17

18

19

20

- A I think in terms of the rates of adult depression, it's -if I'm not mistaken -- it's between 30 and 40 percent but, I
 mean, you know, experienced over timed. Right? It's not
 necessarily at any one point in time.
- Q Mm-hm.
- 7 A People move in and out of depressive episodes, et cetera, 8 higher than what Yarhouse is seeing.
 - Q Okay.
- 10 A But, again, it's not quite the ideal comparison.
- 11 Q Sure. All right. Does the literature address a category
 12 of LGBT adults who decide to enter what are called
- 13 | "mixed-orientation relationships"?
- 14 A It does. And there's not a lot of literature on that.
- 15 | O Mm-hm.
 - A But there's some. And I located kind of a -- an evaluation of the literature on this subject published I think in 2011 to 2015.
 - Q Okay. And is that something that a member of the LGBT community, who is also a devout Christian, might consider as a way of trying to follow their Christian beliefs?
- 22 A They could and some do.
- 23 O Mm-hm.
- 24 A I don't have a good sense of how many.
- 25 Q Mm-hm.

A I'm sure it's a minority though.

- Q Okay. And what impacts, if any, would being at a CCCU-type institution have on their ability to make that choice?
 - A I'm not sure I followed that question. Could you repeat again?
 - Q Okay. Would such a person's decision to attend a CCCU-type institution -- would that assist or deter them or harm them in trying to live that model?
 - A Oh, yeah. I presume it would assist them, provided they found a community of social support and sort of the ability to speak freely, get counseling, and find encouragement on what is obviously a difficult, challenging pathway.
 - Q Sure. And would the same be true for members of the LGBT community who decide they want to be celibate, at least for a time?
 - A Could they -- they would find comparable kinds of support. You know, it varies. And I recognize that this case is built in part by people's self-reports of decidedly different kinds of experience.
 - Q Sure.
 - A But I believe a CCCU purports and attempts to demonstrate kind of a gracious community and assist people in living Christian life in various ways and shapes and forms, not just around the matter of sexuality. But, as I mentioned before,

1 Christian life is -- I think it was (indiscernible) -- it's 2 nothing if not difficult. So I think part of their understanding is this is a community that is aimed to help 3 people do difficult things --4 5 Okay. -- whether they're LGBT or not. 6 And so you said earlier that CCCU-type institutions 7 would typically provide a community of people who were trying 8 to live the Christian faith regardless of the difficult 9 10 circumstances that they find themselves in; right? That seemed to be the case. 11 Α Right. Yes. 12 Okay. And so is it fair to say that an LGBT student who's 13 decided that he or she wants to live a celibate lifestyle, at 14 least while they're in college, would likely find support for 15 that in a CCCU-type institution? 16 I think they recognize that they have to be -- it 17 could be a challenge to locate that. But so far as I'm 18 familiar with the CCCU world -- and I'm somewhat familiar with 19 it -- you know, they find that some people can be trusted more 20 than others, et cetera. Most of these universities, especially

I mean, when you are 18 to 23 and in college, whether

do, you know, difficult things. And that holds whether they're

organizations, care deeply about people and want to help them

in their administrations and in their student life

21

22

23

24

25

LGBT or not.

you're gay or straight, hewing to sexual relationship behavior norms and rules is not simple. It reminds me a little bit of the old Dallas Cowboys Head Coach Tom Landry. He says, "My job as a football coach is trying to get men to do what they don't want to do so that that can become what they always want to be" -- you know -- football players.

Q Mm-hm.

A So it's like I think that's how the CCCU understands this.

- A So it's like I think that's how the CCCU understands this. It's like our job is to help students become, you know, not just better educated but more mature Christians, even if, at any given day or weekend, lots of them don't really wish to be. So it's a challenge. But it's -- I think it's a challenge that's central to their identity as organizations.
- Q Okay. So, Dr. Regnerus, what role might bisexuality or sexual orientation fluidity play in the attractiveness of Christian colleges for members of the LGBT community?

 A So Dr. Meyer said the other day -- and it's kind of passing -- and I'm not sure I'll -- I might be paraphrasing

these things -- "There are all kinds of combinations that are possible, though perhaps not common."

As the wider academic community around the study of sexual orientation recognizes the increasing role of fluidity, both in sexual orientation and in gender ideology -- especially for women but not exclusively so for women -- as that continues to be the case, it's not a stretch for me to think that

Mm-hm.

students -- again, more women than men -- would get to -frankly, I mean, there's probably two-thirds women at most of
these CCCU schools in general --

A -- because of skewed sex ratios -- would get to campus.

And, you know, from my work on the third book I published at Oxford, I had a slide in there where it shows that the share of younger adult women who called themselves 100 percent heterosexual, plunges age 18 down through like age 30. And then it starts another climb up to -- and then surpasses the share of men who do this.

There's definitely a U-shaped curve around heterosexuality self-identified among young adult women. So -- and I can only surmise from that, that during the college experience, there are more women experimenting who have described themselves or their sexual orientation perhaps as somewhat fluent. Right?

Now, that experience -- you know -- they may be experimenting. At the same time, there's these rules that are fairly fixed in their university about relationship and elicit behavior. It's plausible to me that the stability of the rules -- while that may by irritating to them -- but it's something that helps them, too, to become what they want to be down the road.

Again, it's like -- it's an interesting time in these people's lives. There's still identity formation going on.

There is -- as I just said about the -- sort of the skewed sex ratios -- those make for difficult relationship environments on campus when half of -- a third of the population on campus is male and up to two-thirds is female.

So, you know, I can see how these rules are kind of a guide star for people who are in an awkward time of life and in awkward sex ratio scenarios basically.

- Q And you can see how those rules, as you put it earlier, would help them to become what they in fact want to be or at least --
- A I mean, those things remain stable --
- 12 | O Mm-hm.

- A -- while they are in sort of this period of searching and at least self-perceived change.
- O Okay. And --

THE COURT: Counsel, I just want to let everyone know. It is now 11:30. And I'm very serious. I have another docket that starts at 1:00. That's all the time I have today and the rest of the week. So I'm going to suggest that -- to the extent that this testimony is going to be helpful -- that we narrow in on what issues actually I need to address and what would be helpful.

MR. SCHAERR: Sure, Your Honor. And I have just a couple more questions on this topic. And then I'm -- then I think I'm just about done. Would the Court prefer to take a

break now, or should we keep going?

THE COURT: No. I don't think there's time for a break --

MR. SCHAERR: Okay.

THE COURT: -- because I suspect there will be a somewhat lengthy cross. And I'm suggesting that -- I am -- I am very capable of evaluating the testimony and giving what weight it's due, and that people focus on the issues that I need to address in this PI hearing.

MR. SCHAERR: Okay. Well, thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. SCHAERR:

Q Dr. Regnerus, let's just quickly finish up on this point. In your expert opinion, what do you -- what do you think about whether religious colleges embracing traditional Christian theology on sexuality might be a good fit for LGBT students who are seeking to reconcile their sexuality and/or gender identity with the traditional Christian faith?

A Right. If that's what they wish, and it's a self-selected higher ed environment out there, I think it's a pretty good place to go. Because if you look at the higher ed offerings in the United States, there's thousands of colleges and universities, but there's not that many sort of distinctively Christian colleges and universities like the CCCU-type.

So people have every option to go other places. So we should make some presumptions about the people who elect to go

here intentionally, willingly, recognizing that, you know, they're persons in formation. And it can be a challenging four-plus years. But I think, you know, it's a -- it's good place for community that cares about people. I recognize that it can not always be a great fit.

But, in general, like we -- we see their -- sort of the rates of success even in the REAP report, which, you know, was fielded in the COVID era -- the rates of challenges and difficulties on campus are better than off campus or better than other secular campuses on average.

- Q Okay. And, Dr. Regnerus, what role do you see CCCU-type colleges playing in the diversity of the higher education marketplace?
- A Right. I think they play a pivotal role. They have lots of fans out there, parents, multigenerations of people who have attended particular schools like them. They are growing. They grew during the COVID era, decisively, even while lots of colleges receded.
- O Mm-hm.

A People taking time off, et cetera. So I think there's demand for such schools. But most of those schools are constantly cash-strapped, constantly tuition-dependent. And so I think altering the rules here around this will send a lot of them into a desperate search for whether they can make it or not, and challenges to sort of the idea of whether they can

1 retain their relationship policies as is, or whether they could 2 tweak them sufficiently and yet not give up their sort of Christian character and the ways in which they sort of hinge on 3 a longstanding Christian understanding of human sexuality and 4 the meaning of the body and relationships, including marriage. 5 Just two final questions to wrap up, Dr. Regnerus. 6 Okay. 7 In summary, just based on your review of the evidence presented by Plaintiffs' experts and your own review of the literature, 8 do you consider there to be a mental health crisis among LGBT 9 young people in general? 10

A Yes.

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 12 | 0 And --
- 13 A It's not limited to LGBT youth, though. It's a mental health crisis among young people in general.
 - Q Okay. And have you seen any evidence to suggest that religious colleges or religious teachings or policies are the cause of that crisis?
 - A I don't see evidence of the cause of the crisis -obviously a sight of conflict in this debate. But I see no
 evidence that they're a uniquely demonstrable cause in that
 crisis.
 - Q Okay. Thank you.
 - MR. SCHAERR: I have no further questions for this witness at this time.
 - THE COURT: Cross for the Plaintiffs.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

Q Good morning, Mr. Regnerus. Thank you for being here today. I'm going to ask you some additional follow-up questions. I believe you just testified that there is indeed a mental health crisis for LGBTQ young people, and that that is not unique to religious college environments, but that in fact it is a verifiable thing in society; is that correct?

A Correct.

Q So if there's a mental health crisis for LGBT young people, don't you think that that would tell you that they might need greater help and greater protections given the crisis?

A I think that's -- you know -- greater help for sure. I think that one of the questions that is often not addressed here is like how should that help come, from whom should it come, what should the nature of that assistance be, and how are college administrations sort of responsible for all this?

I mean, higher ed is asked to do tons of things these days. And administrations are growing in size. And so I see that help being offered. But it raises, of course, lots of questions about how best to assist.

Q Mr. Regnerus, you did some comparisons between the REAP report and -- which is Exhibit 1 -- and the Rutgers report,

- which is Exhibit 2 for the Counsel Defendants. Do you recall that testimony?

 A I do, yes.

 Q And I'm not going to go through all of that. But I do want to point out and ask you a few guestions. I'd like to
 - want to point out and ask you a few questions. I'd like to compare page 20 of the REAP report -- so, again, Council Exhibit 1, page 20 -- which has a chart about level of perceived support from college.
 - A Do you want me to pull that up myself or --
 - Q Yeah, if you could.
 - A Okay.

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- MR. SCHAERR: Maybe we could ask Mr. Prince to pull that up so we could all see it.
- THE WITNESS: What page and table number did you say?

 THE COURT: 20.
- 16 BY MR. SOUTHWICK:
 - Q It's Page No. 20 of Exhibit 1 of the REAP report.
 - A Got it.
 - Q Yeah. And this is the level of perceived support from college. It's a bit -- it looks a bit blurry there. But there is a question in there that says -- that asks -- perceived support from college -- there we go -- and there's questions about whether people feel physically safe, whether they're being prepared for graduation. I'd like to go down to the section that says, "I feel as though I belong on campus." So

right here.

- A Right.
- Q Right here. And the data, according to the REAP report, appears to be that only 16 percent of gender minority students and 23 percent of LGBTQ+ students agree that they feel as though they belong on campus. Is that a fair summary of this data from the REAP report?
- A Yes, insofar as -- you know -- I mean, this is fielded while most of them were not on campus. So it's a little bit funky to ask a question about how they feel belonging on campus when they could well have been in, you know, month five or six of an off-campus experience. But, yeah, in general, I presume they're reflecting on that. And then it's statistically probably significantly lower than straight respondents.

At the same time, you know, only 46 percent of straight students reported they feel they belong on campus. In general, you know, you've got a lot of people who don't feel like they belong, which is -- you know -- it's interesting. I would surmise, short of confidence, that those numbers are even higher in terms of feeling like they don't belong at secular universities. But I don't have that kind of comparison here.

- Q Well, Mr. Regnerus, we actually do have that comparison, and that's --
- A We do? All right.
- Q And that's Exhibit 2 -- the Rutgers report -- pages 14 and

1 And page 14 asks campus climate for heterosexual and 2 queer-spectrum students -- question being "I feel like I belong at this university." 55 percent of heterosexual agreed. 3 48 percent of queer-spectrum agreed. Do you see that? 4 Statistically, probably significantly different, but 5 higher at least in terms of queer-spectrum students than --6 7 Q Right. So --Right. (Indiscernible). 8 Α 9 -- it's a pretty stark contrast. 10 Α That was also --11 THE COURT: Wait. Please stop. Stop. Please one of 12 you ask a question, take a breath, answer the question. 13 quit speaking over one another. THE WITNESS: My apologizes, Your Honor. 14 15 MR. SOUTHWICK: Mine as well. 16 BY MR. SOUTHWICK: 17 Mr. Regnerus, are you able to see this chart here? 18 Yeah, if you could blow it up just a bit. 19 Just a bit? So we're looking at Exhibit 2, page 14. 20 there's a section right here. "I feel like I belong at this 21 university." And does it appear that approximately 55 percent 22 of heterosexual students and 40 -- almost 48 percent of 23 queer-spectrum students agree? Right. So that means it's almost, on average, about --24

probably a little bit above 50-some percent compared to the

```
1
    last line, which would be lower than 50 percent. But it's
 2
    also -- you know -- they're fielded at very different times.
    So there is a -- a decided COVID effect that we just don't know
 3
    that -- the magnitude of that effect. And then also the
 4
    different kinds of questions -- they're asking about "my
 5
    university, " and the other one, if I'm not mistaken, is about
 6
 7
    "on campus." Right? They're just -- you know -- they're just
    different.
 8
 9
         Fair enough. Fair enough. But the heterosexual and
10
    queer-spectrum responses in Exhibit 2 are pretty close.
    There's only a -- a what? -- an 8 percent difference; right?
11
12
    And then if we look at the REAP report, it's 23 versus 46.
                                                                 So
13
    there's actually a 23-point difference; is that right?
14
                 In the Rutger study, it looks like they coalesced
         Right.
15
    or pooled together those who "agreed" and "strongly disagreed."
16
    I don't offhand know how the REAP report described that.
17
    There's a simple "yes/no."
18
         And then the second page -- or, sorry -- the next page of
19
    the Rutgers report, which is page 15, asked campus climate
20
    comparison of trans-spectrum and cisgender peers. And in that
21
    same question, "I feel like I belong at the university,"
22
    54 percent of cisgender agreed, and 38 percent of
    trans-spectrum agreed. Does that look correct?
23
24
                Except it should be compared to the questions
25
    immediately above it about being valued as an individual.
                                                                Ι
```

mean, there should be a correlation between being valued as an individual and feeling like you belong on the campus or at the university. But one of those yields higher, on average, rates than the other one. Right?

So belongingness and valuedness (sic) -- and then I would compare it to sort of how the REAP question -- you know -- it's tricky to make direct comparisons given quite different samples, different times, and different question wordings. At the same time, I agree, I see a difference here.

Q Looking back at Council Exhibit No. 1, the REAP report, page 22, a series of questions were asked about on-campus participation among sexual minority, gender minority, and straight students. And there's a chart about them feeling accepted by others, dating the person you want.

And I'm actually look at page 22 of the REAP report.

Yeah, that chart right there. And the first category is

feeling accepted by others. And it's whether or not -- whether
they are likely to report not being able to fully participate
in college life in their heterosexual and cisgender peers.

So for sexual minority students, 43 percent report not being able to fully participate, 48 percent of gender minority, and 4 percent of straight. Do you see that, Dr. Regnerus?

- A Feeling accepted, not fully participate.
- Q Right. Whether or not --
- A I mean (indiscernible) --

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

to have much higher percentages of not feeling accepted by others as compared to straight students; is that correct? At face value. Α

So here sexual and gender minority students appear

- And, Mr. Regnerus, have you reviewed any data that would contradict this finding of the REAP report?
- Not directly, though you just looked on the columns. know, when you get specific about, you know, joining clubs, prayer groups, dating who you want, having a roommate of your choice -- there's distinctions, but they are a lot smaller distinctions. So it seems to be tapping kind of -- that first question -- a subjective sense of what other people think of them, not necessarily of whether they are actually -- you know -- have a failure of access to roommates, prayer club, clubs, classes.
- So they seem -- one seems to be a decidedly more psychological measure. The other one seems to be like a series of access measures.
- Mr. Regnerus, would you agree that dating can be a very important part of the college experience for a lot of students, both at CCCU campuses and elsewhere?
- It seems to be less important today than when I was in But, yeah, I mean, historically the 20s -- early 20s -- were when you started dating.
- And according to this data, 25 percent of sexual minority

1 students and 30 percent of gender minority students report that 2 they are not able to date the person that they want. that's in contrast to 2 percent of straight students. 3 I see that. 4 5 Is that correct? Do you have any data that contradicts 6 this assessment here? 7 I don't. Again, at face value, that means three-quarters Α of the sexual minority don't feel difficulty in that. 8 9 0 I apologize. 10 MR. PRINCE: Mr. --MR. SOUTHWICK: I'm done with this exhibit. 11 Thank 12 you, Josh, for your help. I appreciate that. 13 BY MR. SOUTHWICK: 14 Mr. Regnerus, do you recall being part of a group of sociologists who provided an amicus brief in the Masterpiece 15 16 Cakeshop v. Colorado Civil Rights Commission case at the 17 Supreme Court in 2017? 18 Α I do. 19 And can you --MR. SOUTHWICK: Oh, Gene might be trying to say 20 21 something. 22 MR. SCHAERR: I was trying to saying something. Ι'd like to object to this on relevance grounds. 23

MR. SOUTHWICK: Your Honor, I'm going to ask
Mr. Regnerus about some of his statements regarding structural

24

1 stigma in this brief. And I believe that -- to cross-examine 2 him regarding his testimony about structural stigma earlier. THE COURT: Overruled. 3 Go ahead. BY MR. SOUTHWICK: 4 Mr. Regnerus, in this brief, you state, "That Antiqay 5 discrimination can diminish psychological and physical health 6 7 is widely acknowledged." Do you recall that? I do. Do you have a copy of the brief that I could look 8 Α 9 at? 10 0 Sure. It's been a while since I've looked at it. 11 12 I can pull it up here on my screen. Give me one second. 13 MS. SNYDER: And, Paul, could I also ask that you have somebody email that to me? 14 15 MR. SOUTHWICK: Sure. Okay. Let me make sure that 16 this popped up. Okay. All right. We'll have that sent 17 around. 18 BY MR. SOUTHWICK: 19 And I'm not trying to introduce this into evidence or 20 anything, but I did want to ask you about some of your 21 So this is the -- this is the brief I'm referring statements. 22 to here. And it looks like it was filed on behalf of you and a 23 few others. Do you recall this brief, generally, Mr. Regnerus? 24 Generally, yes. Α

All right. And the question before the Court was about a

- Colorado public accommodation law. This is the wedding cake case; right?
- A Yep.

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q As it's called in general parlance. All right. And can you inform the Court of the purpose of the brief that you filed in this matter?
- 7 A Yeah. By looking at what you have on the screen? Or if 8 I -- I could pull it up myself and quickly review it.
 - Q Do you recall that it had to do with structural stigma or antigay stigma and the effects that that may or may not have on LGBT people?
 - A Yeah. That was part of the brief. Yes.
 - Q Okay. And what I'm looking at here is on page -- the top of page 5. This statement -- this statement here where you state, "That antigay discrimination can diminish psychological and physical health is widely acknowledged." So, Mr. Regnerus, do you stand by that statement today?
 - ∥ A Yes.
- 19 Q All right. Mr. Regnerus, in your -- I'd like to turn back 20 to your expert report. So let me pull your report up. Okay.
- 21 A Paul, this is a follow-up to that, if I may?
- 22 | Q Sure.
- 23 A The word "can" is kind of essential to that statement.
- Because I go on to describe why I did not think the particular case in Colorado rose to that kind of level. In social

1 science, lots of us use the words "can" or "may." 2 report, I kind of called out -- I believe it was Dr. Wolff and perhaps Dr. Meyer -- for often using "cans" and "mays," which 3 are a weaker than, sort of, does. Right? 4 "Does" is sort of this more confident statement like in -- consistently in cases. 5 It does create this problem. "Can" is sort of like it might. 6 7 Right? That's all. Thank you for that clarification. So, Mr. Regnerus, 8 9 turning back to your report, it's paragraph 32, if you could 10 let me know when you've had a chance to pull that up --11 Α I got it. 12 -- or if you can see that all right here. Mm-hm. 13 Α 14 All right. In paragraph 32, you refer frequently to 15 experiences of brokenness -- broken families, marriages, 16 bodies. You use that term repeatedly in paragraph 32 about 17 broken. And can you explain what you mean by "brokenness" in 18 paragraph 32 and in the context of your expert opinions 19 regarding sexual orientation, gender identity, and Christian 20 sexual ethics at the Council's colleges? 21 So it's a quote. It's not my own words. Right. 22 and I cite it to sort of say that, as I stated, the Christian Church should be free to respond to cultural shifts. 23 it's fair to say, given secularization patterns in the United 24

States, the Christian Church feels more embattled. But it also

is dealing inside its own ranks with the greater levels of brokenness in terms of relationships, divorce rates, personal problems.

This is why there's always cultural-wide experience of brokenness, et cetera, including broken bodies -- people who self-harm, et cetera -- drinking, drug use. And so, you know, it's a -- this term "accompaniment" there you see -- I think this is actually a -- a Catholic theologian is writing this stuff. Accompaniment is sort of what Christian colleges and universities aim to do, is to accompany people in various degrees of brokenness in their Christian life and why.

Now, that is not to claim that LGBT persons are uniquely broken. I did not make that claim. Rather, that taps into historical theological understandings of persons, in general, as being broken and separated from God. So it's just an insertion that highlights that Christian communities often understand their purpose as being one to sort of -- this is kind of a trite term -- but like showing -- one beggar showing the other beggar where the bread is. Right?

So that -- it's a -- to help people to live with the brokenness in their own lives sometimes, of which they can't go back and repair things that have been done to them, things they their parents did, et cetera. So just a reflection of sort of the theological understanding of sin as brokenness.

Q And then you follow that up with the next paragraph

saying -- the second sentence -- "Authentic religious freedom requires allowing Christians to articulate this vision of human sexuality, theology-based anthropology freely and unhindered"; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Is it your understanding that the Council for Christian Colleges and Universities -- that they base their sexual conduct policies on a belief or world view that people who engage in homosexual acts or homosexual relationships are broken, as you described above?

A I don't think they base sort of the relationship policies on anything distinctively about sexual orientation but as part of a general perspective of the brokenness that stalks a world that's sort of basically steeped in sin basically.

And, you know, talking about structural stigma, you can talk about structural sin and personal sin. Christians are nothing if not about trying to help people deal with sin in their own lives and quest for Godliness or holiness. I'm not sure if I'm answering your question though.

Q So, Dr. Regnerus, would you agree that the Counsel for Christian Colleges and Institutions maintain their policies prohibiting same-sex relationships and behavior, at least in part, to convey the message that same-sex relationships and sexual behavior are illegitimate relationship forms?

A Illegitimate would typically mean reference to a

community. I think they would maintain that those are outside the designs of their creator.

- Q And would you also agree that similar to a criminal law -like let's say a state criminal law that punishes homosexual
 sodomy -- that on a smaller scale within this institutional
 environment, these codes of conduct function in a similar
 manner by punishing homosexual sodomy or homosexual romantic or
 sexual relationships?
- A From the looks of the REAP report, I don't think a whole lot's getting punished, period, in terms of student administration and sexual relationship policy. It seems rare, and I suspect that's not how they go about perceiving it.
- Q Well, would you agree that state laws -- let me back up a little bit. Are you familiar that prior to the Supreme Court's decision in Lawrence v. Texas, certain states continue to criminalize homosexual behavior; do you recall that?
- A I recall it. I -- it's my understanding that they were seldom forced.
- Q And I do want to ask about that in terms of structural stigma and enforcement. It's also true that -- I think as you just said -- that these criminal prohibitions on homosexual sodomy were infrequently enforced by state governments; is that right?
- A It's my speculation, but I have no data about this.
- Q But would you agree that -- even though infrequently

enforced -- that laws that criminalize or punish a particular class of persons -- such as homosexuals with laws about homosexual sodomy -- that those can exert a negative impact on LGBTQ people, even if they're not being hauled into court every time they have sex with their partner. Would you agree with that? MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this question. Calls for speculation and a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Would you rephrase your question, please? BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

Q Would you agree that laws or policies that criminalize or forbid same-sex conduct or same-sex sexual relationships can have an adverse impact on the LGBT community regardless of how frequently those policies are enforced?

MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor, the same two objections to this question.

THE COURT: Break your question down, would you please, and rephrase it.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

Q Mr. Regnerus, referring to the REAP report, you were testifying about how it's a pretty small percentage of LGBTQ students who have actually experienced a form of discipline for violating university's codes of conduct; do you recall that?

A I do. I do want to pull that slide up a second myself.

I've got it here. The university sanctions thing? Got it.

- Q Yeah. Would it surprise you -- going to this issue of structural stigma, would you agree that at least some of the LGBT student population at these schools could feel some kind of stigmatic harm or feeling of exclusion from these policies that prohibit same-sex sexual conduct, even if they don't actually get disciplined pursuant to the policy?
- A Yeah. I think that's fair to say.
- Q Mr. Regnerus, in your report you talk, in certain parts, about sexual orientation change efforts and gender identity change efforts. Do you recall that?
- A I do. If you pull it up, I can make direct reference to it.
- Q All right. I believe that we're starting on paragraph 41 of your report. And you go on through a few paragraphs talking about sexual orientation change efforts and that there are a variety of kinds; do you recall that?
- A Yes.

- Q So my question to you is -- Dr. Regnerus, it sounds like in here you're talking about -- that there are kind of older forms of sexual orientation change efforts like electroshock therapy, and that you said that that kind of a treatment has been in disfavor for decades; is that right?
- A To my knowledge, yes.
- Q To your knowledge, are there other forms of sexual orientation change efforts that are in favor currently?

A In favor? Again, these are typically not so much about, like, change. It's about sort of -- what I highlight there is the importance of people being able to seek psychological help for what they wish to seek help for. And so I'm not comparing that to change efforts. But I think about sort of the wide popularity of cognitive behavior therapy, where you're dealing with unwanted feelings, and psychologists can often sort of help people deal with unwanted feelings.

I make no claims about change in there. I just complain there that typically all of these things are lumped into one sort of kind of label of being about change when I see little evidence from the CCCU that they're involved in change efforts. But Dr. Meyer talks about this and kind of creates this impression that the CCCU schools are -- or give the impression that this is a clear risk of going there.

Q Dr. Regnerus, is it your opinion that the colleges attended by Plaintiffs should be allowed to practice sexual orientation change efforts in their on-campus counseling centers as you have described them in your report?

MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor, objection. This calls for speculation, and it's outside the scope of this testimony.

THE COURT: I believe it is. Sustained.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

Q Dr. Regnerus, I believe that you testified that it's important for religious colleges like the ones Plaintiffs

attend -- it's important for them to be able to preserve their sexual behavioral codes of conduct, because it's an essential part of the kind of college or kind of institution that they are; is that fair to say?

A I think that's fair. With regard to sort of the specifics, though, it ranged widely within the CCCU. But I can only presume that they're -- you know -- given the close associations of Christian understandings of the human body and the purpose of relationships, sexuality, and marriage -- all that stuff -- that you're going to get different kinds of policies, but that those policies will be fairly important to those schools.

Q Sorry. I'm having trouble with my computer trying to pull up this -- okay. There we go. Well, my computer's not working here. All right. Sorry about that. I'm struggling with my PDF, but I've got it back. All right. I'm nearing the end of my questioning, Dr. Regnerus. But just a couple more things I want to go over with you. And this paragraph 33. Again, we read from this after the brokenness discussion. And we read the section about, "Authentic religious freedom requires allowing Christians to articulate this vision of human sexuality and theology-based anthropology freely and unhindered." And that remains your testimony today; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Dr. Regnerus, are you familiar that many of the same
Council for Christian College Institutions that are the subject
of Plaintiffs' complaint -- that many of those same
institutions prohibited interracial marriage and interracial
dating among their student body on the basis of sincerely held
religious beliefs?

MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor, I have to object to this. It's well beyond the scope of his direct testimony and calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

Q Mr. Regnerus, you're testifying here about what true religious freedom requires in terms of sexuality and theology. And so what I'm asking for you is about consistency. Is it your testimony that in order to protect true religious freedom, it would allow the Council for Christian Colleges and Universities to maintain bans on interracial marriage and interracial dating if those bans were on the basis of sincerely held religious belief?

MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor, same objections, plus it calls for a legal conclusion.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection and ask you to move on.

BY MR. SOUTHWICK:

Q Mr. Regnerus, are you affiliated with or -- with the Ruth

1 Institute? 2 I -- you just broke up. "Affiliated" and then I heard "Ruth Institute." 3 Mr. Regnerus, are you affiliated with the Ruth Institute? 4 5 MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor --THE WITNESS: Affiliated --6 7 MR. SCHAERR: Excuse me, Your Honor. Let me object 8 It's well beyond the scope of his direct and irrelevant to this proceeding as far as I can tell. 9 I couldn't tell --10 THE COURT: 11 MR. SOUTHWICK: Your Honor --12 THE COURT: Was it Root or Ruth? I couldn't 13 understand what was asked. 14 MR. SOUTHWICK: Ruth, R-U-T-H. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. SOUTHWICK: This is going to bias, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Overruled. It will be asked. 18 BY MR. SOUTHWICK: 19 Mr. Regnerus, are you affiliated with the Ruth Institute? 20 I'm familiar with them. I've given a talk for them. 21 not affiliated with them in the way that I believe some persons 22 are. 23 And are you aware that the Ruth Institute has been 24 designated as an anti-LGBT hate group by the Southern Poverty 25 Law Center for promoting falsehoods about LGBT people?

1 MR. SCHAERR: Your Honor, I object to this. 2 already said he's not affiliated with this group, so it can't be -- it can't go to bias. And it's certainly well beyond the 3 scope of his testimony or his report. 4 5 THE COURT: Sustained. Let's move on. 6 MR. SOUTHWICK: All right. No further questions. 7 THE COURT: Cross for the Department of Justice? 8 MS. SNYDER: No questions, Your Honor. Cross for the other Intervenors? 9 THE COURT: 10 MR. TUCKER: And no questions from the Religious School Intervenors. 11 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 Redirect? 14 MR. SCHAERR: Yes, Your Honor, just a couple of 15 questions. 16 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. SCHAERR: 19 First of all, Mr. Southwick asked you whether some 20 students could feel stigmatized by college policies even if 21 they don't get disciplined under them. Do you recall that 22 testimony? 23 Α Yes. 24 What impact would you expect those same policies to have 25 on Christian LGBT students who want to follow traditional

Christian teachings on matters of sexuality?

- A Right. I would anticipate that -- given there are different kinds of LGBT students who enroll at Christian colleges -- some who approve of the rules, some whom chafe under them, or some whom openly oppose them -- you're going to see -- you should anticipate differential opinions about them based on whether they dislike them or not.
- Q Okay. And for those who want to follow traditional Christian teachings, including members of the LGBT community, what impact would you anticipate that that kind of a rule would have?
- A I think they may anticipate that these are protective for them.
- O Mm-hm.

- A the same time, you know, they may have some concern or ambivalence about them. It is some degree of speculation of what they -- how they think about it. What I'm prepared to defend is the idea that LGBT students who enroll in CCCU-type schools are a fairly diverse bunch, as I just said.
- Q Okay. So is it fair to say that one person's stigma might be another person's support?
- A Yes. I think that's true. In this case, some people would perceive those as stigmatizing, and other people would largely ignore them, and other people might think they're protective because that's the kind of person and life they want

to develop -- right? -- one that sort of fits the Christian teachings of the school.

But, again, you know, students are diverse. The policies are diverse. So you're going to see different responses to that, and then different responses over time. Some people grow more comfortable with them, some people grow less comfortable with them.

- Q Okay. Mr. Southwick asked you about your brief in the Masterpiece case. Do you recall that?
- 10 | A I do.

- 11 Q Do you recall what the Supreme Court ultimately held in 12 that case?
 - A I think they ruled in favor of Masterpiece Cake. I forget the decision. I understand it's fairly narrow -- and by legal ways I don't grasp. So --
 - Q Okay.
 - A But they ruled in favor of the cake baker.
 - Q Okay. Well, if I were to tell you that part of the Court's holding was that traditional Christian views on marriage and sexuality are entitled to respect in governmental proceedings, how, if at all, would that conclusion relate to the opinions that you've expressed here?
 - A Right. If I'm not mistaken, and I could be, I think that was Justice Kennedy who wanted to sort of state -- and I think he stated that too in Obergefell -- I think -- that Christian

1 understandings on marriage and sexuality deserve -- I don't 2 know what his language was -- but respect and shouldn't be sort of ruled objectionable out of hand. 3 In both of those cases, in which case, you know, 4 extrapolates to the present case, I would think he or at least 5 the decision writers of those cases would think it's an 6 7 overreach to sort of strike down the -- so the use of what are historically widely understood Christian understandings of 8 relationship behavior and the body. 9 10 0 Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions. 11 THE COURT: May this witness -- yes? 12 MR. SOUTHWICK: Short recross, Your Honor? 13 There really is no such thing as recross. THE COURT: MR. SOUTHWICK: 14 Oh. 15 I don't know that you have anything more THE COURT: But I'd just as soon move on with this witness. 16 to gain. 17 MR. SOUTHWICK: Okay. Nothing further. 18 THE COURT: You're welcome. May this witness be 19 excused? 20 MR. SCHAERR: Yes, Your Honor. 21 Yes, from the Government Defendants, MS. SNYDER: 22 Your Honor. This is Hilarie Snyder. 23 MR. TUCKER: This is Ryan Tucker. Yes. THE COURT: You're excused. Thank you for your time. 24 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Further witnesses for the Intervenors? 2 MR. SCHAERR: Not from CCCU, Your Honor. MR. TUCKER: And none from the Religious Schools 3 either, Your Honor. This is Ryan Tucker. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I quess then returning to the Plaintiff. Anything 6 7 further? MR. SOUTHWICK: This is Paul Southwick. 8 Nothing further from Plaintiffs. 9 10 THE COURT: Government, I'm just assuming that's the 11 case for you as well. 12 MS. SNYDER: Nothing further, Your Honor, from the 13 Government. Thank you. 14 THE COURT: All right. I believe the record now is complete. And let's talk about dates for filing your arguments 15 16 in this matter. In looking at the calendar, I want to -- we 17 did some double-checking. It seemed to me that -- we were 18 looking at filing simultaneous briefing. And I was -- we were 19 looking at opening briefs for the 19th. Does that work for 20 everybody? 21 MR. SOUTHWICK: Plaintiffs -- that's fine. 22 MS. SNYDER: Your Honor, just a question. Do you anticipate, in the briefing, citing to like transcript? If so, 23 24 does the court reporter have a sense of when that would be 25 available?

1 THE COURT: I have two court reporters who handled 2 this matter. I'm going to go off the record and at least talk to the court reporter who covered Friday and today and see what 3 she might be able to guide me with her schedule. 4 5 (A discussion was held off the record.) 6 7 THE COURT: So my -- the court reporter -- we're back 8 9 on the record. The court reporter tells me she can have the 10 transcript -- and she's assuming that the transcript for court 11 reporter who covered Thursday -- because I don't know whether 12 you've got a transcript or not -- she can have it done in two 13 weeks. So that would be the 22nd. 14 And my suggestion is if you want transcript before you 15 file your brief, or you can do your brief and then refer to the 16 transcript, that I give you two weeks thereafter to file 17 your -- the opening simultaneous briefing. Does that work? 18 MR. TUCKER: Yes, Your Honor. 19 MR. SOUTHWICK: So, Your Honor, that would mean 20 December 6th for --21 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes.

THE COURT: Yep, December 6th. And then -- and I'm going to have a page limit on it. And I want the page limit on of 35 pages. The response briefs then I would give you two

MR. SOUTHWICK: -- for opening?

22

23

24

25

1 weeks thereafter. And I'll give you the same 35 pages 2 simultaneous briefing. That would be the 20th. MR. SOUTHWICK: Your Honor? 3 THE COURT: 4 Yes. MR. SOUTHWICK: This is getting into a lot of folks' 5 holiday schedules. 6 7 THE COURT: It's getting into everybody's holiday schedules. And I'll take -- you know -- if you want to set 8 something different, you tell me. I'm going to -- I'll get you 9 an opinion when we get you an opinion. But I just want to make 10 sure that people have the transcript if they need to use it for 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

my guest.

MR. SOUTHWICK: So this is Paul Southwick. If we're waiting for the transcript and following the schedule, then my request would be that the response briefs be due after the -- after the holidays -- so sometime in early January.

their briefing, and that we coordinate simultaneous briefing

and page limits. So if you want to propose something else, be

THE COURT: Fine with us.

MS. SNYDER: That's okay with the Government as well, Your Honor.

MR. SOUTHWICK: Something like January 7th or 10th?

THE COURT: January 10th is fine with me.

MS. SNYDER: Fine with the Government as well, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: For the Intervenors? You're on mute. 2 MR. SCHAERR: Sorry. I think we can live with that. THE COURT: 3 Yeah. Yes, Your Honor. This is Ryan Tucker. 4 MR. TUCKER: That's okay. 5 THE COURT: Lawyers have a way of knowing how to make 6 7 the holidays more difficult. And I'm very happy to skirt that with the issues in this case. I think they're easily -- these 8 schedules are easy to have people meet all their obligations. 9 10 Is there anything else we need to take up at this time? MR. SOUTHWICK: Just to clarify, Your Honor -- this 11 12 is Paul Southwick -- there will by no reply briefing; is that 13 correct? 14 THE COURT: That's right. Say it all in those two 15 briefs, 35 pages each. I have the full record. I've taken 16 copious notes. I've read everything in this case. We'll be 17 prepared to -- you know -- we will take this under advisement. 18 It's submitted now. And we will have time to rule once we get 19 all the briefing done. And we will issue an opinion 20 thereafter. So if there's anything new that comes up, please 21 contact Cathy Kramer, my courtroom deputy, and let me know if 22 there's anything else we need to know about or address. Otherwise, the case is submitted. I thank you all for you 23 time, for this argument, and for the hearing, as well as what I 24

will anticipate in the written submissions. And we are in

25

```
recess.
 1
 2
                   (The proceedings adjourned at 12:26 PM.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

$\texttt{C} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{F} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{E}$

Elizabeth Hunter, et al. v. U.S. Department of Education, et al.

6:21-cv-474-AA

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

November 8, 2021

I certify, by signing below, that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability, of the video conference proceedings heard via video conference, taken by stenographic means. Due to the audio-visual connection, parties appearing via speakerphone or cell phone or wearing masks due to coronavirus, speakers overlapping when speaking, speakers not identifying themselves before they speak, fast speakers, the speaker's failure to enunciate, background noises and/or other technical difficulties that occur during video conference proceedings, this certification is limited by the above-mentioned reasons and any technological difficulties of such proceedings occurring over the video conference at the United States District Court of Oregon in the above-entitled cause.

A transcript without an original signature, conformed signature, or digitally signed signature is not certified.

/s/Kendra A. Steppler, RPR

Case 6:21-0 BY MR. PRINCE: [5] 546/8 548/9 548/25 549/14 550/6 BY MR. SCHAERR: [14] 553/16 555/7 557/9 560/13 573/7 576/10 580/17 583/19 590/24 591/13 593/16 595/6 609/11 632/18 BY MR. SOUTHWICK: [46] 503/9 509/11 511/10 512/20 513/14 513/18 514/1 514/24 515/10 517/20 518/8 519/11 522/4 522/20 523/23 527/23 528/8 528/11 529/13 530/14 530/23 532/10 534/1 534/6 535/3 535/16 536/15 537/1 537/25 542/6 542/14 565/14 566/24 569/11 612/3 613/16 615/16 619/13 620/4 620/18 626/10 626/19 628/23 630/11 630/24 631/18 BY MS. SNYDER: [3] 492/8 493/1 498/11 MR. MILLER: [4] 544/15 544/23 545/3 545/11 MR. PRINCE: [10] 527/21 545/6 545/18 545/21 545/24 546/3 548/7 550/5 551/11 619/10 MR. REGNERUS: [1] 552/20 MR. SCHAERR: [51] 491/10 545/13 545/17 548/3 552/16 553/13 554/19 555/4 555/6 559/2 565/4 566/19 569/3 569/8 573/1 573/4 574/21 575/17 575/24 576/7 576/9 580/7 580/16 583/5 583/12 583/18 590/16 590/19 591/3 591/8 591/12 593/13 595/5 608/23 609/4 609/10 611/23 613/12 619/22 626/7 626/15 628/20 630/7 630/20 631/5 631/7 632/1 632/14 635/20 636/2 639/2 MR. SOUTHWICK: [46] 491/6 513/21 513/25 517/15 517/19 518/7 518/18 518/24 522/3 522/18 536/20 537/23 542/11 544/11 545/9 551/17 554/22 565/8 591/11 593/15 595/4 572/18 575/4 580/10 608/16 609/2 609/5 583/14 590/17 593/11 611/25 613/15 615/11 595/1 615/15 619/11 620/3 626/9 626/17 619/20 619/24 620/15 628/22 630/10 630/22 631/11 631/14 631/16 631/10 631/12 631/15 632/6 635/12 635/14 631/17 632/5 632/7 635/17 636/8 636/21 632/9 632/12 635/11

637/19 637/22 638/3 638/3 638/15 638/29 cur 639/11 MR. TUCKER: [15] 491/13 519/4 519/7 544/14 544/20 551/20 552/3 575/5 580/13 591/10 632/10 635/23 636/3 637/18 639/4 MS. SNYDER: [52] 491/8 492/2 492/24 498/10 503/4 509/7 511/7 512/15 513/11 514/6 515/4 517/17 518/2 518/5 518/15 519/2 519/5 521/23 522/16 527/18 528/7 529/11 530/7 530/21 532/1 533/23 534/3 535/2 535/8 536/5 537/20 541/24 542/10 542/12 544/5 548/22 550/1 551/15 551/18 552/11 575/25 576/6 580/11 583/16 591/6 620/13 632/8 635/21 636/12 636/22 638/20 638/24 THE COURT REPORTER: [1] 549/12 THE COURT: [139] 491/15 491/22 492/1 503/6 509/9 511/9 512/16 513/13 513/17 513/20 513/22 514/8 515/5 517/25 518/4 518/17 518/22 518/25 519/3 519/9 521/25 523/19 527/20 528/10 529/12 530/9 530/22 532/2 533/24 534/4 535/10 536/6 537/24 541/25 544/6 544/13 544/16 544/22 545/1 545/5 545/8 545/16 545/20 545/23 546/1 548/6 548/24 550/3 551/13 551/16 551/22 552/5 552/10 552/13 552/18 552/22 553/4 553/9 553/12 554/21 555/5 556/11 556/21 556/23 557/1 558/4 558/7 558/12 559/4 559/8 559/10 559/12 559/16 559/18 559/24 560/2 560/5 560/10 565/11 566/22 569/6 573/3 575/3 575/8 575/19 576/5 576/8 580/9 580/15 582/25 583/4 583/8 583/11 583/17 591/5 591/9

635/13 635/15 635/18 635/24 636/1 636/9 11 636/10 636/14 637/1 637/8 637/23 638/4 638/7 638/19 638/23 639/1 639/3 639/6 639/14 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: [2] 490/10 637/21 THE WITNESS: [45] 491/21 491/25 512/18 514/10 515/6 530/10 532/5 533/25 534/5 535/11 536/7 542/2 542/13 544/7 546/5 549/13 552/9 553/8 553/10 556/14 556/22 556/25 557/4 558/6 558/11 558/13 559/7 559/9 559/11 559/14 559/17 559/20 560/1 560/4 560/9 560/12 566/23 569/9 583/2 583/6 583/10 613/14 615/14 631/6 635/25

/s/Kendra [1] 641/24

0.006 [1] 581/4 0.05 [1] 581/4 0.12 [1] 581/4 08212212 [1] 497/14

1 percent [1] 581/5 1,000 [1] 581/6 10 percent [1] 581/11 10-12 [1] 560/16 100 [6] 510/16 512/12 512/23 515/11 515/18 558/3 100 percent [1] 607/8 100,000 [2] 599/9 599/10 102 [3] 508/18 508/18 508/20 103 [1] 508/16 106.12 [1] 524/16 106.31 [1] 527/25 106.34 [2] 539/17 539/19 106.40 [1] 528/4 108 [5] 497/22 497/23 550/8 550/10 550/13 109 [3] 548/1 548/12 548/18 10th [2] 488/7 638/22 11 [8] 536/22 536/25 537/7 537/14 537/19 538/3 538/16 540/5 11/22/2021 [1] 641/25 1120 [1] 488/7 11:30 [1] 608/17 12 [4] 547/25 548/12

560/16 581/12

12:26 [1] 640/3

12 percent [1] 581/2

133,000 [1] 599/11

14 [4] 588/3 614/25

615/1-615/19 15 6 521/201889/156 570/6 574/12 615/1 616/19 15100 [1] 489/5 16 percent [1] 614/4 16th [1] 523/16 17 [1] 599/13 1717 [3] 489/13 489/15 489/18 18 [7] 518/12 569/21 581/7 581/16 587/5 605/25 607/9 18-year-olds [1] 599/13 180 [3] 495/8 515/1 515/9 180-day [3] 495/1 495/4 3,001 [1] 581/6 495/6 19 [1] 555/10 1980s [1] 530/1 1989 [1] 536/24 1993 [1] 553/21 19th [1] 636/19 1:00 [1] 608/18

2 percent [1] 619/3

20 [7] 518/10 561/6 574/12 613/6 613/7 613/15 613/17 2000 [1] 553/23 20006 [3] 489/13 489/16 489/18 2002 [1] 553/25 2003 [1] 555/24 2004 [1] 555/25 20044 [2] 488/14 488/17 2006 [1] 519/23 2007 [1] 555/16 2011 [2] 569/22 603/18 40 [2] 570/6 615/22 2012 [4] 557/12 566/13 567/1 573/13 2013 [6] 517/7 517/7 519/20 520/3 522/11 524/9 2014 [7] 515/24 517/7 518/12 520/17 522/13 522/24 523/16 2015 [1] 603/18 2016 [5] 589/7 589/10 590/14 590/19 590/25 2017 [3] 582/10 582/11 619/17 2017-2018 [1] 558/14 2018 [2] 558/14 582/10 2020 [1] 587/7 2021 [6] 487/6 499/15 510/6 546/13 641/6 641/25 20s [2] 618/23 618/24 20th [1] 638/2 21 [10] 496/10 517/5 517/24 518/14 519/1 519/13 543/9 543/10 549/3 549/6 21-474 [1] 491/2 2130 [1] 489/23 21st [4] 496/12 496/17 496/22 497/1 22 [5] 518/14 519/1 523/15 617/11 617/15

223 [1] 535/4 224 [1] 535/4 22nd [2] 526/24 637/13 23 [5] 518/14 519/1 528/13 605/25 616/12 23 percent [1] 614/5 23-point [1] 616/13 25 [2] 570/6 587/5 25 percent [1] 618/25 26 [6] 554/6 554/7 554/13 554/16 554/20 575/18 27th [1] 520/17

3,000 [2] 581/7 581/15 30 [3] 538/6 603/3 607/9 30 percent [2] 581/13 619/1 300 [2] 581/15 601/13 32 [4] 622/9 622/14 622/16 622/18 320 [1] 489/10 33 [1] 629/18 34 [3] 524/16 527/25 528/4 35 [7] 515/2 515/12 546/22 546/25 637/25 638/1 639/15 36 [4] 493/3 515/2 515/12 535/17 360 [1] 581/15 38 [1] 602/5 38 percent [1] 616/22

39 [1] 569/21

4 percent [1] 617/22 40 percent [1] 603/3 405 [1] 489/23 41 [1] 627/13 4112 [1] 489/24 4128 [1] 488/8 43 percent [1] 617/20 431-4112 [1] 489/24 45th [1] 488/9 46 [1] 616/12 46 percent [1] 614/15 474 [1] 491/2 477 [1] 587/5 48 percent [3] 615/4 615/22 617/21 4800 [1] 489/10 4th [1] 520/3

5 percent [3] 580/24 581/1 581/1 50 [1] 616/1 50-18 [1] 518/12 50-20 [1] 518/10 50-some [1] 615/25 54 percent [1] 616/22 541 [1] 489/24 55 percent [2] 615/3 615/21 59 percent [1] 588/16

6 Case 6:	21
60 percent [1] 587/	
61 [1] 588/2	
61 percent [1] 587/	7
63 percent [1] 601/	19
64 [1] 588/13	
65 percent [2] 587/	8
588/4	
6:21-cv-474-AA [2]	
487/5 641/4	
6th [2] 519/19 637/	23
7	

70 [5] 546/12 546/15 546/16 547/4 588/14 73 [8] 510/4 510/5 510/8 510/14 510/15 510/15 515/1 546/13 73 percent [1] 588/19 76 [1] 488/9 7706 [1] 488/9 79 percent [1] 588/15

8 percent [1] 616/11 80 [1] 558/3 80 percent [1] 601/16 83929 [1] 488/5 8420 [1] 488/5 85260 [1] 489/6 8716 [1] 489/11 883 [2] 488/14 488/17 8:00 [1] 487/7 8:46 [1] 518/20 8:58 [1] 518/20 8th [2] 489/23 524/9

90 percent [1] 601/17 90-plus [1] 515/17 900 [4] 489/13 489/15 489/18 581/15 90th [1] 489/5 97005-8716 [1] 489/11 97203 [1] 488/5 97209-4128 [1] 488/8 97219 [1] 488/10 97401 [1] 489/23 9:08 [1] 523/21 9:09 [1] 523/21

AA [2] 487/5 641/4 abandon [1] 596/24 abandoned [1] 595/9 abide [1] 600/17 abided [1] 578/7 ability [7] 532/13 532/16 570/12 575/20 604/3 604/11 641/8 able [14] 504/22 517/10 517/15 531/13 531/23 538/18 601/6 615/17 617/18 617/21 619/2 628/3 629/1 637/4 abortion [2] 528/2 531/1 abortions [2] 527/7 527/13 about [126] 492/9 492/13 495/3 497/3 499/11 506/19 520/3 520/9 521/5 522/13

/522/15-524/2-525/23 /527/12-528/13-529/6cu 532/12 536/18 537/6 537/19 537/21 538/17 540/25 541/20 543/8 550/7 555/3 555/19 557/1 557/18 557/19 557/24 558/18 558/24 561/16 563/25 564/8 564/8 564/8 564/14 567/10 567/10 569/15 569/25 570/17 570/24 573/13 574/11 574/17 578/6 581/7 581/14 581/16 581/22 581/22 582/13 583/20 583/25 586/25 587/4 588/20 589/4 589/7 590/17 592/4 594/24 595/3 595/13 596/2 596/3 596/7 597/1 601/8 601/12 605/22 607/19 608/1 608/25 609/13 609/25 610/4 612/23 613/7 613/23 614/10 615/24 616/5 616/6 616/25 617/11 617/13 618/8 619/25 620/2 620/20 620/25 622/16 624/12 624/15 624/16 624/17 625/12 625/19 625/24 626/2 626/21 627/9 627/15 627/19 628/1 628/2 628/5 628/9 628/11 628/13 629/15 629/20 630/12 630/14 631/25 633/6 633/16 633/17 634/8 636/15 639/22 above [7] 520/19 585/14 615/25 616/25 624/10 641/17 641/19 above-average [1] 585/14 above-entitled [1] 641/19 above-mentioned [1] 641/17 Absolutely [3] 499/9 560/4 574/6 academic [4] 560/24 560/25 567/3 606/21 accept [1] 596/25 acceptable [2] 513/9 514/3 accepted [4] 617/14 617/17 617/23 618/2 access [8] 520/7 533/7 539/17 539/25 541/21 597/4 618/14 618/18 accident [1] 560/3 accommodating [1] 593/8 accommodation [1] 621/1 accompaniment [2] 623/7 623/9 accompany [1] 623/10 accord [1] 528/3 according [6] 508/15 526/16 549/6 584/25 614/3 618/25

account [1] 599/17 accuracy [9] 496/461 11/ accurate [30] 494/4 494/9 494/14 495/18 495/25 496/2 496/5 496/11 499/4 509/12 509/25 510/1 510/8 510/9 515/19 515/20 520/10 520/24 523/5 523/6 524/12 524/13 534/11 535/7 541/15 546/19 546/20 547/1 547/2 549/5 accurately [3] 493/17 493/23 494/20 achieve [1] 555/15 acknowledged [4] 575/9 adolescents [2] 556/3 589/25 620/7 621/16 acknowledges [1] 522/24 across [7] 569/23 576/20 577/2 577/12 582/9 587/13 592/22 act [1] 495/9 acting [6] 493/10 532/22 532/23 533/4 533/6 538/10 action [10] 493/7 494/18 494/19 495/1 495/24 499/19 514/13 542/22 580/25 581/3 actions [2] 496/6 548/15 activities [1] 520/8 activity [1] 591/19 acts [2] 534/9 624/9 actual [1] 528/3 actually [24] 496/5 498/25 499/20 500/4 500/10 501/13 504/21 505/25 513/2 515/2 515/14 517/12 526/4 527/25 531/12 531/23 608/21 614/22 616/13 617/15 618/13 623/8 626/22 627/6 add [1] 585/21 added [1] 526/4 additional [7] 496/25 511/25 552/10 560/23 560/25 585/21 612/5 address [5] 512/7 603/11 608/21 609/9 639/22 addressed [3] 563/19 565/1 612/16 addresses [1] 539/19 adhere [2] 564/15 593/7 adjourned [1] 640/3 administration [1] 625/11 administrations [3] 605/21 612/19 612/21 administrative [20] 492/11 492/19 494/19 495/1 495/24 497/6 497/8 498/6 498/8 499/7 499/25 500/15 500/18 500/21 501/5 502/19 531/22 543/15 546/11 546/22 administratively [5]

/523/8 523/12 531/6 531/24 54 77 ge 157 administrators [1] 581/10 admissibility [1] 575/11 admission [8] 519/1 540/25 541/8 554/19 576/2 580/7 583/12 591/4 admissions [2] 597/11 597/12 admit [2] 565/6 575/18 admitted [2] 575/19 585/9 adolescence [1] 569/16 adolescent [1] 556/1 557/20 adopted [2] 570/11 570/11 adoption [1] 565/22 adult [7] 556/5 557/12 557/13 572/16 603/2 607/8 607/13 adulthood [2] 556/3 556/6 adults [7] 569/21 577/9 585/17 594/18 602/12 602/15 603/12 advanced [3] 539/17 540/1 540/17 adverse [1] 626/13 advertising [1] 598/3 advised [1] 561/2 advisement [1] 639/17 advising [1] 561/1 advisory [1] 536/11 affiliated [7] 630/25 631/2 631/4 631/6 631/19 631/21 632/2 affirmed [2] 507/6 530/4 afford [3] 595/20 597/9 597/18 afield [1] 569/4 aforementioned [1] 568/6 after [12] 500/10 507/12 507/19 516/1 553/23 567/17 570/11 598/11 598/18 629/19 638/17 638/18 again [27] 498/12 501/22 502/5 503/12 508/16 515/6 522/16 527/12 529/6 534/3 536/10 544/16 548/3 559/4 569/3 575/19 575/22 602/10 603/10 604/6 607/1 607/24 613/6 619/7 628/1 629/18 634/3 against [15] 497/15 520/4 520/5 520/6 524/10 524/12 524/25 529/2 529/7 531/11 531/19 538/21 575/23 581/21 592/13 age [6] 570/11 570/12 578/12 601/21 607/9 607/9 aged [1] 556/2 ages [1] 587/5

ago [8] 503/11 512/23 0513/23 552/4 555/19 561/9 571/14 574/19 agree [22] 512/11 512/13 512/23 533/10 535/25 539/10 567/19 567/21 568/19 592/25 600/5 614/5 615/23 617/9 618/19 624/20 625/3 625/13 625/25 626/5 626/11 627/2 agreed [6] 533/9 615/3 615/4 616/15 616/22 616/23 ahead [17] 491/24 503/6 517/21 518/23 522/2 537/24 538/15 545/2 553/9 553/12 555/5 565/11 575/16 587/18 590/20 592/18 620/3 AIKEN [1] 487/22 aim [1] 623/10 aimed [1] 605/3 al [6] 487/4 487/8 491/2 491/3 641/2 641/3 alcohol [3] 584/11 584/12 586/14 alcohol-related [1] 586/14 alerted [1] 526/6 Alex [1] 540/23 algorithms [2] 597/13 598/25 all [106] 496/3 499/13 499/24 501/4 504/5 505/3 506/14 506/24 508/3 508/13 509/3 509/4 509/12 509/17 509/21 509/23 509/24 510/10 510/12 510/13 510/19 511/3 511/16 511/21 512/10 514/15 514/19 517/10 518/7 518/18 519/3 519/19 520/2 521/2 521/12 522/11 522/23 523/15 524/2 526/18 526/23 528/12 528/17 538/19 539/13 540/10 542/4 542/17 543/19 546/9 548/6 548/15 552/5 553/9 556/4 558/6 559/10 560/1 560/2 560/2 560/10 563/1 566/11 567/9 568/25 569/9 572/3 572/4 574/16 576/22 577/3 577/18 580/2 581/5 591/9 592/19 598/19 600/5 603/11 606/19 608/18 612/19 613/4 613/13 614/24 620/16 620/25 621/4 621/19 622/7 622/12 622/14 627/13 628/10 629/9 629/15 629/16 632/6 632/19 634/21 636/5 636/14 639/9 639/14 639/19 639/23 allegation [2] 521/6 524/11

Case 6:21-0 allegations [15] 497/25 499/3 504/19 506/1 506/6 508/9 512/6 516/21 520/9 532/7 538/25 539/7 539/25 540/10 540/21 alleged [2] 495/9 535/14 alleges [3] 520/6 535/25 539/16 alleging [2] 492/19 520/5 Alliance [1] 489/5 allow [6] 518/16 527/6 527/16 528/1 550/14 630/16 allowed [1] 628/17 allowing [4] 527/8 528/1 624/2 629/21 allows [1] 550/10 almost [3] 570/25 615/22 615/24 along [2] 514/5 542/19 already [9] 500/4 522/23 531/20 537/18 538/21 543/20 576/3 590/14 632/2 also [39] 492/13 493/5 494/18 495/2 498/22 503/2 507/9 514/19 522/14 523/6 523/11 527/21 534/7 540/9 543/15 550/3 554/13 556/16 569/25 570/9 575/17 583/3 583/6 594/14 594/15 596/5 597/7 597/21 597/24 601/1 601/22 603/20 615/10 616/2 616/4 620/13 622/25 625/3 625/20 alter [2] 595/22 595/23 altering [1] 610/23 although [3] 564/9 582/20 589/4 alumni [1] 535/21 always [5] 502/15 514/18 606/5 610/5 623/4 am [15] 487/7 504/19 518/20 518/20 523/21 523/21 531/16 535/24 536/16 538/4 542/18 549/13 576/14 609/6 609/7 ambiguous [5] 530/7 532/1 535/8 537/22 541/24 ambivalence [1] 633/16 Amendment [3] 548/14 548/15 548/20 American [6] 557/18 560/18 560/18 568/6 569/17 577/4 amicus [1] 619/15 among [16] 499/19 558/20 561/24 564/10 576/22 580/23 581/1 582/20 585/12 589/19 595/25 607/13 611/9

611/14 617/12 630/5 vamount [3] 498/25 Ocu 498/25 590/1 analyses [2] 563/6 589/15 analysis [19] 504/9 504/11 541/9 541/10 541/11 541/12 541/13 541/19 541/22 542/2 558/16 558/23 560/7 573/16 573/17 573/17 573/21 578/11 593/14 analytic [1] 557/15 analytical [1] 567/11 analyze [1] 504/18 ANN [1] 487/22 anomalies [1] 571/8 another [6] 507/2 556/4 607/10 608/17 615/13 633/21 answer [12] 512/16 513/1 513/6 514/8 514/9 532/2 532/3 541/25 542/1 542/3 542/8 615/12 answering [1] 624/19 anthropology [4] 592/8 592/9 624/3 629/22 anti [1] 631/24 anti-LGBT [1] 631/24 antiabortion [1] 524/11 anticipate [8] 518/6 586/12 633/2 633/6 633/10 633/12 636/23 639/25 anticipatory [1] 596/5 antigay [6] 557/17 558/18 559/13 620/5 621/10 621/15 anxiety [12] 584/7 585/6 585/22 586/19 586/19 587/9 588/4 588/5 588/19 589/20 601/18 602/9 any [51] 495/22 496/15 499/19 500/3 500/15 500/17 500/21 501/2 502/1 502/8 503/16 504/11 506/20 506/22 508/6 510/7 511/21 525/5 525/15 525/16 528/24 531/9 531/17 544/1 544/13 544/21 551/14 554/21 559/2 560/23 561/19 562/14 573/17 573/21 574/22 578/23 583/14 584/20 585/2 586/10 591/5 597/11 601/4 602/24 603/5 604/2 606/11 611/15 618/5 619/5 641/17 anybody [1] 585/5 Anyone [1] 544/22 anything [16] 506/19 510/25 559/2 562/6 574/16 575/3 580/9 583/22 583/25 620/20 624/12 635/15 636/6 639/10 639/20 639/22 anyway [1] 562/22 Anyways [1] 601/16

anywhere [1] 527/8 rapant [1] 4557/7 iled 11 apologies [5] 513/25 545/14 548/3 548/7 550/23 apologize [3] 543/7 545/21 619/9 apologizes [1] 615/14 appeal [1] 571/25 Appeals [1] 571/25 appear [5] 554/16 601/17 602/18 615/21 618/1 APPEARANCES [2] 488/1 489/1 appearing [1] 641/11 appears [8] 506/4 510/17 519/24 554/9 554/25 570/17 579/15 614/4 applicability [1] 548/21 application [1] 549/18 applied [1] 551/7 applies [2] 508/8 598/19 apply [5] 549/16 598/23 599/15 599/21 599/22 applying [1] 599/1 appointed [1] 571/2 appreciate [2] 552/7 619/12 appreciated [1] 575/15 approach [2] 547/8 592/7 appropriate [6] 495/12 500/5 508/21 512/7 514/14 514/19 appropriately [1] 499/2 approval [2] 499/21 549/24 approve [2] 501/3 633/4 approximately [6] 496/11 496/12 496/22 510/19 535/19 615/21 April [2] 526/23 587/7 April-June 2020 [1] 587/7 apt [2] 578/5 602/2 Arbor [10] 517/6 519/13 519/21 520/4 520/16 521/18 522/9 522/25 523/11 541/19 Archives [1] 560/20 are [170] area [4] 561/13 561/14 561/15 561/19 areas [3] 555/21 555/21 575/23 aren't [1] 542/8 argue [1] 551/6 argument [1] 639/24 argumentive [1] 527/18 arguments [1] 636/15 around [13] 499/15 546/12 547/4 555/16 555/24 555/24 564/6 587/1 604/25 606/21 607/12 610/23 620/17 arranged [1] 500/4 arrangement [1] 570/6 arranging [1] 500/3 article [8] 558/24 559/1

/559/5,559/6,560/8 567/7 571/15 976/158 articles [4] 557/11 557/21 557/24 560/15 articulate [3] 546/2 624/2 629/21 as [153] 492/5 493/17 493/23 494/4 494/9 494/14 494/18 494/20 495/18 495/25 496/2 496/5 496/11 496/12 496/17 499/13 500/7 502/7 503/16 504/23 505/24 506/23 507/4 507/23 508/11 508/22 512/4 514/2 514/11 514/12 514/15 514/18 514/18 514/22 514/22 517/1 517/24 518/14 521/15 523/3 525/18 526/4 530/25 530/25 531/23 532/3 532/23 534/8 535/22 541/11 542/4 543/23 545/24 548/20 549/10 551/7 552/1 552/1 552/17 553/1 553/1 554/20 554/24 555/2 555/3 555/23 556/3 562/4 564/17 565/6 566/7 566/18 569/6 569/21 571/21 572/21 572/22 572/23 575/9 575/18 576/24 577/20 577/20 578/6 579/17 580/1 580/1 581/3 581/11 582/2 584/6 587/3 587/5 587/20 588/3 590/15 590/15 591/23 592/14 593/5 594/7 594/18 594/20 597/12 599/3 599/10 599/10 600/15 601/3 601/3 601/8 601/9 601/22 603/20 604/25 605/17 606/4 606/13 606/21 606/24 607/16 608/1 608/8 611/1 613/25 614/5 614/8 615/15 616/25 617/1 618/3 621/4 622/22 623/15 623/17 623/24 624/10 624/12 625/20 626/2 628/19 631/9 631/9 631/24 633/19 633/23 635/13 635/16 636/11 638/20 638/24 639/24 639/24 aside [3] 534/24 535/12 536/4 ask [23] 513/23 515/6 521/25 522/19 524/2 525/17 528/13 536/18 537/6 543/7 558/4 582/3 589/4 612/5 613/5 613/12 614/10 615/12 619/24 620/13 620/20 625/19 630/23 asked [15] 521/20 524/15 526/16 532/12 533/8 533/14 534/7 534/21 612/20 616/19

P632719 634/8 asking [7] 513/16 515/12 527/15 541/4 596/9 616/5 630/14 asks [2] 613/21 615/1 assault [2] 576/24 576/25 assert [2] 508/7 531/1 asserted [1] 516/2 asserting [1] 549/24 assess [1] 540/10 assessing [3] 562/8 574/2 574/4 assessment [5] 539/10 540/7 568/19 568/20 619/6 assessments [2] 569/23 570/1 assign [1] 508/22 assigned [6] 493/15 494/13 509/1 509/5 509/14 509/15 assist [4] 604/8 604/10 604/23 612/23 assistance [2] 532/15 612/18 Assistant [21] 499/21 500/19 500/23 500/24 501/2 502/16 506/25 507/6 520/17 527/3 532/6 532/22 532/23 533/1 533/4 533/6 538/10 542/19 542/23 543/5 555/18 Associate [1] 555/18 associated [1] 584/24 Association [1] 568/6 associations [1] 629/8 associative [1] 543/16 assuming [5] 505/3 551/22 598/12 636/10 637/10 assurance [25] 492/14 502/9 505/15 505/17 505/24 505/25 507/3 507/13 507/15 508/7 516/20 525/18 527/4 530/17 531/20 532/6 533/18 537/17 539/5 539/6 543/18 543/25 544/9 549/4 549/8 assurances [2] 502/6 543/20 assured [3] 530/3 530/11 539/7 attached [1] 517/1 attempts [2] 576/20 604/22 attend [7] 533/15 533/20 577/16 598/15 600/13 604/7 629/1 attendance [1] 577/18 attended [4] 541/6 543/20 610/16 628/17 attending [1] 543/24 attention [3] 492/17 570/14 586/11 attitudes [3] 556/2 557/18 557/19 Attorney [1] 489/10 attorneys [1] 555/2

617/11 631/13 631/17

Case 6:21-0 attracted [2] 598/14 598/16 attractiveness [1] 606/15 attuned [1] 564/21 audio [3] 544/25 545/14 641/10 audio-visual [1] 641/10 audit [5] 570/22 570/22 570/24 570/24 571/5 August [2] 522/13 522/24 Austin [1] 555/9 Authentic [2] 624/1 629/20 available [2] 525/13 636/25 Ave [1] 488/9 Avenue [1] 489/23 average [23] 510/2 510/6 510/15 514/25 546/11 546/15 546/21 546/23 547/4 547/5 547/9 558/19 577/5 585/14 585/20 589/3 600/16 601/20 602/11 602/18 610/10 615/24 617/3 averages [1] 602/22 avoid [1] 578/8 Aw [1] 566/3 aware [20] 492/18 492/21 530/4 531/9 531/16 531/17 532/5 535/17 535/23 535/24 571/24 572/3 576/11 578/23 598/10 598/10 600/16 600/18 600/18 631/23 away [6] 517/13 559/23 571/13 595/15 597/8 597/15 awkward [2] 608/6 608/7 awry [1] 558/22 AZ [1] 489/6

back [23] 509/22 511/17 511/18 515/24 518/23 518/24 521/2 530/1 542/11 548/5 552/7 555/24 558/4 569/22 569/25 584/21 617/10 621/19 622/9 623/22 625/13 629/16 637/8 background [5] 553/18 564/11 602/9 602/24 641/14 baker [1] 634/17 balance [1] 575/14 bans [4] 565/20 565/21 630/17 630/18 BAPTIST [2] 487/12 489/3 base [2] 624/7 624/11 based [38] 502/2 502/9 502/13 509/2 520/5 520/7 520/20 527/4

530/15-536/10 539/23 /554/25 556/14 558/96 U 563/6 564/11 564/23 565/4 581/23 581/24 582/7 582/21 588/6 589/13 589/15 590/11 592/1 593/22 594/20 595/7 595/9 597/12 599/13 600/20 611/7 624/3 629/22 633/7 bases [1] 529/21 basic [3] 505/4 582/14 590/10 basically [7] 543/19 557/5 558/14 558/19 608/7 624/14 624/14 basis [13] 506/4 507/15 516/4 516/12 516/15 516/20 523/6 523/13 531/25 547/20 550/17 630/5 630/18 Bates [1] 538/16 BAXTER [2] 488/9 491/6 be [152] 491/12 495/8 498/21 500/24 501/12 501/14 501/20 502/10 504/11 504/22 505/19 505/22 506/3 506/8 507/7 507/7 507/9 507/14 507/21 508/9 508/10 510/17 512/8 512/13 512/24 513/1 513/4 513/5 513/9 514/3 516/23 518/23 519/9 519/24 525/14 525/23 526/8 533/16 533/21 534/22 534/25 535/5 536/2 536/8 540/13 540/14 540/19 540/23 541/4 541/9 541/10 542/20 542/25 543/3 543/8 544/3 545/7 545/10 545/18 546/21 547/3 549/18 551/6 551/16 552/15 554/16 559/18 564/3 564/5 564/21 566/1 566/2 570/24 571/2 574/4 574/25 575/9 575/11 575/19 575/22 578/18 578/18 579/9 580/15 581/12 583/17 584/13 584/16 585/11 586/15 588/17 591/22 595/10 596/3 596/15 596/24 597/3 597/5 597/17 597/19 599/14 601/6 601/23 604/14 604/15 605/11 605/16 605/17 605/19 606/6 606/11 606/18 606/25 607/17 607/22 608/9 608/20 608/22 609/5 609/15 610/2 610/5 611/9 612/18 614/4 616/1 616/24 617/1 618/11 618/16 618/17 618/19 618/22 619/20 622/23 628/17 629/1 629/11 631/17 632/3 633/21 634/23 635/2

635/18 636/24 637/4 11 637/13 638/2 638/13 11 638/17 638/17 639/16 bearing [3] 543/4 573/21 592/22 Beaverton [1] 489/11 because [35] 497/18 500/1 504/9 506/5 506/18 509/14 510/24 513/2 515/7 517/12 520/8 521/3 521/6 525/2 533/17 541/1 542/8 544/17 552/1 559/13 569/16 570/17 570/25 585/25 595/10 596/6 597/9 602/22 607/5 609/5 609/20 621/24 629/2 633/25 637/11 become [6] 577/4 598/10 606/5 606/9 607/22 608/9 been [79] 492/5 493/4 496/6 496/19 496/25 497/10 497/12 497/18 497/24 498/1 498/20 499/1 499/13 500/7 500/11 500/11 502/8 507/22 509/1 509/5 509/14 509/24 511/15 512/11 512/21 512/22 514/13 514/22 515/1 515/18 531/13 531/23 533/1 535/19 537/17 538/18 538/21 539/5 539/6 542/22 550/7 550/11 551/24 553/1 553/25 555/10 557/14 567/3 567/5 567/6 568/2 568/14 569/19 570/4 570/15 570/23 571/3 572/23 574/11 579/17 581/18 582/2 585/20 585/24 586/6 586/17 587/1 590/14 590/25 592/5 592/5 592/11 592/13 593/24 614/11 620/11 623/22 627/22 631/23 before [19] 487/22 504/4 514/20 517/8 522/21 541/13 543/10 548/1 549/24 553/24 570/11 585/15 590/6 592/14 598/24 604/25 620/25 637/14 641/13 beggar [2] 623/18 623/19 beginning [3] 491/4 500/12 598/17 begins [1] 501/13 behalf [9] 491/13 492/19 493/7 522/8 529/7 565/19 568/12 597/17 620/22 behavior [19] 555/25 556/2 556/9 560/20 562/1 564/6 565/7 571/5 572/16 572/17 593/9 594/25 606/1 607/20 624/22 624/24 625/16 628/6 635/9

behavioral [3] 589/24 /629/21 Page 159 behaviors [1] 579/25 being [26] 503/12 509/13 511/17 511/18 541/23 552/7 554/24 555/2 569/25 574/5 576/25 604/2 612/4 612/22 613/24 615/2 616/25 617/1 617/18 617/21 619/14 623/15 623/17 626/4 628/3 628/11 belief [2] 624/8 630/19 beliefs [6] 526/19 541/20 593/1 601/7 603/21 630/6 believe [41] 499/10 505/13 507/18 514/10 516/16 521/24 524/20 525/23 532/24 534/9 535/4 536/19 537/21 545/24 547/25 550/1 553/6 554/6 554/13 557/2 559/11 568/10 568/17 568/24 568/24 574/24 587/13 590/5 590/14 591/2 595/8 602/7 604/22 612/6 620/1 622/2 627/13 628/22 628/24 631/21 636/14 614/16 614/18 614/20 615/2 615/20 616/21 617/2 belonging [1] 614/10 belongingness [1] 617/5 briefing [9] 517/2 below [1] 641/7 besides [1] 578/13 best [5] 500/5 513/6 560/19 612/23 641/8 bet [1] 591/21 Beth [2] 492/24 498/10 556/20 579/9 579/12 584/17 586/8 602/18 606/10 610/9 610/9 between [12] 517/5 518/11 524/4 561/16 561/20 575/13 579/2 585/3 601/25 603/3 612/24 617/1 beyond [8] 510/14 510/15 510/16 577/22 578/1 630/8 631/8 632/3 bias [2] 631/16 632/3 Bible [3] 593/2 593/3 595/9 Bible-based [1] 595/9 biblical [1] 564/15 big [1] 564/18 binder [1] 492/23 bisexual [1] 602/1 bisexuality [2] 602/2 606/14 bit [18] 497/3 499/11 503/14 504/12 509/22 539/13 547/9 556/5 595/13 601/18 606/2 613/20 613/20 614/9

615/18 615/19 615/25 P625714 blanket [1] 540/17 blow [1] 615/18 blue [1] 544/19 blurry [1] 613/20 Bob [3] 534/16 534/19 536/1 bodies [2] 622/16 623/5 body [7] 564/8 592/20 595/16 611/5 629/8 630/5 635/9 boils [1] 567/9 book [5] 556/1 556/4 556/6 601/12 607/6 books [5] 556/4 556/16 556/25 561/19 561/21 both [9] 547/24 556/22 569/23 570/14 589/23 600/23 606/22 618/21 635/4 bottom [3] 524/14 529/1 538/15 boundary [1] 596/9 Box [2] 488/14 488/17 Branch [1] 488/16 bread [1] 623/19 break [9] 509/10 509/10 518/16 518/20 523/21 557/7 609/1 609/3 626/17 breath [1] 615/12 belong [9] 613/25 614/6 brief [12] 513/3 619/15 620/1 620/5 620/8 620/21 620/23 621/5 621/12 634/8 637/15 637/15 636/18 636/23 637/17 638/2 638/12 638/12 639/12 639/19 briefly [8] 500/1 528/15 543/12 560/23 562/24 576/15 591/14 593/25 better [11] 512/5 556/19 briefs [4] 636/19 637/25 638/17 639/15 Brigham [3] 497/15 498/5 529/18 broader [3] 594/22 602/10 602/11 broke [1] 631/2 broken [6] 622/15 622/17 623/5 623/13 623/15 624/10 brokenness [9] 622/15 622/17 623/2 623/5 623/11 623/21 623/24 624/13 629/19 build [1] 597/22 built [1] 604/18 bunch [1] 633/19 BYU [12] 509/24 518/12 528/14 528/20 529/2 529/7 529/8 529/18 529/20 529/25 530/5 530/16 BYU-ldaho [9] 518/12 528/14 529/7 529/8 529/18 529/20 529/25 530/5 530/16 BYU-ldaho's [1] 528/20

Case 6:21-Cakeshop [1] 619/16 Carolina [1] 553/23 calendar [2] 521/20 case [75] 487/5 491/2 636/16 492/18 493/16 495/10 call [10] 492/24 512/9 495/11 497/21 501/16 552/17 556/8 563/21 503/3 503/22 504/1 564/7 582/8 587/3 504/3 504/11 505/12 592/10 601/14 506/8 506/18 507/4 called [11] 552/15 508/15 508/22 508/25 553/1 558/18 568/11 509/1 509/5 509/14 591/23 593/21 597/21 509/15 517/1 521/14 603/12 607/8 621/4 522/15 540/13 540/23 622/2 542/3 546/25 550/12 calls [4] 626/8 628/20 553/21 554/4 554/8 630/8 630/21 562/15 563/15 564/24 Calvin [2] 553/24 594/1 565/5 565/22 565/23 came [1] 570/3 565/23 565/24 566/4 campus [19] 541/21 566/4 566/7 566/21 598/11 607/5 608/3 567/15 569/5 573/10 608/3 610/9 610/9 573/12 573/18 573/21 613/25 614/6 614/9 573/22 574/14 574/24 614/10 614/12 614/16 577/22 578/1 594/14 615/1 616/7 616/19 595/24 604/18 605/11 617/2 617/11 628/18 606/25 619/16 621/2 campuses [2] 610/10 621/25 633/22 634/9 618/21 634/12 635/4 635/5 can [93] 495/11 498/10 636/11 639/8 639/16 498/24 500/7 503/20 639/23 503/22 507/2 507/25 cases [10] 504/6 508/2 509/18 510/11 504/14 511/21 525/10 512/17 513/6 514/8 531/17 543/15 547/8 514/9 517/22 517/25 622/5 635/4 635/6 520/12 520/13 522/1 cash [1] 610/22 525/23 528/23 531/15 cash-strapped [1] 532/3 532/3 533/7 610/22 534/7 540/14 541/25 category [2] 603/11 541/25 542/4 543/12 617/16 545/11 545/25 546/4 Catherine [1] 533/2 546/5 548/10 548/11 Catholic [2] 589/22 560/23 562/24 566/17 623/8 569/13 574/4 575/14 Cathy [1] 639/21 576/15 577/20 584/16 cause [4] 611/17 586/3 588/17 590/1 611/18 611/20 641/20 590/20 592/17 592/24 caution [2] 536/8 595/14 595/14 595/20 540/19 596/25 597/12 597/18 caveat [1] 505/21 598/17 601/10 601/23 CCCU [56] 491/10 605/19 606/5 607/13 519/4 544/23 552/16 608/5 608/8 610/2 553/20 563/20 563/21 610/5 610/24 610/25 563/24 564/13 579/2 618/19 619/19 620/6 579/17 580/2 580/5 620/12 621/4 621/15 580/8 582/2 582/19 621/23 622/1 622/6 582/21 583/13 584/5 622/12 622/17 624/15 584/12 584/14 584/17 626/3 626/12 627/11 585/12 586/8 586/13 628/7 629/6 631/9 586/18 589/17 589/22 637/9 637/12 637/15 590/22 591/21 591/24 639/2 593/3 593/21 593/24 can't [7] 513/1 541/11 594/21 596/12 597/22 542/3 543/2 623/21 599/5 600/9 600/13 632/2 632/3 604/3 604/8 604/22 cannot [3] 525/1 530/10 605/7 605/15 605/18 545/7 606/8 607/3 609/23 cans [1] 622/3 610/11 618/21 628/12 capable [1] 609/7 628/14 629/6 633/18 car [1] 560/2 636/2 care [1] 605/22 CCCU's [1] 583/15 career [1] 558/1 CCCU-based [1] careful [3] 540/19 582/21 541/12 541/14 CCCU-type [23] 563/20 carefully [4] 538/23 563/21 563/24 564/13

v=018740/9 575/10 v=018717 610/4 Docum389) 7589/22 594/241 593/21 594/21 597/22 599/5 600/9 600/13 604/3 604/8 605/7 605/15 609/23 610/11 633/18 CCCU-types [1] 579/2 CDC [1] 563/9 celibate [4] 601/5 601/15 604/15 605/13 cell [1] 641/11 Center [1] 631/25 centers [1] 628/19 central [4] 543/17 568/25 569/2 606/13 certain [8] 495/10 498/25 501/23 506/16 540/14 550/14 625/15 627/8 certainly [9] 504/6 504/12 505/22 516/19 527/2 573/3 575/6 586/19 632/3 certainty [1] 530/10 certification [1] 641/16 certified [1] 641/22 certify [1] 641/7 cetera [16] 557/7 572/17 577/6 578/6 580/1 585/7 585/22 592/23 595/17 599/24 603/7 605/20 610/20 623/5 623/6 623/23 CFR [3] 524/16 527/25 528/4 chafe [2] 581/20 633/4 chafed [1] 592/13 Chair [2] 568/9 568/13 challenge [4] 569/16 605/17 606/12 606/12 challenged [1] 559/5 challenges [3] 584/7 610/8 610/25 challenging [5] 597/5 599/7 601/23 604/13 610/2 chance [5] 519/14 537/2 537/11 599/2 622/10 change [21] 525/21 525/21 526/4 572/21 572/21 594/24 596/1 596/2 597/1 608/14 627/9 627/10 627/15 627/20 627/25 628/2 628/5 628/9 628/11 628/12 628/18 changed [1] 525/24 changes [6] 499/11 499/14 499/16 499/23 500/1 595/3 chaos [1] 596/13 Chapel [1] 553/23 character [3] 595/8 595/10 611/3 characteristic [1] 591/23 528/20 529/7 529/9 characterization [1] 525/9 characterize [2] 562/24 571/9 characterizes [1]

601/22 | Glaim 19 521/13 32-Characterizing 91 563/2 524/24 525/5 536/3 claim [9] 521/13 524/15 charge [1] 544/9 546/21 547/3 623/12 chart [10] 493/3 496/20 623/13 claimed [3] 525/23 509/3 509/22 549/6 549/7 613/7 615/17 531/21 571/2 claims [5] 524/22 617/13 617/16 checked [1] 545/15 531/11 546/22 547/4 checking [1] 636/17 628/9 chemistry [4] 539/18 clarification [2] 512/9 539/20 540/1 540/17 622/8 clarify [7] 512/5 516/10 child [1] 592/21 child's [1] 569/20 521/3 532/23 539/9 children [5] 557/13 571/16 639/11 class [2] 596/24 626/2 568/23 569/21 570/7 601/24 classes [2] 561/4 children's [2] 557/12 618/15 558/8 clear [5] 500/24 502/10 choice [2] 604/4 618/10 562/19 579/2 628/15 choose [2] 600/9 climate [2] 615/1 600/13 616/19 CHRISTIAN [49] climb [1] 607/10 clinical [9] 572/5 572/20 487/11 489/8 553/19 564/7 564/15 564/21 573/24 574/5 587/24 572/12 578/4 591/15 588/5 588/22 588/25 592/4 592/19 594/18 602/16 597/23 598/5 598/8 close [3] 550/12 616/10 600/20 600/20 600/24 629/7 601/6 603/20 603/21 closed [6] 497/18 604/24 605/1 605/9 505/19 507/14 523/8 606/16 609/14 609/17 523/12 541/16 609/23 611/3 611/4 closely [1] 602/21 622/19 622/22 622/25 closing [1] 531/6 623/9 623/11 623/16 club [1] 618/14 clubs [2] 618/8 618/15 624/6 624/21 629/8 coach [2] 606/3 606/4 630/2 630/16 632/25 633/1 633/3 633/9 coalesced [1] 616/14 634/1 634/19 634/25 coauthor [1] 558/15 635/8 coauthored [1] 576/19 code [7] 527/12 527/16 Christian-based [1] 534/17 572/13 581/2 600/20 Christianity [2] 564/18 581/18 581/20 codes [3] 625/6 626/23 592/4 Christians [5] 582/20 629/2 606/10 624/2 624/16 cognitive [1] 628/6 629/21 cohort [3] 576/17 576/22 577/15 Church [2] 622/23 cohorts [1] 577/13 622/25 circles [1] 567/4 Coie [1] 488/7 Circuit [2] 571/24 573/8 Coley [3] 562/10 599/8 Circuit's [1] 574/14 599/12 circulated [1] 516/23 colleague [3] 544/24 circumstance [1] 501/2 545/4 559/7 circumstances [4] 505/8 colleagues [1] 557/16 535/12 550/14 605/10 collected [1] 556/15 cisgender [3] 616/20 collection [4] 578/19 616/22 617/19 585/4 587/3 590/8 cisnormative [1] 592/10 college [35] 487/12 cite [1] 622/22 489/3 530/3 553/19 cited [2] 568/14 578/19 553/20 553/24 572/13 cites [1] 563/11 577/18 578/12 578/13 citing [1] 636/23 579/6 580/25 582/22 civil [29] 488/13 488/16 583/2 583/6 587/5 491/1 516/3 516/13 587/6 588/17 594/1 517/6 518/13 522/7 594/7 599/23 600/21 524/5 526/24 527/3 605/14 605/25 607/14 612/8 612/19 613/8 529/19 529/23 530/1 613/20 613/22 617/19 618/20 629/3 630/2 530/18 531/4 531/5 531/10 531/12 531/23 632/20 538/11 541/16 542/16 college-age [1] 578/12 544/3 568/15 619/16 colleges [37] 487/11

Case 6:21colleges... [36] 489/8 563/21 563/25 577/16 578/4 578/8 578/25 578/25 579/2 579/11 580/3 584/1 584/17 586/9 589/23 591/15 591/16 593/7 595/9 599/5 600/9 606/16 609/14 609/21 609/23 610/12 610/18 611/16 622/20 623/9 624/7 624/21 628/16 628/25 630/16 633/4 Colorado [3] 619/16 621/1 621/25 Columbia [2] 524/10 559/11 column [34] 493/14 493/15 493/17 493/20 493/21 493/23 494/1 494/2 494/4 494/6 494/7 494/9 494/11 494/12 494/14 494/16 494/17 494/20 494/23 494/24 494/24 494/25 495/13 495/18 495/20 495/21 495/22 495/25 496/4 496/11 496/16 496/17 497/4 543/17 columns [1] 618/7 combinations [2] 596/8 606/19 combine [1] 556/17 come [9] 499/20 501/17 504/4 555/24 561/11 586/2 595/20 612/17 612/18 comes [4] 501/15 505/7 537/17 639/20 comfort [1] 590/1 comfortable [2] 634/6 634/6 coming [3] 589/16 596/8 599/2 Commission [1] 619/16 commitments [2] 592/19 592/20 committed [1] 598/7 committee [1] 568/10 common [2] 558/15 606/20 communication [5] 495/22 527/2 527/5 529/18 529/20 communications [3] 496/3 496/21 496/25 communities [2] 597/23 623/16 community [23] 576/16 577/10 577/16 590/2 594/22 595/2 598/2 598/6 598/6 598/7 601/1 603/20 604/11 604/15 604/23 605/3 605/8 606/16 606/21 610/4 625/1 626/13 633/9 comparable [7] 587/22 588/8 588/24 589/16 590/2 602/13 604/17

compare [4], 587/18 0602/8 613/6 617/6 Ocum369/5 509/13 509/14 11 compared [17] 570/7 570/9 577/12 580/3 582/17 583/21 583/22 584/1 584/16 586/9 588/14 589/22 601/19 602/11 615/25 616/24 618/3 comparing [5] 582/8 586/21 590/11 602/21 628/4 comparison [9] 505/18 579/4 583/25 585/3 589/10 603/10 614/21 614/22 616/20 comparisons [2] 612/24 617/7 compiled [2] 493/9 493/10 complain [1] 628/9 complainant [11] 494/18 494/25 495/14 495/23 496/21 498/24 507/7 507/22 508/6 522/8 539/15 complainant's [1] 539/25 complainants [6] 493/6 511/20 511/20 512/3 514/14 543/14 complainants' [1] 493/7 complaint [87] 493/22 494/2 494/8 497/9 497/12 497/13 497/14 497/15 497/17 497/18 497/24 497/25 498/4 498/5 498/15 498/19 498/22 499/1 499/8 502/21 502/24 503/15 503/16 504/16 504/19 504/22 505/5 505/18 506/2 506/6 506/17 507/14 508/9 508/10 508/22 508/23 509/24 512/6 514/21 515/24 516/1 516/4 516/11 516/17 516/21 519/24 520/4 520/6 520/13 521/7 521/8 522/15 523/7 523/12 524/10 528/21 529/2 529/6 529/9 529/22 530/6 530/11 531/14 531/18 531/24 532/7 532/8 535/14 536/9 536/14 537/16 538/20 538/25 539/7 540/11 540/21 541/4 546/12 550/7 550/11 550/11 550/14 550/17 551/1 551/2 551/8 630/3 complaints [75] 492/11 492/19 493/3 493/4 493/5 494/13 494/13 495/8 497/6 497/8 497/15 497/23 498/7 498/8 499/13 499/17 499/25 500/15 500/18 500/18 500/21 501/5 501/8 501/12 501/19 502/2 502/6 502/20

/224/24 [10] 392/461 509/23 510/10 510/12 504/5 514/20 534/25 510/13 510/19 510/20 548/19 561/12 574/8 597/23 603/20 611/9 510/25 511/12 511/13 511/15 512/5 512/12 consideration [4] 512/22 512/24 513/4 499/14 514/19 567/19 513/9 514/4 514/11 572/25 514/16 514/18 514/23 considerations [1] 515/2 515/8 515/13 498/16 515/17 516/14 516/20 considered [2] 534/22 521/9 524/25 525/25 583/8 531/3 531/7 535/18 considering [4] 499/7 538/13 541/12 541/17 499/23 499/25 571/25 542/21 542/25 546/15 consistency [1] 630/14 546/22 546/25 consistent [9] 520/22 complete [4] 510/3 546/21 547/3 547/8 514/25 536/12 636/15 548/14 549/18 586/22 completed [3] 515/2 589/10 592/24 515/18 524/1 consistently [2] 601/6 completely [1] 540/10 622/5 completion [4] 510/6 constantly [2] 610/22 512/14 512/24 513/2 610/22 complex [1] 602/3 constitutes [1] 514/22 compliance [2] 506/16 consulting [1] 568/10 contact [1] 639/21 520/23 comply [1] 525/7 contemporary [1] component [1] 556/17 574/11 comport [1] 548/15 contestation [1] 595/11 compound [2] 509/8 contested [1] 592/14 context [4] 522/19 535/8 computer [2] 545/11 530/5 581/10 622/18 629/13 continue [8] 491/24 computer's [1] 629/14 502/19 513/8 514/4 concern [1] 633/15 519/10 527/16 568/21 concluded [1] 571/6 625/15 conclusion [6] 569/14 Continued [3] 492/7 570/3 590/10 626/8 573/6 612/2 630/21 634/21 continues [1] 606/24 conclusions [10] 567/8 Continuing [1] 489/2 567/13 568/22 569/1 contradict [1] 618/6 569/2 570/20 571/17 contradicts [1] 619/5 586/21 589/10 589/19 conditions [1] 495/10 contribute [1] 602/2 conduct [12] 521/16 control [1] 571/23 534/17 572/13 573/1 controlled [1] 549/17 controlling [1] 520/23 581/3 581/18 624/8 625/6 626/12 626/23 convey [1] 624/23 627/5 629/2 coordinate [1] 638/12 copies [3] 517/23 conducted [6] 563/6 563/8 576/12 584/22 518/22 518/25 586/5 586/6 copious [1] 639/16 copy [7] 517/11 517/12 conducts [1] 581/20 conference [5] 641/5 517/15 518/3 521/21 641/9 641/9 641/16 554/10 620/8 641/18 CORBAN [1] 487/12 confidence [1] 614/19 core [3] 562/4 563/18 confident [1] 622/5 563/20 confirmed [1] 533/1 coronavirus [1] 641/12 conflict [3] 506/16 correct [93] 492/20 495/14 496/13 496/14 520/23 611/19 496/20 496/22 496/23 conformed [1] 641/21 501/6 501/7 504/10 confusing [2] 509/8 505/10 505/11 505/20 530/7 connected [3] 545/10 506/11 507/10 507/11 558/17 559/21 507/16 507/17 508/10 connection [4] 548/4 508/11 509/6 509/15 551/25 577/9 641/10 509/16 509/19 509/20 510/17 511/13 511/16 connections [1] 491/16 515/16 519/21 519/25 consent [2] 498/24 505/2 520/1 523/9 524/6 524/7 525/8 526/1 conservative [2] 564/5

526/2 526/11 527/7 P528/6 530/1 530/2 530/20 531/8 533/2 533/3 538/6 538/7 542/9 542/16 543/1 543/2 543/22 544/4 546/12 546/13 546/17 551/4 553/7 560/9 562/11 563/12 563/13 565/25 566/7 566/8 566/15 566/16 567/2 567/6 568/1 571/18 573/14 573/25 574/1 577/19 583/23 584/19 589/8 591/25 593/18 593/19 594/2 594/4 594/5 612/9 612/10 616/23 618/3 619/5 639/13 641/8 corrected [1] 496/19 correctly [2] 548/16 548/17 correlation [1] 617/1 correspondence [14] 515/22 517/5 518/11 519/14 519/20 520/2 520/16 522/13 522/24 523/16 524/4 528/14 529/15 529/16 corresponding [1] 543/14 costly [1] 600/25 Couch [1] 488/7 could [70] 491/4 491/19 492/22 492/24 493/2 493/20 494/1 494/6 494/11 494/16 494/23 494/24 495/4 495/20 495/20 497/11 497/12 498/12 507/13 507/14 507/20 507/21 507/22 507/24 508/7 513/8 contrast [2] 615/9 619/3 518/3 522/19 523/15 526/25 527/11 529/15 533/15 533/18 533/20 537/9 538/8 546/24 550/18 550/20 551/1 551/23 553/17 554/6 558/23 559/21 560/6 566/23 568/25 569/9 577/24 596/16 597/9 603/22 604/5 604/17 605/17 611/1 613/10 613/12 613/13 614/11 615/18 620/8 620/13 621/8 622/9 627/3 632/20 634/23 couldn't [2] 631/10 631/12 COUNCIL [8] 487/11 489/8 572/12 613/6 617/10 624/6 630/2 630/16 Council's [1] 622/20 counsel [6] 516/24 544/20 544/23 608/16 613/1 624/20 Counsel's [3] 521/24 522/16 555/2 counseling [2] 604/12 628/18 counterparts [1] 584/18

Case 6:21-c country [2] 562/3 599/14 couple [4] 503/11 608/24 629/17 632/14 course [9] 498/20 531/21 546/3 546/25 550/21 558/1 561/9 564/18 612/22 courses [6] 539/18 539/19 539/23 540/1 540/17 561/8 coursework [2] 540/14 540/15 court [51] 487/1 487/23 489/22 493/8 495/4 495/21 496/8 498/1 498/2 498/3 498/12 516/24 526/25 534/18 538/8 553/4 565/6 565/15 565/19 565/24 566/18 566/25 567/17 567/18 567/22 567/23 569/13 571/13 571/17 571/19 571/25 572/1 572/24 574/25 575/20 575/22 594/14 608/25 619/17 620/25 621/5 626/4 634/11 636/24 637/1 637/3 637/8 637/9 637/10 641/19 641/25 Court's [5] 508/24 536/21 576/1 625/14 634/19 Courthouse [1] 489/22 courtroom [1] 639/21 coverage [1] 556/24 covered [5] 505/3 506/1 516/20 637/3 637/11 covers [7] 504/19 506/1 506/6 532/7 539/7 540/10 540/20 COVID [19] 563/6 563/8 582/11 584/22 585/1 585/5 585/7 585/8 585/20 585/25 586/5 586/10 586/16 586/20 587/2 590/6 610/8 610/17 616/3 Cowboys [1] 606/3 CPM [2] 508/17 508/20 create [6] 595/11 596/11 596/13 598/2 598/5 622/6 created [1] 549/7 creates [1] 628/13 creation [1] 543/23 creator [1] 625/2 criminal [3] 625/3 625/4 625/21 criminalize [3] 625/16 626/1 626/11 crises [1] 596/11 crisis [9] 585/18 611/9 611/14 611/17 611/18 611/21 612/7 612/11 612/14 criticism [1] 571/1 criticizable [1] 574/12 criticized [4] 567/3

v567/5 568/2 569/13 vcriticizing [1] 374/17/0cu cross [18] 503/8 516/23 dealing [3] 521/3 623/1 519/10 536/16 545/2 545/3 545/5 546/7 547/25 549/2 565/9 565/13 609/6 611/25 612/2 620/1 632/7 632/9 cross-examination [7] 503/8 516/23 546/7 547/25 549/2 565/13 612/2 cross-examine [1] 620/1 crossed [2] 491/16 578/18 cultural [2] 622/23 623/4 cultural-wide [1] 623/4 current [17] 493/7 525/10 526/11 530/15 535/20 535/23 535/25 536/2 539/2 539/3 539/4 539/11 540/4 540/6 554/10 569/24 588/10 currently [8] 494/8 497/18 523/18 533/17 535/22 543/25 549/4 627/25 curve [1] 607/12 cut [2] 548/4 549/12 cv [5] 487/5 554/11 554/14 560/17 641/4

D.C [5] 488/14 488/17 489/13 489/16 489/18 d/b/a [1] 487/12 Dallas [2] 496/18 606/3 Daniel [1] 553/10 data [16] 558/16 563/9 572/15 578/19 578/19 578/23 578/23 585/4 587/3 590/8 614/3 614/7 618/5 618/25 619/5 625/24 date [16] 493/18 493/24 494/2 494/4 494/9 494/14 494/21 495/18 495/25 496/2 500/13 502/7 510/11 557/6 619/2 641/25 dated [2] 520/17 523/16| dates [4] 510/11 585/9 586/11 636/15 dating [7] 534/20 617/14 618/9 618/19 618/24 630/5 630/18 DAVIS [2] 488/13 491/8 day [7] 495/1 495/4 495/6 540/24 552/8 606/11 606/17 days [23] 495/8 510/4 510/5 510/8 510/14 510/15 510/16 512/12 512/23 515/1 515/1 515/9 515/12 515/19 521/20 546/12 546/13 546/16 546/16 547/4 569/17 588/3 612/21

Death [2] 558/17 559/21 debate [1] 611/19 DeBoer [6] 566/1 566/2 567/15 571/17 573/9 574/14 debunked [2] 570/16 570/16 decades [1] 627/22 December [3] 519/19 637/20 637/23 December 6th [2] 519/19 637/23 December 6th for [1] 637/20 decent [2] 491/15 561/3 depending [1] 596/19 decide [8] 506/15 599/5 depends [1] 581/13 599/17 599/23 599/24 601/5 603/12 604/15 decided [2] 605/13 616/3 decidedly [3] 588/21 604/19 618/16 deciding [1] 502/22 decision [31] 500/14 500/17 500/20 500/25 502/10 502/13 502/15 502/15 506/25 511/12 511/14 518/1 536/12 543/5 550/4 556/2 556/5 556/9 556/9 567/11 568/9 568/11 572/4 573/9 573/11 595/22 597/5 604/7 625/15 634/14 635/6 decision-maker [7] 500/14 500/17 500/20 500/25 506/25 536/12 550/4 decision-making [6] 556/2 556/5 556/9 556/9 567/11 597/5 decisions [3] 515/23 595/13 596/14 decisively [1] 610/17 declaration [1] 517/1 declares [1] 578/16 deeply [1] 605/22 defend [1] 633/18 defendant [2] 532/24 551/18 Defendant's [1] 492/22 Defendants [12] 487/9 487/14 488/12 489/3 489/8 491/9 519/6 576/1 580/12 591/7 613/1 635/21 Defendants-Intervenors [1] 487/14 defending [2] 489/5 565/19 defined [1] 592/5 definitely [3] 510/23 547/6 607/12 degree [6] 503/2 553/22 561/13 585/21 586/13 633/16 degrees [1] 623/11

deal [5], 536/8 572/19 1398/12 624/17 628/8 11

628/6

deliberate [1] 540/19 demand [1] 619/21 62 demonstrable [1] 611/20 demonstrate [1] 604/22 demonstrated [1] 577/4 demonstrates [1] 522/6 denomination [1] 592/23 denying [2] 520/7 541/21 department [15] 487/7 488/13 488/16 491/2 521/13 524/15 547/10 555/10 568/3 568/8 568/9 568/12 568/13 632/7 641/2 dependent [4] 596/20 596/20 596/22 610/22 deposition [14] 496/6 496/8 496/10 503/12 509/21 510/2 515/21 532/11 532/21 533/6 533/14 534/7 535/5 538/6 depression [11] 584/7 585/6 585/22 588/6 588/10 588/12 589/20 601/17 602/9 602/25 603/2 depressions [1] 587/9 depressive [1] 603/7 deputy [1] 639/21 derail [1] 533/8 describe [9] 495/21 529/15 538/8 543/12 553/17 560/23 566/17 567/22 621/24 described [8] 502/24 503/1 521/15 523/3 607/15 616/16 624/10 628/19 describes [2] 521/14 526/18 describing [1] 525/13 description [4] 493/5 524/19 534/12 535/7 descriptions [1] 513/3 deserve [1] 635/1 designated [1] 631/24 designs [1] 625/2 desire [1] 600/21 desk [2] 504/16 506/17 desperate [1] 610/24 despite [1] 508/3 detected [1] 589/21 deter [1] 604/8 determination [8] 505/18 505/22 506/3 506/7 506/10 506/11 506/13 516/19 determinations [3] 506/21 506/23 506/23 determine [10] 498/17 498/21 498/22 505/23 505/25 506/4 514/20 538/24 539/6 539/18 determined [1] 509/17 determines [4] 498/14 508/8 508/17 508/19

determining [3] 500/5 P540720 548/20 develop [1] 634/1 devout [1] 603/20 dial [1] 545/13 dialing [1] 548/5 did [43] 499/24 510/25 511/20 526/10 526/11 532/16 533/9 548/16 549/8 553/19 554/1 554/4 554/5 554/10 554/12 555/15 558/16 562/6 562/14 562/16 562/17 562/22 562/23 565/18 566/10 573/8 573/16 573/16 573/16 579/10 579/24 582/12 582/16 583/24 601/4 602/8 612/24 613/14 620/20 621/24 623/13 623/23 636/17 didn't [12] 525/3 526/8 549/12 558/5 558/9 566/25 568/8 570/18 571/7 571/7 578/9 599/16 differ [1] 592/22 difference [5] 577/10 579/2 616/11 616/13 617/9 different [35] 504/12 525/19 560/7 564/10 569/15 570/7 570/9 578/18 578/19 584/4 584/4 587/24 588/21 589/12 590/3 590/8 592/23 596/12 600/3 601/13 602/12 602/22 604/19 615/5 616/2 616/5 616/8 617/7 617/8 617/8 629/10 633/3 634/4 634/5 638/9 differential [1] 633/6 difficult [8] 601/2 604/13 605/2 605/4 605/9 605/23 608/2 639/7 difficulties [6] 491/17 587/20 602/16 610/9 641/15 641/17 difficulty [2] 585/15 619/8 dig [1] 579/16 digitally [1] 641/22 diminish [3] 568/15 620/6 621/15 diminished [2] 558/19 559/18 direct [12] 492/7 499/10 503/5 547/24 553/7 553/15 573/6 594/3 617/7 627/11 630/8 631/8 directed [2] 500/2 538/11 direction [1] 590/9 directly [3] 561/21 594/17 618/7 director [6] 493/11 513/19 514/2 542/8 542/15 542/18 disagree [3] 525/9

Case 6:21-c disagree... [2] 567/20 568/20 disagreed [1] 616/15 disciplinary [1] 580/25 discipline [6] 528/2 560/20 580/2 581/22 581/24 626/22 disciplined [5] 580/19 581/7 581/17 627/6 632/21 Disconnect [1] 545/12 discrete [1] 534/9 discriminate [2] 547/20 596/5 discriminated [1] 524/12 discriminating [1] 520/6 discrimination [15] 492/11 495/9 515/24 520/5 520/20 521/10 522/8 531/12 531/19 532/13 532/17 533/7 576/21 620/6 621/15 discriminatory [4] 534/8 534/9 534/11 536/1 discuss [2] 521/12 591/14 discussed [5] 549/2 576/12 593/17 593/20 602/5 discussing [1] 591/1 discussion [3] 589/6 629/19 637/6 discussions [2] 561/11 589/9 disfavor [1] 627/22 dislike [1] 633/7 dismiss [7] 497/23 498/15 500/15 500/17 502/1 502/9 502/13 dismissal [2] 498/3 542/23 dismissals [1] 501/3 dismissed [18] 497/10 497/12 497/19 497/20 497/21 497/22 501/16 508/10 509/24 516/3 516/12 516/14 516/19 532/8 550/7 550/11 550/16 551/3 dismisses [1] 550/25 dismissing [3] 531/13 531/24 541/17 disorder [1] 588/5 display [1] 554/6 dispositive [1] 501/1 disputes [2] 567/10 567/10 distance [1] 568/8 distanced [1] 568/4 distinction [1] 575/13 distinctions [3] 575/12 618/10 618/11 distinctively [2] 609/22 624/12 distinctiveness [1] 564/9 distress [5] 576/21 577/12 587/8 588/3 602/9 DISTRICT [8] 487/1

/487/2 487/23 489/22 /524/9 572/10573/9 Ocume 41/18 612/12 614/17 1 641/19 diverse [3] 633/19 634/3 634/4 diversity [1] 610/12 **DIVISION** [3] 487/3 488/13 488/16 divorce [2] 602/2 623/2 do [156] docket [10] 493/15 493/16 493/17 493/23 497/14 517/4 518/10 518/12 519/23 608/18 Doctors [1] 562/10 document [32] 517/4 519/16 520/15 520/25 522/1 522/6 522/19 522/21 523/4 523/17 524/3 526/16 526/20 526/22 527/24 528/12 528/14 536/17 537/4 537/5 538/5 538/8 538/10 540/2 540/5 543/7 543/12 543/13 549/2 563/7 582/4 584/25 documentation [2] 511/21 530/12 documents [12] 507/23 516/23 516/25 517/8 518/9 518/13 521/21 527/19 530/24 532/21 533/5 542/4 does [52] 493/12 493/13 493/17 493/19 493/23 493/25 494/20 494/22 495/15 495/16 495/17 496/2 496/4 506/7 506/14 510/8 518/22 518/25 519/21 519/22 520/10 520/11 533/12 533/13 534/2 534/14 534/15 539/17 540/4 540/16 544/23 545/4 549/23 554/16 573/20 578/11 583/25 584/20 585/2 585/25 590/16 597/7 603/11 603/14 615/21 616/23 622/4 622/4 622/6 636/19 636/24 637/17 doesn't [2] 506/19 532/3 doing [2] 554/7 569/4 domain [5] 562/4 564/22 567/9 569/23 572/10 don't [57] 500/10 500/12 506/10 510/21 513/2 514/10 514/15 515/5 516/18 518/6 526/17 527/8 528/24 537/20 541/10 541/13 542/2 544/1 544/7 545/3 560/16 562/20 564/2 567/21 568/24 573/23 579/3 581/20 590/5 590/22 596/1 597/9 597/18 598/13 599/18 599/21 603/24 606/4 606/11 609/2

616/16 619/7 619/8 624/11 625/9 627/5 632/21 634/15 635/1 635/15 637/11 done [11] 541/9 541/10 541/23 547/22 560/15 561/5 608/25 619/11 623/22 637/12 639/19 door [1] 541/16 double [1] 636/17 double-checking [1] 636/17 doubt [1] 510/7 down [15] 498/10 509/10 509/10 520/14 521/17 524/14 539/13 546/2 567/10 595/20 607/9 607/23 613/24 626/17 635/7 dozen [1] 558/18 Dr [24] 526/13 542/7 557/16 558/16 559/6 559/7 559/7 575/18 576/12 576/17 576/19 577/15 577/22 577/25 578/1 578/11 602/8 606/14 606/17 611/6 617/22 622/3 628/13 628/24 Dr. [51] 509/12 519/12 528/19 529/14 530/24 531/9 542/15 552/17 553/17 554/7 554/14 554/20 555/8 557/10 558/15 559/1 560/14 560/14 562/16 565/6 568/5 568/14 569/4 573/8 574/13 574/22 576/11 577/8 577/14 579/19 582/3 583/20 589/7 589/19 590/18

590/23 590/23 590/25 593/18 595/7 599/3 599/8 599/12 609/12 610/11 622/2 624/20 627/18 628/16 629/17 630/1 Dr. Coley [2] 599/8 599/12 Dr. Hatzenbuehler's [1] 559/1 Dr. Mark [3] 552/17 554/20 558/15 Dr. Meyer's [1] 577/8 Dr. Regnerus [28] 553/17 554/7 554/14 555/8 557/10 560/14 560/14 565/6 569/4 573/8 574/13 576/11 577/14 579/19 582/3 583/20 590/23 590/25 593/18 595/7 599/3 609/12 610/11 624/20 627/18 628/16 629/17 630/1 Dr. Regnerus' [3] 568/5 568/14 574/22 Dr. Shirley [1] 562/16

Dr. Wells [1] 542/15

Dr. Wills [6] 509/12

519/12 528/19 529/14 530/24 53 // sage 163 Dr. Wolff [4] 589/7 589/19 590/23 622/2 Dr. Wolff's [1] 590/18 draft [1] 547/15 drew [1] 586/21 drinking [1] 623/6 Drive [1] 489/10 drug [1] 623/6 ducks [1] 511/4 due [4] 609/8 638/17 641/10 641/12 duly [1] 553/1 duration [1] 570/5 during [32] 499/10 502/24 503/1 503/11 508/6 509/21 512/3 515/21 532/11 532/21 533/5 533/14 534/7 534/16 563/6 569/16 569/20 584/22 584/22 584/25 585/5 585/7 585/8 585/13 585/20 585/24 586/5 586/20 587/2 607/14 610/17 641/15 Duron [1] 540/23 Duron's [1] 541/4 dynamics [2] 570/3

586/13 Ε each [12] 494/2 494/7 503/11 510/11 525/3 525/3 541/12 542/21 542/25 543/13 546/18 639/15 earbuds [2] 545/10 545/12 earlier [17] 507/4 507/18 508/13 512/4 514/12 514/12 525/3 527/5 534/19 545/15 573/12 573/24 579/22 585/21 605/7 608/8 620/2 early [3] 500/2 618/23 638/18 easily [1] 639/8 easy [2] 600/25 639/9 economics [3] 556/8 557/3 557/8 ed [4] 600/4 609/19 609/20 612/20 ED2.000078 [1] 538/16 editor [2] 571/1 571/11 edits [1] 496/15 educated [2] 597/13 606/10 education [7] 487/7 491/3 520/8 547/11 553/19 610/12 641/2 educational [21] 493/21 504/24 507/8 507/24 508/7 516/7 524/23 524/25 525/5 526/6 526/9 531/2 531/11 531/19 532/14 533/15 535/20 535/22 549/16 553/17 600/1 effect [4] 584/20 585/2

568/15 572/21 572/21 585/4 627/9 627/10 627/15 627/20 627/25 628/5 628/12 628/18 eight [2] 522/14 599/1 either [7] 498/15 502/3 551/7 578/7 585/16 595/22 636/4 elect [2] 598/15 609/25 elected [1] 578/8 electroshock [1] 627/20 elicit [1] 607/19 ELIZABETH [2] 487/4 641/2 ELLIOTT [3] 488/13 491/8 518/15 else [9] 544/22 575/3 580/9 585/24 587/1 599/25 638/13 639/10 639/22 elsewhere [2] 586/23 618/21 email [1] 620/14 embattled [1] 622/25 embraced [1] 591/15 embracing [1] 609/14 emergent [1] 596/8 emotional [5] 508/5 563/22 582/14 584/6 602/15 employment [1] 569/24 employs [1] 602/20 enable [1] 499/2 encouragement [1] 604/12 end [8] 500/12 508/7 521/17 522/11 536/16 560/17 571/6 629/16 energy [1] 507/23 enforce [1] 527/16 enforced [3] 625/22 626/1 626/14 enforcement [10] 492/10 493/11 502/18 513/19 514/2 521/5 542/9 542/15 542/18 625/20 engage [1] 624/9 enough [4] 505/7 596/16 616/9 616/9 enroll [6] 599/18 599/22 600/2 600/2 633/3 633/18 enrolled [1] 598/19 enrolling [2] 597/2 600/19 ensure [1] 547/7 entail [1] 556/16 entailed [2] 556/13 556/13 enter [1] 603/12 entire [2] 571/6 598/7 entirely [2] 567/18 582/11 entitled [2] 634/20 641/19 entity [2] 524/17 524/18 entries [1] 495/22

616/3 616/4

effects [1] 621/10

efforts [13] 507/21

effort [2] 590/8 598/5

Case 6:21-c entry [1] 496/18 enunciate [1] 641/14 environment [6] 581/19 596/10 597/6 600/21 609/19 625/6 environments [4] 568/23 579/4 608/2 612/8 episodes [1] 603/7 equal [2] 520/7 533/7 era [13] 563/6 563/8 582/11 585/1 585/5 585/7 585/8 585/25 586/5 586/20 587/2 610/8 610/17 eras [1] 578/4 especially [3] 586/14 605/20 606/23 essential [2] 621/23 629/2 essentially [3] 527/3 559/13 568/22 establish [1] 508/23 estimate [1] 557/24 estimates [1] 599/7 estimation [1] 563/20 et [22] 487/4 487/8 491/2 491/3 557/7 572/17 577/6 578/6 579/25 585/6 585/22 592/23 595/17 599/24 603/7 605/20 610/20 623/5 623/6 623/23 641/2 641/2 ethics [1] 622/20 EUGENE [2] 487/3 489/23 evaluate [7] 492/10 546/11 546/22 547/4 563/16 575/20 584/3 evaluated [3] 567/7 569/15 599/12 evaluating [4] 504/14 541/4 557/16 609/7 evaluation [29] 497/9 498/9 498/13 498/14 499/4 500/16 500/19 501/22 502/5 502/6 502/22 507/19 508/14 509/6 509/25 510/3 510/3 510/6 511/16 512/1 512/3 512/14 512/24 513/2 514/25 515/3 515/14 515/18 603/17 evaluations [2] 546/18 600/15 even [24] 500/25 501/14 508/3 508/6 509/1 514/12 515/18 525/13 551/2 577/9 581/13 581/15 585/8 598/13 599/5 599/21 606/10 610/7 610/17 614/19 625/25 626/4 627/5 632/20 evening [1] 552/7 events [1] 600/5 eventually [1] 558/25 ever [13] 547/11 547/15

,547(19,553)25 580/25 | 526/9 527/4 527/6 /588/9 588/11588/19 Cunser/9 527/16 527/25 11 588/19 588/20 589/1 598/23 598/24 every [10] 502/21 502/23 503/15 536/12 542/21 542/25 547/1 581/5 609/24 626/4 everybody [6] 517/25 518/22 518/23 518/25 580/4 636/20 everybody's [2] 551/24 638/7 everyday [1] 576/21 everyone [1] 608/16 everyone's [1] 537/13 everything [2] 508/9 639/16 evidence [11] 508/4 508/25 576/4 578/16 599/4 611/7 611/15 611/18 611/20 620/19 628/12 exacerbate [1] 563/22 exact [2] 500/13 564/2 exactly [4] 540/20 555/2 574/7 579/24 examination [13] 492/7 503/8 516/23 546/7 547/24 547/25 549/2 553/15 565/13 573/6 575/9 612/2 632/17 examine [2] 579/5 620/1 example [8] 498/2 539/14 539/14 539/15 540/12 540/18 588/2 594/23 examples [1] 540/22 Except [1] 616/24 exception [4] 497/9 497/12 498/5 501/16 exceptions [1] 525/13 exclude [3] 572/19 572/22 574/22 excluded [1] 540/14 exclusion [1] 627/4 exclusive [1] 579/1 exclusively [3] 543/5 587/6 606/24 excuse [9] 496/12 502/18 513/17 513/20 516/22 552/1 556/11 556/11 631/7 excused [4] 551/16 552/8 635/19 635/24 executive [1] 568/10 exempt [2] 520/19 526/8 exempted [3] 505/17 540/9 540/14 exemption [87] 492/14 500/25 502/2 502/9 502/14 503/25 504/2 504/10 504/18 505/7 505/9 505/15 505/17 505/25 506/6 507/4 507/14 507/16 508/8 511/5 516/3 516/4 516/12 516/15 516/20 523/1 523/7 523/13 524/16 524/22 525/25

528/4 528/5 529/21 529/22 529/25 530/2 530/5 530/11 530/16 530/18 530/19 531/21 531/21 531/25 532/6 532/13 532/16 533/18 534/23 534/24 535/13 536/4 537/18 538/12 538/22 538/23 538/24 538/25 539/5 539/6 539/9 539/10 539/16 539/19 539/21 539/22 539/22 540/7 540/9 540/13 540/17 540/20 543/18 544/1 549/4 549/9 549/15 549/22 549/25 551/6 exemptions [11] 502/7 524/24 525/6 525/6 525/13 525/23 526/14 537/9 538/14 538/18 543/21 exercise [1] 525/15 exert [1] 626/3 exhibit [58] 492/22 493/2 493/3 493/9 493/10 493/12 498/10 503/23 508/16 509/2 509/3 514/13 515/13 516/18 517/23 517/24 519/13 523/15 528/13 535/19 536/19 536/20 536/22 536/25 537/7 537/14 537/19 538/2 538/16 540/5 543/9 543/10 547/23 549/3 549/6 554/6 554/7 554/13 554/16 554/20 569/17 575/18 579/17 580/8 582/3 583/13 590/15 590/21 590/22 612/25 613/1 613/7 613/17 614/25 615/19 616/10 617/10 619/11 exhibits [5] 517/1 517/21 518/14 519/1 583/15 existed [1] 505/24 existing [1] 504/18 exists [2] 544/10 574/18 564/25 expect [2] 586/7 632/24 factually [2] 567/6 expected [4] 552/14 571/21 586/7 586/8 expeditiously [1] 514/18 failing [1] 581/17 expelled [1] 535/22 experience [22] 560/24 560/25 563/23 565/5 570/25 571/14 576/25 577/9 586/1 588/18 594/3 594/9 594/20 595/7 597/24 600/11 604/20 607/14 607/17 614/12 618/20 623/4 experienced [10] 574/2 588/9 588/12 588/13 588/15 588/17 588/20 589/1 603/4 626/22 experiences [5] 580/1 580/1 580/2 599/22 622/15

experiment [1] 587/3 experimentation [1] 64 599/24 experimenting [2] 607/15 607/18 expert [37] 552/17 554/4 554/8 554/20 554/24 554/25 555/3 556/19 561/25 562/7 562/9 562/14 562/20 562/25 563/16 563/19 564/23 565/6 566/7 567/17 569/6 572/7 572/9 572/9 572/11 572/14 572/19 574/4 575/1 575/2 575/6 575/9 575/18 590/18 609/13 621/20 622/18 expertise [4] 559/5 575/11 575/23 593/13 experts [5] 562/12 565/1 574/8 600/6 611/8 explain [7] 494/6 494/11 494/16 495/4 497/11 556/12 622/17 explored [1] 556/7 express [1] 574/23 expressed [3] 577/23 578/1 634/22 extended [1] 563/5 extensive [1] 594/9 extent [8] 520/20 539/9 540/7 544/2 556/7 575/21 584/15 608/20 extra [2] 504/9 504/11 extrapolates [1] 635/5 F face [2] 618/4 619/7

596/3 fact [15] 507/18 509/14 510/16 525/5 527/6 532/16 538/5 547/7 568/13 568/21 571/7 584/20 585/2 608/9 612/8 factors [1] 514/19 facts [1] 498/1 factual [2] 563/18 570/17 faculty [1] 547/19 failure [2] 618/14 641/14 fair [47] 503/18 503/19 504/8 505/7 507/24 511/1 511/2 521/8 522/5 522/10 522/23 526/20 526/21 528/19 529/10 531/4 537/18 546/14 548/19 549/19 549/21 549/23 550/10 561/12 561/24 564/13 571/3 581/23 582/23 582/24 584/15 585/17 590/9 591/22 594/12 597/16 597/21 599/17 605/12 614/6 616/9

P629/4 629/5 633/20 fairly [6] 510/22 592/5 607/19 629/11 633/19 634/14 faith [2] 605/9 609/17 fall [2] 538/25 557/6 falsehoods [1] 631/25 Falwell [8] 524/4 524/8 526/13 526/15 526/25 527/1 527/5 527/25 familiar [11] 516/6 516/13 538/2 549/10 566/4 566/6 605/18 605/18 625/14 630/1 631/20 families [2] 568/16 622/15 family [6] 569/15 569/25 570/2 573/14 573/20 601/24 fans [1] 610/15 far [9] 514/22 517/13 569/4 577/20 589/24 597/12 601/9 605/17 631/9 fared [2] 570/6 584/17 faring [2] 579/9 579/11 fast [1] 641/13 father [4] 569/19 570/2 570/8 570/10 favor [5] 536/13 627/25 628/1 634/13 634/17 federal [11] 488/16 498/1 498/2 532/14 550/12 565/24 566/18 566/25 567/16 572/24 597/9 federally [1] 531/2 feeds [1] 594/22 faced [3] 578/24 580/25 feel [15] 595/3 595/14 596/16 613/23 613/25 614/5 614/10 614/16 614/17 615/2 615/20 616/21 619/8 627/3 632/20 feeling [7] 614/20 617/2 617/13 617/17 617/23 618/2 627/4 feelings [2] 628/7 628/8 feels [1] 622/25 felt [2] 571/11 577/10 female [2] 524/12 608/4 females [1] 592/11 few [8] 517/17 524/2 524/3 526/24 546/9 613/5 620/23 627/14 fewer [1] 589/20 fickle [1] 545/16 field [3] 540/19 572/7 574/8 fielded [13] 569/22 582/9 584/25 585/7 585/9 585/13 585/20 585/21 585/24 587/2 610/8 614/8 616/2 fields [1] 555/1 figure [1] 511/4 figures [1] 602/8 file [9] 505/15 507/4 507/14 508/23 525/18 537/18 539/22 637/15

616/9 622/24 627/7

Case 6:21-c file... [1] 637/16 following [2] 521/19 filed [30] 492/19 493/3 638/16 493/4 495/8 495/14 follows [3] 492/5 553/2 497/15 497/15 497/24 594/4 498/1 498/19 499/17 football [2] 606/4 606/6 510/12 512/22 520/4 forbid [2] 591/19 626/12 520/13 521/7 521/9 force [2] 595/12 596/23 | function [1] 625/6 522/15 524/10 526/1 forced [1] 625/18 529/6 529/22 531/18 Forces [1] 560/20 532/7 535/19 538/21 forcing [1] 596/23 542/22 551/1 620/22 foregoing [1] 641/7 621/5 forget [1] 634/13 filing [6] 493/6 498/2 forgiveness [1] 601/1 510/25 531/3 636/15 forgiving [1] 600/1 636/18 form [4] 498/24 505/2 filings [1] 543/15 513/24 626/22 fill [1] 520/12 formally [2] 524/15 final [7] 505/22 506/3 524/24 506/10 506/24 506/25 formation [2] 607/25 543/5 611/6 610/2 financial [1] 532/14 formed [1] 593/5 financially [4] 595/25 former [2] 524/4 532/22 596/16 597/3 597/16 forms [5] 596/7 604/24 find [10] 504/17 514/21 624/24 627/20 627/24 538/18 569/7 585/24 forth [2] 503/2 529/21 604/12 604/17 605/10 forthcoming [1] 557/18 605/14 605/19 forward [14] 495/12 finding [2] 589/25 618/6 498/15 498/23 500/4 findings [4] 558/24 500/6 500/8 501/15 560/6 577/21 577/25 501/17 501/24 502/22 finds [2] 567/18 567/23 505/1 506/8 511/19 fine [6] 545/23 565/11 521/19 636/21 638/19 638/23 found [6] 503/17 570/22 638/24 572/23 576/15 586/23 fingers [1] 491/16 604/11 finish [1] 609/12 foundation [6] 512/15 finished [3] 523/25 514/6 529/11 530/21 528/18 537/12 593/11 595/1 first [27] 493/14 499/5 four [4] 528/14 555/19 504/21 514/15 517/4 556/4 610/3 519/19 521/2 522/5 four-page [1] 528/14 524/3 524/3 538/20 four-plus [1] 610/3 546/10 548/14 548/15 fourth [1] 497/4 548/19 550/22 553/1 Fox [4] 515/22 516/2 558/8 560/7 561/23 523/10 541/18 570/23 578/9 599/19 frames [1] 590/3 599/21 617/16 618/11 framework [3] 593/7 632/19 593/20 594/4 fiscal [2] 510/6 546/13 frankly [7] 565/22 fit [2] 609/15 610/5 585/11 585/15 595/19 fits [1] 634/1 596/2 596/11 607/2 five [1] 614/11 free [4] 511/15 551/6 fixed [1] 607/19 600/3 622/23 Floor [1] 488/7 freedom [5] 489/5 624/1 Florida [1] 571/12 629/20 630/13 630/15 fluent [1] 607/16 freely [3] 604/12 624/3 fluidity [2] 606/15 629/22 606/22 frequent [2] 587/8 588/2 focus [3] 581/21 582/16 frequently [2] 622/14 609/8 626/14 focused [3] 579/6 freshman [1] 561/9 589/21 592/10 Friday [12] 491/18 folks' [1] 638/5 492/9 492/13 495/3 follow [10] 540/4 557/15 496/3 502/25 503/1 573/2 575/8 603/21 505/3 516/22 532/25 612/5 621/21 623/25 552/7 637/3 632/25 633/8 Friday's [9] 493/18 follow-up [5] 557/15 493/24 494/4 494/9 573/2 575/8 612/5 494/14 494/21 495/18 621/21 495/25 496/2

followed [3], 530/17 V553/21 604/5 Document [1], 571/3 University of the property of the prope front [4] 492/23 493/8 505/19 519/16 full [3] 553/5 555/20 639/15 fully [4] 574/23 617/18 617/21 617/23 fundamental [1] 559/14 Fundamentally [1] 567/9 funded [1] 531/2 funky [1] 614/10 further [21] 496/6 503/5 508/19 508/21 509/19 520/16 530/12 539/13 544/11 551/11 554/24 559/2 575/4 611/23 632/6 635/10 635/17 636/1 636/7 636/9 636/12 future [2] 539/23 596/6 gain [1] 635/16 gather [1] 511/25 gay [1] 606/1 gender [30] 520/21 520/22 521/10 523/2 528/24 530/19 531/19 541/20 541/21 547/12 547/14 547/16 547/18 547/21 560/21 572/21 591/17 593/9 594/24 596/7 599/6 606/23 609/16 614/4 617/12 617/21 618/1 619/1 622/19 627/9 gender-transitioning [1] 593/9 GENE [4] 489/12 491/10 590/17 619/20 general [24] 504/5 512/13 512/23 529/15 534/25 551/7 560/17 561/13 566/17 568/5 569/13 577/19 580/23 586/9 602/9 607/3 610/6 611/10 611/14 614/12 614/16 621/4 623/14 624/13 generalized [3] 578/17 586/14 588/5 generally [14] 502/17 502/18 504/12 505/20 505/21 512/2 512/22 529/17 536/7 536/9 536/13 564/14 620/23 620/24 generation [4] 576/11 576/18 576/23 587/6 generations [1] 578/16 generous [1] 581/12 gentlemen [1] 544/19 George [4] 515/22 516/2 523/10 541/18 get [19] 511/4 518/2

537/2 563/10 579/3

581/4 598/11 598/24

604/12 606/4 607/1

607/5 618/8 627/6

629/10 632/21 638/9 638/10 639/180e 165 625/10 638/5 638/7 give [13] 512/17 517/17 532/3 532/3 536/11 595/15 598/24 611/2 620/12 628/14 637/16 637/25 638/1 given [14] 504/11 512/21 530/15 547/7 575/11 581/21 585/12 606/11 612/13 617/7 622/24 629/7 631/20 633/2 gives [2] 539/13 539/14 giving [1] 609/7 go [49] 491/24 498/15 500/6 502/22 503/6 517/10 517/21 517/22 518/23 519/15 521/13 522/2 526/18 537/24 538/15 538/15 544/19 545/2 553/9 553/12 555/5 558/4 565/11 575/10 575/16 587/18 588/8 590/19 592/18 597/8 598/12 599/1 599/5 600/9 609/20 609/24 609/25 613/4 613/22 613/24 620/3 621/24 623/21 625/12 627/14 629/14 629/18 632/3 637/2 God [3] 561/2 561/10 623/15 Godliness [1] 624/18 goes [10] 521/12 526/17 528/5 529/24 560/21 567/22 567/23 569/6 575/21 599/16 going [63] 491/16 491/17 498/23 500/4 500/8 501/24 503/13 504/18 504/20 511/5 516/24 516/25 518/9 519/12 521/2 521/16 523/19 525/4 537/6 541/4 541/13 542/7 542/7 542/20 542/25 543/8 544/3 545/1 545/5 545/13 546/1 548/12 552/1 553/6 566/3 566/19 567/16 579/17 579/18 584/3 593/15 598/9 598/20 600/14 600/15 607/25 608/19 608/20 609/1 612/5 613/4 619/24 626/7 627/1 628/15 629/10 630/22 631/16 633/5 634/4 637/2 637/24 638/9 Goldberg [1] 532/24 gone [2] 531/10 561/3 good [9] 503/10 518/18 552/8 588/4 603/24 609/15 609/19 610/3 612/4 got [10] 536/24 559/24 560/3 613/18 614/17 622/11 626/25 626/25

governing [1] 526/19 getting [5] 536/16 571/2 Government [29] 491/9 491/18 492/22 503/23 508/16 509/2 514/13 515/13 519/5 535/18 536/24 537/7 538/2 543/8 543/9 547/23 549/6 551/13 551/18 552/10 552/11 575/25 580/11 591/6 635/21 636/10 636/13 638/20 638/24 Government's [4] 536/18 536/18 536/22 549/3 governmental [1] 634/20 governments [1] 625/22 grabs [1] 595/10 gracious [1] 604/23 graduate [2] 541/1 541/8 Graduated [1] 553/21 graduation [1] 613/24 grant [3] 498/21 527/15 528/5 granted [7] 520/18 523/7 527/9 530/18 538/21 539/1 539/5 granting [5] 495/10 527/8 538/23 539/9 539/20 grants [2] 527/6 597/4 grasp [2] 564/17 634/15 great [3] 536/8 585/15 610/5 greater [6] 576/21 577/11 612/13 612/13 612/15 623/1 grew [3] 524/8 570/3 610/17 GREY [2] 489/9 489/10 Griffith [1] 489/10 grounded [2] 593/2 593/3 grounds [1] 619/23 group [8] 505/23 542/20 542/24 543/3 577/14 619/14 631/24 632/2 groups [1] 618/9 grow [2] 634/5 634/6 growing [5] 569/20 569/22 570/1 610/16 612/21 growing-up [3] 569/20 569/22 570/1 grown [2] 557/13 577/7 guess [5] 532/22 564/7 582/18 597/13 636/6 guest [1] 638/14 guidance [10] 503/24 506/14 506/14 506/18 506/20 506/22 530/17 537/15 540/5 547/18 guide [2] 608/6 637/4 guidelines [1] 504/5 guys [1] 518/15

629/16 637/12

had [49] 491/17 495/23 500/3 500/4 502/8

Н Case 6:21-0 had... [44] 509/1 511/22 516/17 519/14 523/12 523/17 528/15 529/14 530/16 531/1 531/20 531/21 532/12 533/4 534/19 537/2 537/10 545/14 557/14 559/22 560/24 561/5 568/14 569/19 570/4 570/4 571/3 573/13 573/13 576/19 578/20 580/25 585/20 586/6 586/10 587/1 588/2 588/15 588/15 588/19 601/25 607/7 621/9 622/10 half [1] 608/3 hand [2] 552/23 635/3 handle [3] 503/24 504/2 504/4 handled [1] 637/1 handles [1] 546/18 handwringing [1] 595/18 happen [6] 501/25 507/2 518/16 571/11 593/6 595/8 happening [4] 514/14 514/22 516/6 577/3 happens [1] 595/23 happy [4] 517/10 555/4 570/24 639/7 harassed [1] 571/12 hard [2] 517/13 518/3 harm [5] 559/19 585/6 604/9 623/6 627/4 Harvard [1] 559/11 has [62] 495/1 495/23 496/19 497/10 497/12 497/18 497/24 498/20 499/1 499/12 499/13 500/7 501/16 504/16 508/20 509/17 509/18 512/21 514/13 515/14 516/14 516/19 521/6 531/10 531/12 531/18 531/23 532/6 532/8 533/1 534/10 537/7 537/21 538/16 538/21 539/5 539/6 543/18 547/10 547/13 547/15 547/17 547/19 547/22 550/7 550/11 566/20 567/6 567/7 568/2 568/4 572/23 574/11 576/3 577/4 577/7 582/2 589/4 590/14 613/7 627/21 631/23 hasn't [1] 567/3 hate [2] 521/23 631/24 Hatzenbuehler [3] 558/15 559/9 559/10 Hatzenbuehler's [2] 559/1 567/7 hauled [1] 626/4 have [180] having [18] 492/5 498/23 527/13 540/25 544/25 553/1 561/12 579/1 581/18 585/14 588/9 588/11 588/13

,588/20,589/1,597/4 /698/9,629/13 Documers/1,615/20,617/9 he [42] 491/19 491/19 491/19 512/16 512/16 514/8 514/9 526/16 526/17 526/18 529/18 532/2 532/2 532/3 532/3 540/25 541/5 541/25 541/25 552/18 558/16 558/17 571/2 571/2 571/6 571/7 571/7 571/12 572/23 575/9 589/25 589/25 599/16 601/12 601/16 601/22 601/25 601/25 605/13 606/3 634/25 635/5 he's [13] 509/7 545/6 545/7 545/8 545/13 548/5 554/25 559/11 574/23 574/25 589/21 632/1 632/2 Head [1] 606/3 headline [1] 537/8 headquarters [7] 499/18 499/20 499/21 500/5 542/20 542/24 543/4 health [17] 563/22 572/7 572/20 582/14 582/14 584/1 584/6 588/4 588/7 601/5 602/15 611/9 611/14 612/7 612/11 620/6 621/16 hear [8] 544/17 545/25 546/4 548/10 549/12 552/1 554/23 555/1 heard [10] 515/8 545/7 545/19 551/24 552/4 556/18 575/2 581/22 631/2 641/9 hearing [6] 487/19 491/3 540/25 589/7 609/9 639/24 hearsay [1] 576/3 held [6] 511/17 511/18 630/5 630/19 634/11 637/6 Hello [1] 545/18 help [18] 531/10 531/13 historical [2] 596/17 531/23 597/19 605/3 605/22 606/9 608/9 612/13 612/15 612/17 612/22 619/12 623/20 624/17 628/3 628/4 628/8 helpful [5] 550/4 569/7 574/25 608/20 608/22 helps [1] 607/22 her [4] 562/22 568/12 568/17 637/4 HERBERT [2] 489/9 489/10 here [41] 491/11 496/7 498/2 503/12 513/3 515/13 517/4 517/24 520/14 521/4 525/19 537/21 540/18 552/8 565/1 581/14 599/2 599/16 600/2 610/1 610/23 612/4 612/17 614/1 614/3 614/21

620/22 621/13 621/14 622/12 626/25 627/19 629/15 630/12 634/22 here's [1] 601/9 hers [1] 562/17 heteronormative [1] 592/6 heterosexual [7] 582/17 607/9 615/1 615/3 615/22 616/9 617/19 heterosexuality [1] 607/12 heterosexuals [1] 582/8 hew [1] 564/7 hewing [1] 606/1 Hey [2] 507/13 598/25 high [1] 584/6 higher [23] 576/20 576/20 576/21 577/3 577/9 584/6 584/7 584/11 584/11 585/5 585/6 587/19 600/4 602/15 603/8 609/19 609/20 610/12 612/20 614/20 615/6 617/3 618/2 highlight [1] 628/2 highlights [1] 623/16 HILARIE [4] 488/15 491/8 517/15 635/22 Hill [1] 553/23 him [2] 520/7 620/2 himself [1] 522/1 hinge [1] 611/3 his [36] 491/20 511/7 513/11 526/15 540/25 541/1 541/8 544/25 548/4 554/25 559/12 565/4 565/5 566/20 569/6 571/14 572/24 574/23 574/24 575/9 575/10 575/19 576/12 578/1 589/12 590/19 593/13 593/14 601/13 619/25 620/2 630/8 631/8 632/4 632/4 635/2 623/14 historically [4] 564/21 592/4 618/23 635/8 hm [28] 561/7 561/22 564/4 564/19 566/14 566/16 573/15 588/1 588/23 589/14 589/18 590/4 598/1 598/22 599/20 601/11 602/14 602/17 603/6 603/15 603/23 603/25 606/7 607/4 608/12 610/19 622/13 633/14 hold [10] 510/20 510/21 510/24 511/3 511/11 511/13 511/23 512/21 559/16 559/16 holding [1] 634/19 holds [1] 605/23 holiday [2] 638/6 638/7 holidays [2] 638/18 639/7

homosexual [10] 593/9 594/24 624/9 624/9 625/4 625/7 625/7 625/16 625/21 626/3 Homosexuality [2] 560/21 602/1 homosexuals [2] 602/1 626/2 honor [85] 491/25 492/2 503/4 509/7 513/25 518/2 519/2 519/4 519/6 521/23 522/16 527/12 527/16 527/18 527/21 535/2 545/14 545/21 546/3 548/3 550/1 550/5 551/11 551/15 551/19 552/9 552/12 552/16 553/13 554/19 555/4 559/2 565/4 565/8 566/19 569/3 572/18 573/1 574/21 575/5 575/17 575/24 575/25 576/3 576/7 580/7 580/12 580/16 583/12 583/16 583/18 591/3 591/7 591/10 608/23 609/10 615/14 619/24 626/7 626/15 628/20 630/7 630/20 631/5 631/7 631/11 631/16 632/1 632/8 632/14 635/12 635/20 635/22 635/25 636/2 636/4 636/12 636/22 637/18 637/19 638/3 638/21 638/25 639/4 639/11 HONORABLE [1] 487/22 Hoogstra [2] 562/16 578/20 Hoogstra's [1] 563/7 hope [1] 514/17 Hopefully [1] 552/3 host [1] 596/3 hours [1] 575/1 household [2] 569/18 570/4 households [6] 569/17 570/8 570/9 570/10 570/10 570/11 housing [2] 515/24 516/11 how [43] 492/13 501/8 503/24 504/2 504/3 504/17 506/20 506/22 510/20 510/21 511/4 556/7 557/5 557/5 557/24 558/17 561/11 575/22 581/13 594/21 594/22 595/2 595/14 596/2 597/11 599/13 602/8 603/24 606/8 608/5 608/8 612/17 612/18 612/23 614/10 616/16 617/6 625/12 626/13 626/21 633/17 634/21 639/6 However [2] 528/2 530/15

holiness [1]_624/18 homophobia [1] 676/22

իսյոգր [7] 592/9 595/16 595/17 611/4 624/2 629/8 629/21 HUNTER [3] 487/4 491/2 641/2 hypotheticals [1] 536/11

I'd [16] 492/17 517/23 518/13 524/2 528/12 536/17 540/22 554/23 555/1 565/9 570/24 613/5 613/24 619/22 621/19 635/16 I'll [12] 517/21 519/15 537/23 539/15 553/5 582/3 590/19 599/25 606/18 638/1 638/8 638/9 I'm [101] 491/11 491/16 491/22 492/21 496/4 503/13 503/21 504/15 504/17 513/15 513/15 513/16 515/12 516/13 516/16 516/24 516/25 517/4 517/10 519/12 522/18 523/18 523/19 528/16 532/5 536/11 537/5 537/6 546/24 548/12 550/19 550/24 551/22 552/1 552/6 553/20 555/4 556/21 556/23 557/19 559/4 562/2 562/19 566/3 566/19 567/15 571/14 572/3 572/6 572/14 572/14 579/16 582/11 582/18 585/10 587/14 589/2 589/15 590/23 592/17 593/15 599/8 599/8 603/3 604/1 604/5 605/17 605/18 606/18 608/17 608/19 608/24 608/25 609/6 612/5 613/4 616/6 617/15 619/11 619/24 620/19 620/21 621/13 624/18 624/19 626/7 628/4 629/13 629/15 629/16 630/14 630/22 631/20 631/20 633/17 634/23 636/10 637/2 637/23 638/9 639/7 I've [29] 514/22 524/1 528/18 536/23 537/12 538/19 553/25 555/10 555/24 556/10 556/14 557/15 559/24 560/17 561/2 561/2 561/5 561/5 561/6 561/8 561/8 563/9 579/20 620/11 626/25 629/16 631/20 639/15 639/16 Idaho [9] 518/12 528/14 529/7 529/8 529/18 529/20 529/25 530/5 530/16 Idaho's [1] 528/20 idea [3] 505/6 610/25 633/18 ideal [1] 603/10 ideation [1] 559/19

infer [1], 528/23 Influence [1], 557/160cu Case 6:21-0 identical [1] 497/25 influences [3] 556/1 identified [2] 498/6 557/5 572/16 607/13 inform [1] 621/5 identify [7] 493/2 information [9] 494/20 494/24 497/13 504/22 499/1 508/18 508/20 544/18 581/11 590/23 508/21 509/18 511/25 identifying [1] 641/13 521/20 525/23 identity [15] 520/21 informed [1] 592/8 520/22 521/10 523/2 informs [2] 525/12 528/24 530/19 531/19 592/2 541/21 572/21 596/7 infrequently [2] 625/22 606/13 607/25 609/16 625/25 622/19 627/9 initial [8] 505/6 505/8 ideology [1] 606/23 505/12 505/13 506/11 ignore [1] 633/24 506/20 507/2 517/2 illegitimate [2] 624/24 injunction [4] 487/19 624/25 491/3 517/3 540/24 illicit [1] 592/7 injuries [1] 536/1 illness [1] 602/25 insertion [1] 623/16 immediately [2] 496/5 inside [1] 623/1 616/25 insofar [5] 564/17 impact [7] 492/14 571/21 594/18 601/8 499/24 596/23 626/3 614/8 626/13 632/24 633/10 instance [2] 514/15 impacted [2] 499/13 530/25 500/1 instances [2] 496/24 impacts [1] 604/2 531/5 implemented [2] 499/12 instant [1] 494/18 499/16 Institute [5] 631/1 631/3 implementing [1] 523/3 631/4 631/19 631/23 importance [1] 628/3 institution [24] 493/22 important [5] 618/20 504/23 504/24 507/9 618/22 628/25 629/1 507/24 508/7 525/5 629/11 530/3 531/11 531/20 importantly [1] 501/13 533/15 533/17 533/17 impression [2] 628/14 533/20 538/21 539/16 628/14 549/17 594/3 594/10 inappropriately [1] 600/20 604/3 604/8 568/14 605/15 629/3 include [6] 496/3 496/4 institution's [3] 538/24 502/6 526/11 541/14 539/19 547/14 554/10 institutional [1] 625/5 included [3] 496/18 institutions [27] 516/8 537/14 585/5 524/24 524/25 526/7 includes [2] 493/21 526/10 531/3 532/14 495/22 535/21 535/22 537/8 including [6] 521/21 538/13 538/17 543/20 525/6 578/20 611/5 543/21 543/25 549/4 623/5 633/9 549/8 564/11 579/7 inconsistent [1] 524/20 594/15 594/17 597/22 incorrect [1] 496/18 600/1 605/7 624/21 increase [1] 559/13 630/2 630/4 increasing [1] 606/22 institutions' [1] 541/20 indeed [4] 533/1 533/19 instructed [3] 547/15 545/17 612/6 547/17 547/19 independent [1] 586/16 instructions [1] 538/13 Index [2] 558/17 559/21 intend [1] 525/15 indicated [1] 512/4 intends [1] 525/15 indicates [7] 493/6 intentionally [1] 610/1 494/2 494/7 494/12 intents [1] 542/17 494/25 495/2 539/22 interaction [1] 495/23 indication [2] 502/8 interactions [2] 496/21 544/9 514/14 indiscernible [4] 549/10 interested [4] 556/21 605/1 615/8 617/25 556/23 597/19 601/3 individual [5] 493/16 interesting [2] 607/24 544/16 575/7 616/25 614/18 617/2 internalized [1] 576/22 individuals [2] 493/6 interpretation [1] 526/15 543/4 interpretations [1] 593/4

interprets [1] 548/13 interprets [4] 630/40 11 630/4 630/17 630/18 interrupt [2] 513/23 521/24 intersection [2] 561/16 561/20 **INTERVENOR** [6] 489/3 489/8 491/10 551/23 552/2 552/16 Intervenors [10] 487/14 491/14 544/13 544/21 551/21 552/14 632/9 632/11 636/1 639/1 interview [2] 556/17 589/13 interview-based [1] 589/13 interviewing [1] 511/19 interviews [6] 500/3 500/6 500/7 511/24 511/25 556/20 introduce [4] 491/4 517/21 517/23 620/19 introduced [2] 518/14 590/15 invalid [1] 551/7 investigate [5] 492/10 534/8 534/11 535/14 539/25 investigating [1] 538/13 investigation [26] 495/12 498/16 500/22 505/5 507/5 507/6 507/8 507/9 507/12 507/20 507/20 507/25 516/2 521/7 521/14 521/16 522/7 525/4 525/7 525/10 525/22 526/7 535/13 536/10 536/14 542/23 investigations [4] 508/2 512/13 537/8 538/17 investigator [7] 504/15 505/6 505/8 505/12 505/13 505/16 507/3 investigators [6] 503/24 506/15 506/20 513/8 514/3 514/11 invitation [1] 525/11 invitatory [1] 525/11 invite [2] 524/23 525/17 invites [1] 525/5 inviting [1] 525/17 involved [2] 494/18 628/12 involves [3] 516/17 528/23 546/25 irrelevant [1] 631/9 irritating [1] 607/21 irritation [1] 570/15 is [473] ISAAK [1] 488/6 isn't [7] 509/4 515/3 525/2 525/7 527/12 546/19 570/21 issuance [1] 527/4 issue [10] 505/4 505/9 535/12 563/19 563/20 565/1 571/17 573/20 627/1 639/19 issued [4] 532/6 543/18

1550 125167 504/2 504/4 511/5 512/7 512/8 525/25 557/22 560/24 561/16 561/18 566/21 572/12 573/21 608/21 609/8 639/8 issuing [1] 506/9 it [320] it's [117] 503/1 503/1 504/8 509/4 511/5 512/3 512/5 512/11 515/1 515/18 517/13 525/18 532/25 537/7 538/5 540/18 540/18 543/8 545/6 550/3 550/3 551/9 554/13 557/7 559/24 567/5 567/5 567/24 570/15 570/16 570/16 578/17 581/21 582/7 582/10 582/20 582/22 583/8 585/17 585/19 591/22 592/10 593/13 595/5 596/9 597/2 597/24 598/11 598/12 600/3 600/6 600/20 601/12 601/22 602/2 602/19 602/19 603/2 603/3 603/4 603/10 604/1 605/1 606/8 606/9 606/12 606/12 606/12 606/17 606/25 607/20 607/21 607/24 607/24 609/8 609/18 609/19 610/3 610/3 611/13 611/13 613/17 613/20 614/9 614/13 614/18 615/9 615/24 616/1 616/12 617/6 617/17 620/11 621/4 622/9 622/21 622/21 622/24 623/7 623/15 623/20 625/17 625/20 625/24 626/21 628/2 628/21 628/24 629/1 629/2 630/8 631/8 632/3 634/14 635/6 638/7 639/18 its [8] 511/4 526/19 526/19 527/16 528/3 548/13 550/16 623/1 itself [3] 568/4 568/8 569/16 Ivanhoe [1] 488/5 IX [41] 492/20 506/16 506/17 508/5 516/15 519/24 521/5 521/12 522/8 523/1 523/7 523/12 524/20 524/21 524/25 525/4 525/6 525/25 528/21 531/2 531/3 531/7 531/18 531/22 533/16 533/20 534/23 534/24 535/1 535/17 537/16 538/12 541/17 542/21 544/2 548/21 549/16 550/17 550/18 551/2 551/6

,568/33 570/22

nf 181 J.C [1] 488/4 Jaffe [3] 489/12 489/15 489/17 January [4] 523/16 638/18 638/22 638/23 January 10th is [1] 638/23 January 16th [1] 523/16 January 7th or [1] 638/22 Jerry [4] 524/4 524/8 526/15 527/24 Jersey [5] 571/12 583/1 583/2 583/6 583/9 JESSUP [2] 487/13 489/3 job [2] 606/3 606/9 jobs [1] 561/3 Joe [1] 536/20 joining [2] 491/12 618/8 Jones [3] 534/16 534/19 536/2 JOSEPH [2] 488/9 491/6 Josh [5] 544/15 545/9 545/12 590/20 619/12 JOSHUA [7] 489/14 491/11 527/22 544/25 545/4 545/6 545/18 journal [5] 558/25 560/19 560/21 570/21 571/1 journals [2] 560/14 560/19 JUDGE [4] 487/23 571/19 571/20 571/21 Judge's [4] 566/4 567/16 567/16 573/9 July [1] 510/13 June [3] 510/12 520/17 587/7 June 27th [1] 520/17 jurisdiction [10] 498/18 498/19 504/6 505/2 506/5 521/6 532/9 534/10 535/6 536/3 just [83] 491/19 498/12 500/24 502/10 502/17 503/13 503/14 508/14 511/23 513/8 513/23 514/3 516/10 516/16 518/9 519/15 520/12 521/2 521/25 523/24 524/2 531/5 531/15 532/23 536/23 537/2 537/9 537/13 543/12 544/18 547/7 548/4 551/23 551/25 552/19 552/19 556/11 559/4 559/4 559/20 559/24 560/10 562/24 569/9 571/16 572/3 576/1 576/1 584/13 586/2 586/2 586/23 588/20 590/19 604/24 606/10 608/1 608/16 608/23 608/25 609/12 611/6 611/7 612/6 615/18 615/19 616/3 616/7 616/7 618/7 623/15

J Case 6:21-0 just... [12] 623/23 625/21 628/9 629/17 631/2 632/14 633/19 635/16 636/10 636/22 638/10 639/11 Justice [4] 488/13 488/16 632/7 634/24 justification [1] 526/17

K

keep [2] 491/16 609/1 Kendra [2] 489/22 641/24 Kennedy [1] 634/24 key [1] 558/24 kids [2] 570/3 581/6 kind [51] 506/14 506/18 517/22 520/12 526/9 541/8 541/10 541/22 546/1 556/2 556/17 557/7 558/5 561/10 563/9 563/25 570/4 584/11 585/11 587/18 589/12 590/8 592/24 594/7 594/10 595/11 596/9 598/2 598/11 599/12 600/21 600/25 603/16 604/23 606/17 608/5 614/21 618/11 621/23 621/25 622/2 623/18 627/3 627/19 627/21 628/11 628/13 629/3 629/3 633/10 633/25 kinds [20] 570/9 578/19 579/7 580/5 584/4 584/4 587/24 589/16 592/23 598/19 601/13 602/12 602/22 604/17 604/19 606/19 616/5 627/16 629/10 633/3 knew [1] 499/17 know [104] 497/20 501/8 501/14 501/18 501/20 501/25 502/1 502/3 504/21 504/25 505/8 505/14 506/23 507/3 507/19 507/22 512/12 513/3 523/24 532/3 533/15 533/20 537/2 537/10 538/18 542/2 551/25 560/16 561/17 562/15 563/4 571/9 572/3 575/12 580/1 581/10 581/16 581/19 582/17 584/10 584/12 585/8 588/11 590/3 590/5 594/17 596/4 596/6 596/7 597/4 598/9 598/13 598/17 599/9 599/13 599/18 599/23 600/19 600/23 601/20 601/22 601/25 602/19 603/4 604/18 605/19 605/23 606/6 606/9 607/6 607/17 608/5 608/17 610/1 610/3 610/7 612/15 614/8 614/11 614/15 614/17 614/18

616/2 616/3 616/7 646/16 617/6 618/80cun654/6 146 Filed 11/54//2 588/14ge 168 618/8 618/14 622/10 623/6 624/15 629/7 633/15 634/3 635/2 635/4 635/15 637/11 638/8 639/17 639/21 639/22 knowing [4] 544/2 544/8 let's [19] 497/3 518/17 597/11 639/6 knowledge [8] 501/17 547/10 547/13 547/16 561/13 561/14 627/23 627/24 knows [3] 496/9 512/16 532/2 Kramer [1] 639/21

label [1] 628/11 lack [3] 532/8 593/11 595/1 laid [1] 504/5 Landry [1] 606/3 language [7] 525/11 525/19 525/24 526/4 526/8 526/11 635/2 large [4] 556/15 572/15 599/10 603/1 large-scale [2] 556/15 572/15 largely [3] 589/5 589/13 633/24 last [19] 495/9 507/25 508/2 520/14 520/15 527/24 528/12 543/7 549/2 552/2 553/5 553/11 557/1 561/23 569/10 574/12 577/23 578/2 616/1 later [6] 491/12 500/11 522/14 526/24 533/18 557/15 law [6] 488/4 489/10 621/1 625/3 625/4 631/25 Lawrence [1] 625/15 laws [4] 625/13 626/1 626/2 626/11 lawsuit [1] 532/24 lawyers [2] 519/14 639/6 layer [1] 504/9 lays [1] 538/12 learn [1] 533/8 learned [1] 583/21 learns [1] 497/24 least [25] 509/5 509/13 510/12 525/18 526/15 526/16 530/25 531/4 537/3 541/17 544/8 549/7 556/15 565/21 584/6 598/16 604/15 605/14 608/10 608/14 615/6 624/22 627/2 635/5 637/2 led [2] 558/18 567/7 legal [5] 505/23 562/20 626/8 630/21 634/14 legitimacy [1] 568/15 lengthy [1] 609/6 less [6] 546/15 576/25

let [17] 503/21 509/22 523/24 536/23 537/2 537/10 538/18 542/11 584/21 587/23 608/16 620/15 621/20 622/10 625/13 631/7 639/21 518/17 522/12 538/15 554/6 578/22 578/22 579/16 579/16 582/2 583/20 590/20 591/14 599/14 609/12 625/4 632/5 636/15 letter [24] 492/14 505/5 506/9 520/17 524/8 524/9 525/3 525/10 525/10 525/12 525/19 527/8 528/18 528/19 528/23 529/24 538/23 538/24 539/5 543/18 543/23 549/5 549/9 568/17 letters [6] 525/21 525/22 526/8 526/12 539/8 539/20 level [6] 501/14 501/23 506/25 613/7 613/19 621/25 levels [5] 506/24 576/24 576/24 585/21 623/1 LGBT [66] 516/7 523/11 530/25 563/22 572/20 576/16 577/5 577/8 577/10 577/13 577/16 578/4 578/11 578/12 578/24 579/2 579/3 579/6 580/3 580/4 580/19 581/24 582/16 582/22 584/1 584/2 584/5 584/16 585/5 585/12 585/18 587/5 588/15 596/6 599/4 599/13 600/7 600/12 600/15 600/23 600/23 601/3 601/5 602/15 602/25 603/12 603/19 604/14 605/6 605/12 605/24 606/16 609/15 611/9 611/13 612/11 621/11 623/12 626/13 627/3 631/24 631/25 632/25 633/3 633/9 633/18 LGBTQ [15] 516/14 516/17 520/14 521/4 521/9 522/7 531/10 531/17 532/7 568/15 572/8 612/7 614/5 626/4 626/21 Lhamon [1] 533/2 liberty [13] 518/11 523/16 524/5 524/11 524/15 525/20 526/18 527/6 527/9 527/11 527/15 572/11 585/23 life [11] 558/19 601/19 601/22 601/24 604/24 605/1 605/21 608/6 617/19 623/11 633/25 lifestyle [1] 605/13

lifted [5] 500/7 500/9 512/10 512/11 512/21 like [87] 491/15 492/17 517/6 517/11 517/12 517/21 517/23 518/6 518/14 518/16 519/14 524/2 524/17 527/12 528/3 528/12 534/25 536/1 536/10 536/17 536/21 540/22 545/9 552/17 554/17 554/23 555/1 561/1 561/10 562/3 563/4 563/4 565/9 569/24 570/16 570/18 571/7 576/2 577/17 581/4 581/20 582/13 582/19 586/14 587/23 588/11 595/14 596/2 596/5 596/11 596/16 597/8 598/16 601/15 601/19 601/20 606/8 606/9 607/9 607/24 609/23 610/6 610/16 612/17 613/5 613/24 614/17 614/20 615/2 615/20 616/14 616/21 617/2 618/17 619/23 620/22 621/19 622/5 622/6 623/18 625/4 627/18 627/20 628/2 628/25 636/23 638/22 likely [3] 599/21 605/14 617/18 likewise [3] 500/23 501/20 572/1 limit [2] 637/24 637/24 limited [5] 498/25 539/24 577/15 611/13 641/16 limits [1] 638/13 line [4] 518/10 519/23 566/20 616/1 lines [1] 560/16 list [4] 536/20 543/24 560/16 560/21 listed [2] 535/18 544/8 listen [1] 513/22 listing [2] 493/3 543/13 lists [4] 493/15 543/16 543/16 543/17 literally [1] 495/6 literature [6] 586/23 601/4 603/11 603/14 603/17 611/8 little [20] 495/3 497/3 499/11 503/14 504/12 509/22 537/3 539/13 547/9 556/5 558/22 579/16 584/13 595/13 601/18 606/2 614/9 615/25 625/14 628/11 live [11] 592/14 596/14 601/5 601/6 601/13 601/15 604/9 605/9 605/13 623/20 639/2 lives [5] 601/5 601/15 607/25 623/21 624/18 living [3] 598/7 601/2 604/23

1LC [1] 488/4 1LP [4] 488/7 489/12 489/15 489/17 Loans [1] 597/4 locate [1] 605/17 located [2] 538/19 603/16 Ioneliness [3] 588/13 588/16 588/17 lonely [1] 588/17 long [7] 500/10 510/20 510/21 571/13 592/5 592/11 592/13 longer [5] 546/23 547/5 547/9 586/3 597/9 longevity [1] 558/20 longstanding [1] 611/4 look [34] 492/22 494/23 495/20 504/7 504/13 505/1 505/14 505/16 510/10 510/11 516/25 518/5 518/9 519/21 520/10 520/14 521/17 522/12 524/14 526/7 529/1 540/8 557/2 563/5 563/7 575/10 582/12 585/8 587/1 609/20 616/12 616/23 617/15 620/8 looked [11] 530/24 558/22 563/5 563/9 569/23 575/22 576/17 577/15 582/13 618/7 620/11 looking [16] 502/6 505/22 509/2 512/8 515/22 522/6 537/5 558/17 597/18 615/19 617/10 621/7 621/13 636/16 636/18 636/19 looks [10] 517/6 519/14 524/17 528/3 545/9 554/17 613/20 616/14 620/22 625/9 lost [1] 558/19 lot [23] 507/22 508/4 508/5 508/25 569/17 570/14 581/14 581/19 586/13 595/18 595/18 595/19 596/12 597/13 598/24 599/15 601/9 603/14 610/23 614/17 618/10 618/20 638/5 lot's [1] 625/10 lots [8] 598/13 598/17 598/25 606/11 610/14 610/17 612/22 622/1 love [3] 557/6 598/18 601/24 lower [9] 576/24 576/24 586/16 586/17 587/19 587/23 601/18 614/14 616/1 lumped [1] 628/10

N/

M-A-R-K [1] 552/25 macro [1] 557/7 made [6] 496/25 502/8 515/14 527/5 575/15 599/8 magnitude [1] 616/4 M Case 6:21-c main [1] 583/11 mainline [1] 589/22 maintain [4] 528/1 624/21 625/1 630/17 major [2] 587/9 588/6 majority [1] 510/13 majors [1] 561/5 make [34] 491/23 499/23 501/24 503/21 505/18 506/7 506/10 506/10 506/12 506/21 506/22 516/19 518/1 530/12 536/23 551/24 581/15 593/8 595/13 595/22 595/25 596/14 597/5 604/3 608/2 609/25 610/24 617/7 620/15 623/13 627/11 628/9 638/10 639/6 maker [7] 500/14 500/17 500/20 500/25 506/25 536/12 550/4 makes [4] 521/18 563/8 584/11 594/16 making [11] 499/17 505/21 506/3 515/24 556/2 556/5 556/9 556/9 567/11 568/9 597/5 male [1] 608/4 males [1] 592/11 man [1] 591/20 man-woman [1] 591/20 manner [4] 547/8 592/15 598/19 625/7 Manual [10] 497/22 503/3 503/17 503/23 504/2 504/3 504/17 506/19 508/15 521/15 many [8] 557/24 575/1 584/17 599/13 603/24 609/22 630/1 630/3 marital [1] 569/24 Mark [7] 552/17 552/20 553/10 554/20 558/15 559/9 559/10 marked [2] 579/17 582/2 marker [2] 588/22 588/25 markers [1] 587/25 market [1] 600/3 marketplace [1] 610/13 marks [1] 596/9 marriage [12] 564/8 565/20 565/21 577/6 591/20 592/21 611/5 629/9 630/4 630/17 634/20 635/1 marriages [2] 601/15 622/15 married [1] 570/8 masks [1] 641/12 master's [1] 553/22 Masterpiece [3] 619/15 634/9 634/13 matter [12] 498/18 504/6 506/5 521/14 534/10 535/6 536/3 571/25 604/25 621/6

636/16-637/2 vnatters 11/63_{3/1} Documental 139 575iJed 11 mature [1] 606/10 may [31] 492/14 495/7 500/11 500/11 502/6 504/21 504/22 506/6 512/8 513/4 513/5 518/2 526/7 545/18 546/15 546/16 547/8 551/16 573/1 576/7 582/20 607/17 607/21 621/10 621/10 621/21 622/1 633/12 633/15 635/11 635/18 maybe [5] 515/7 590/19 593/9 599/24 613/12 mays [1] 622/3 me [48] 496/12 502/18 503/20 503/21 503/22 509/22 513/17 513/20 516/10 516/22 517/13 536/23 537/2 537/10 538/18 542/11 545/25 546/4 548/4 548/10 550/20 552/4 556/11 556/11 564/24 569/10 584/21 587/23 594/6 599/25 606/2 606/25 607/20 613/9 620/12 620/14 620/15 621/20 622/10 625/13 631/7 631/7 636/17 637/4 637/9 638/9 638/23 639/21 mean [24] 560/16 562/20 564/17 571/10 571/19 578/3 582/10 592/19 597/7 598/17 600/2 601/9 603/4 605/25 607/2 608/11 612/20 614/8 617/1 617/25 618/23 622/17 624/25 637/19 meaning [4] 535/21 549/16 592/20 611/5 means [6] 498/13 546/15 581/4 615/24 619/7 641/10 measure [3] 581/13 585/18 618/17 measured [1] 588/3 measurements [1] 567/10 measures [4] 584/17 587/24 587/25 618/18 medically [1] 534/21 medicine [2] 557/20 559/1 meet [1] 639/9 meetings [1] 595/19 Melanie [1] 493/10 member [3] 553/20 568/10 603/19 members [6] 576/16 577/16 600/12 604/14 606/16 633/9 memorandum [2] 536/19 536/24 memorandums [1] 537/15 men [7] 539/24 540/15 564/9 592/11 606/4

572/20 582/13 584/1 587/8 588/2 588/4 601/4 602/25 611/9 611/13 612/7 612/11 mentioned [15] 499/10 546/10 573/12 573/24 578/21 579/21 580/18 586/5 586/23 587/19 592/14 596/15 602/4 604/25 641/17 merely [2] 522/18 534/8 message [1] 624/23 met [2] 503/11 505/4 methodological [2] 561/14 561/18 methodologies [1] 572/24 methodology [4] 567/12 571/18 572/9 572/23 methods [2] 561/4 571/22 Meyer [14] 558/16 559/6 559/7 562/10 576/12 576/17 576/19 577/15 577/22 577/25 578/1 606/17 622/3 628/13 Meyer's [4] 557/16 559/7 577/8 578/11 Michigan [2] 565/24 565/24 microphone [2] 545/11 545/21 mid [1] 499/16 mid-September [1] 499/16 might [23] 501/12 505/6 moments [1] 552/4 505/8 506/4 507/3 507/5 525/14 533/17 540/12 545/10 595/2 597/15 600/12 603/20 606/14 606/18 609/15 612/13 619/20 622/6 633/20 633/24 637/4 MILLER [4] 489/17 491/11 544/24 545/1 mind [2] 516/17 543/4 mine [2] 571/3 615/15 minimum [1] 600/6 ministers [1] 539/23 ministry [1] 540/16 minorities' [1] 557/17 minority [14] 556/7 558/20 581/2 581/12 604/1 614/4 617/12 617/12 617/20 617/21 618/1 618/25 619/1 619/8 minute [5] 513/23 518/16 583/20 586/2 586/3 minutes [1] 517/18 mischaracterizes [1] 511/7 MISHA [1] 488/6 missed [2] 528/25 550/22 missing [3] 520/13 524/18 551/25 misspoke [1] 515/11

misstated [1] 509/7 misstates [6] 398/1/69 514/6 515/4 537/22 542/10 542/12 misstating [1] 513/15 mistaken [7] 582/18 585/10 587/14 599/9 603/3 616/6 634/23 misunderstood [1] 515/7 mixed [3] 601/14 602/3 603/13 mixed-orientation [2] 601/14 603/13 Mm [27] 561/7 561/22 564/4 564/19 566/14 566/16 573/15 588/1 588/23 589/14 589/18 590/4 598/1 598/22 599/20 602/14 602/17 603/6 603/15 603/23 603/25 606/7 607/4 608/12 610/19 622/13 633/14 Mm-hm [27] 561/7 561/22 564/4 564/19 566/14 566/16 573/15 588/1 588/23 589/14 589/18 590/4 598/1 598/22 599/20 602/14 602/17 603/6 603/15 603/23 603/25 606/7 607/4 608/12 610/19 622/13 633/14 model [1] 604/9 modern [1] 592/6 moment [2] 528/15 574/19 Monday [1] 487/6 month [3] 520/3 588/3 614/11 months [8] 507/21 508/1 508/2 508/4 513/9 513/9 522/14 526/24 moralities [1] 560/2 morality [1] 600/24 more [49] 497/3 500/4 512/22 515/1 536/17 546/16 551/18 552/11 556/21 556/23 557/8 557/17 564/7 564/20 564/21 577/4 578/24 579/5 579/16 581/12 584/9 584/13 585/6 585/25 586/6 588/2 588/3 589/15 589/24 593/8 597/5 597/17 598/23 598/23 598/24 599/21 602/1 605/19 606/10 607/1 607/15 608/24 618/16 622/5 622/25 629/17 634/6 635/15 639/7 morning [3] 503/10 545/22 612/4 mortalities [1] 560/2 mortality [4] 557/17 558/19 559/13 559/18 most [17] 512/12 556/16 557/2 561/3

585/4 591/21 595/25 P596712 598/9 598/15 598/20 600/1 600/19 605/20 607/2 610/21 614/9 mostly [2] 556/14 592/3 mother [4] 569/18 570/2 570/8 570/10 motion [2] 517/2 574/22 move [23] 495/12 505/1 506/8 509/18 514/17 528/10 536/9 554/19 565/5 572/18 572/22 575/18 578/22 580/7 583/12 590/20 591/3 591/14 596/9 603/7 630/23 632/5 635/16 moved [2] 556/3 556/5 moving [3] 511/19 514/4 553/24 Mr [8] 513/16 526/25 545/24 546/4 567/7 614/22 619/10 631/4 Mr. [88] 491/19 491/22 492/9 503/10 508/13 512/18 514/2 514/10 515/6 515/12 515/21 516/6 516/9 516/9 516/11 517/7 519/17 522/5 522/21 523/24 524/23 525/8 527/1 528/9 528/13 528/15 528/16 531/17 532/11 532/12 535/17 536/16 537/3 537/13 537/21 538/2 541/3 541/4 543/9 544/11 545/1 545/25 548/4 548/7 548/10 549/3 550/20 552/6 553/6 554/7 554/24 565/9 565/15 565/18 565/23 566/25 567/12 567/15 569/12 570/19 570/21 571/16 571/24 572/5 572/11 572/19 572/22 579/18 612/4 612/24 613/12 615/17 618/5 618/19 619/14 619/25 620/5 620/23 621/16 621/19 622/8 626/20 627/8 630/12 630/25 631/19 632/19 634/8 Mr. Duron's [1] 541/4 Mr. Falwell [1] 527/1 Mr. Miller [1] 545/1 Mr. Prince [6] 548/4 548/7 550/20 554/7 579/18 613/12 Mr. Regnerus [31] 554/24 565/15 565/18 565/23 566/25 567/12 567/15 569/12 570/19 570/21 571/16 571/24 572/5 572/11 612/4 612/24 615/17 618/5 618/19 619/14 619/25 620/5 620/23 621/16 621/19 622/8 626/20 627/8 630/12 630/25 631/19 Mr. Regnerus' [3] 565/9

M Case 6:21-c	634/14 Vnarrowly
Mr. Regnerus' [2]	narrowly National
572/19 572/22	559/21
Mr. Schaerr's [1] 553/6	nationall
Mr. Southwick [9]	natural [
512/18 514/10 515/6 516/9 516/9 528/16	nature [5
549/3 632/19 634/8	529/15
Mr. Wells [2] 537/13	612/18
537/21	near [3]
Mr. Willis [1] 491/19	536/16 nearing
Mr. Wills [32] 491/22	512/24
492/9 503/10 508/13	necessa
514/2 515/12 515/21 516/6 516/11 517/7	536/12
519/17 522/5 522/21	588/11
523/24 524/23 525/8	necessa
528/9 528/13 528/15	necessit need [12
531/17 532/11 532/12	517/17
535/17 536/16 537/3	597/18
538/2 541/3 543/9 544/11 545/25 548/10	612/13
552/6	639/22
much [10] 552/6 552/7	needy [1
552/13 595/14 595/15	negative
597/1 597/5 601/3	626/3 negotiat
618/2 628/1	neutral [
muffled [2] 546/1 558/5	never [4]
multigenerations [1]	547/22
610/15 Murphy [1] 525/20	new [8]
must [7] 497/23 539/18	571/12
539/24 540/7 540/9	583/6 5
548/15 596/4	next [7] 522/12
mute [4] 544/15 544/15	616/18
545/8 639/1	NFSS [2
muted [1] 545/9 my [61] 491/16 496/5	NICHOL
496/10 497/2 501/17	491/11
502/15 503/1 504/16	no [66]
510/21 516/22 516/24	491/2 4 501/17
517/24 524/1 532/18	502/15
532/25 533/25 535/11	504/3 5
536/16 537/12 539/2 540/4 541/3 542/17	519/5 5
544/24 545/3 545/21	525/17
546/10 547/13 549/21	544/9 5
550/19 550/23 551/9	544/17 547/22
553/10 553/10 558/1	552/4 5
563/20 584/4 597/17	559/20
597/24 597/24 606/3 607/6 615/14 616/5	573/19
620/12 622/1 622/21	577/17
625/17 625/24 627/18	579/11
627/23 629/13 629/14	580/13
629/15 629/17 637/8	583/16 591/6 5
637/14 638/14 638/16	597/9 6
639/21 641/8	611/23
myself [7] 556/16 561/12 579/5 581/19	628/9 6
613/9 621/8 626/24	632/10
	639/12
N	No. [17]
name [8] 491/20 493/21	493/17 5 517/5 5
494/17 552/19 553/5	519/23
553/10 553/11 559/8	F00/05

553/10 553/11 559/8 named [1] 532/23 names [2] 524/16 524/17

naming [1] 563/1 narrow [2] 608/21

747A692/5 Ocum 340/5 146 Filed 1 4 (14) 600/7 613/14 [2] 558/17 ly [1] 556/15 [1] 587/3 5] 504/24 540/21 586/12 513/4 513/5 [4] 512/13 513/1 629/16 arily [7] 504/25 540/18 563/1 603/5 618/13 ary [1] 505/2 tate [1] 498/23 2] 509/9 516/18 526/17 546/2 608/21 609/9 638/11 639/10 1] 597/16 e [2] 599/22 ions [1] 508/4 1] 571/3 ·] 547/13 547/17 570/22 533/1 552/19 582/25 583/2 83/9 639/20 494/23 518/10 522/13 539/8 623/25 2] 567/24 568/4 AS [3] 489/17 544/24 487/5 488/9 96/4 498/17 502/12 502/15 503/4 504/1 05/6 519/4 19/7 525/11 536/22 544/7 44/11 544/14 545/6 547/17 551/11 551/15 52/11 557/1 562/15 567/24 574/18 577/10 579/1 579/9 580/10 580/11 583/3 583/14 584/17 590/19 91/9 591/10 09/2 611/19 616/17 625/24 32/6 632/8 635/10 635/13 493/14 493/15 497/14 514/13 517/24 519/13 19/23 523/15 528/13 536/25 538/3 540/5 543/9 613/17 617/10 No. 08212212 [1] 497/14 No. 1 [4] 493/14 493/15

493/17 617/10

No. 15-14-2006 [1] 519/23 No. 20 [1] 613/17 No. 21 [3] 517/24 519/13 543/9 No. 22 [1] 523/15 No. 23 [1] 528/13 No. 3 [1] 514/13 No. 50-21 [1] 517/5 noises [1] 641/14 non [7] 579/3 580/4 584/5 584/14 600/15 600/23 601/3 non-CCCU [2] 584/5 584/14 non-LGBT [5] 579/3 580/4 600/15 600/23 601/3 none [4] 501/15 511/17 511/18 636/3 norm [1] 578/6 normal [4] 586/6 601/17 601/18 601/20 norms [2] 589/24 606/2 North [1] 553/22 not [195] notable [1] 584/13 notably [1] 584/10 note [1] 508/15 noted [2] 576/19 585/5 notes [2] 527/24 639/16 nothing [12] 551/17 551/18 551/20 551/22 566/20 570/17 575/4 605/2 624/17 635/17 636/8 636/12 noticed [1] 601/25 notification [3] 506/9 526/9 526/12 notified [2] 507/7 507/9 notify [1] 525/16 notion [1] 598/16 notions [1] 592/4 November [4] 487/6 520/3 524/9 641/6 November 4th [1] 520/3 occur [1] 641/15 November 8th [1] 524/9 occurred [2] 570/25 now [38] 492/17 495/3 500/14 509/4 511/15 512/10 512/11 513/7 514/22 516/18 517/22 525/2 525/3 528/16 529/14 531/5 532/22 537/5 547/10 554/14 562/5 567/15 570/14 577/21 583/22 585/23 586/21 589/9 591/14 592/13 599/12 600/5 607/17 608/17 609/1 623/12 636/14 639/18 Now's [1] 490/10 nuances [1] 571/22 number [24] 493/15 493/16 508/23 509/1 509/5 509/14 509/15 521/21 522/15 523/2 525/5 530/24 533/5 543/3 561/5 563/11 571/4 581/21 590/21

599/4 599/10 599/17

Market 100,000 (1170 599/10 numbers [5] 493/17 493/23 588/8 588/24 614/19 numerous [2] 506/24 555/1 nursing [2] 541/1 541/8 NW [4] 488/7 489/13 489/15 489/18

O oath [1] 491/24 obedience [1] 600/25 Obergefell [1] 634/25 object [8] 566/19 569/3 575/6 619/23 626/7 630/7 631/7 632/1 objection [40] 509/7 511/7 512/15 513/11 514/6 515/4 518/6 519/4 521/23 522/16 527/18 528/7 529/11 530/7 530/21 532/1 533/23 534/3 535/2 535/8 536/5 537/20 541/24 542/10 544/5 544/18 548/22 550/1 554/21 554/22 554/23 569/7 576/2 583/16 591/5 591/6 593/11 593/15 628/20 630/22 objectionable [1] 635/3 objections [14] 517/25 519/3 519/5 519/7 580/9 580/10 580/11 580/13 583/14 591/9 591/10 595/1 626/15 630/20 obligated [1] 541/19 obligations [1] 639/9 observation [1] 597/25 observations [1] 561/12 obviously [4] 574/21 574/25 604/13 611/19 occasion [1] 555/24 586/10 occurring [1] 641/18 OCR [104] 492/10 492/19 493/4 493/11 494/3 494/12 494/19 494/25 495/8 495/10 495/23 496/7 497/7 497/9 497/23 497/24 498/14 498/16 498/21 498/23 499/17 499/24 501/5 501/8 501/18 502/1 502/17 502/18 503/17 503/20 503/21 503/22 503/24 504/4 504/15 506/15 507/23 508/5 508/8 508/17 508/19 508/22 509/17 510/18 510/20 511/4 511/12 513/19 514/2 515/23 515/23 516/12 516/19 518/11 519/20 519/23 519/24 520/2 520/3 520/16 521/6

525/4 525/16 526/6 527/6 527/15 528/2 528/5 530/4 530/15 532/8 534/7 534/10 534/25 535/6 535/13 536/3 537/16 538/11 538/22 539/4 540/4 541/3 541/19 542/9 542/18 543/16 546/18 547/1 547/10 547/13 548/13 548/15 548/19 550/15 550/16 550/25 551/1 OCR's [25] 493/12 493/16 494/7 495/7 499/7 499/12 499/14 503/2 511/14 520/17 521/5 524/23 525/1 525/2 525/21 525/21 527/1 530/17 532/13 532/16 538/23 539/2 539/11 540/6 549/24 October [7] 496/10 496/12 496/12 496/17 496/22 497/1 500/12 October 21 [1] 496/10 October 21st [4] 496/12 496/17 496/22 497/1 off [5] 610/9 610/20 614/12 637/2 637/6 off-campus [1] 614/12 offer [1] 590/1 offered [4] 540/18 554/24 555/3 612/22 offering [2] 586/13 598/6 offerings [1] 609/20 offers [1] 600/22 offhand [1] 616/16 office [32] 494/12 496/18 501/13 501/23 501/24 516/3 516/13 516/22 517/5 518/13 522/7 524/5 524/10 526/24 528/20 529/7 529/9 529/19 529/23 530/1 530/18 531/3 531/5 531/10 531/12 531/22 539/18 539/24 541/16 542/16 543/4 544/3 office's [1] 539/21 offices [5] 499/18 499/19 500/3 501/15 538/22 official [5] 506/22 525/1 525/2 542/17 641/25 officials [2] 542/20 542/24 often [7] 561/11 591/16 597/12 612/16 622/3 623/16 628/7 oftentimes [1] 596/6 Oh [11] 499/6 513/21 535/2 536/23 545/5 560/16 562/17 570/15 604/10 619/20 635/14 okay [137] 493/9 494/23 495/13 496/8 496/11 496/15 496/20

521,7,521/9 521/17

P522714 523/7 524/10

0 Case 6:21-0 okay... [130] 497/3 497/11 501/18 502/1 502/17 502/23 503/20 511/23 514/25 515/17 517/19 522/11 529/6 538/15 547/10 548/12 554/4 554/16 554/18 555/6 555/13 555/17 555/21 557/21 560/23 561/15 561/19 561/24 562/5 562/18 562/22 562/24 563/11 563/18 563/24 564/23 565/3 569/8 573/12 573/20 573/24 574/4 574/8 574/10 574/16 574/20 576/15 577/14 577/21 578/11 578/15 578/17 578/22 579/8 579/18 579/21 579/24 580/6 581/9 581/23 582/2 582/6 582/12 582/16 582/22 583/10 584/15 584/20 585/2 586/17 586/21 587/10 587/15 588/10 589/6 590/9 590/14 591/8 591/12 591/22 592/1 592/16 593/1 593/5 593/17 593/20 594/9 594/20 595/5 596/15 596/22 597/7 597/15 597/21 598/5 600/5 601/4 602/4 602/24 603/9 603/19 604/2 604/7 605/5 605/7 605/12 606/14 608/15 609/4 609/10 610/11 611/6 611/15 611/22 613/11 620/15 620/16 621/13 621/20 629/14 631/15 633/8 633/20 634/8 634/16 634/18 635/10 635/17 638/20 639/5 old [3] 515/9 592/22 606/3 older [3] 556/5 577/12 627/19 olds [2] 576/23 599/13 once [5] 499/1 508/17 508/19 518/2 639/18 one [57] 497/9 497/11 497/15 497/21 500/2 501/4 501/16 504/6 509/23 515/2 515/13 518/10 530/25 533/5 533/18 536/17 536/17 537/14 540/22 540/24 541/12 542/21 542/25 543/7 550/7 553/20 557/12 557/16 558/9 558/10 558/12 558/13 562/2 562/2 568/22 573/11 574/4 574/15 580/4 589/1 598/3 599/2 603/5 612/16 615/11 615/13 616/6 617/3 617/4 618/16 618/17 620/12 623/17 623/18 628/10 633/20

v634/174588/24 Docum361)24551/22559/3 11 ones [2] 589/15 628/25 online [1] 545/7 only [17] 515/1 515/13 539/22 540/15 543/5 546/9 582/19 582/25 583/8 595/24 596/3 599/1 607/13 614/4 614/15 616/11 629/7 open [9] 498/15 500/21 505/19 514/20 523/12 525/4 535/13 542/23 595/23 opened [5] 499/2 507/7 507/8 507/10 516/1 opening [17] 505/5 507/5 507/12 507/19 521/7 521/14 522/7 525/7 525/10 525/22 526/7 526/8 536/9 536/13 636/19 637/17 637/22 openings [1] 501/3 openly [1] 633/5 operative [1] 498/1 opinion [18] 508/24 564/25 564/25 566/3 566/4 567/16 568/12 568/18 574/14 574/17 575/15 577/21 593/5 609/13 628/16 638/10 638/10 639/19 opinions [9] 536/11 574/24 574/24 575/10 577/22 578/1 622/18 633/6 634/22 opportunities [1] 533/8 opportunity [3] 523/17 529/14 587/2 oppose [2] 574/21 633/5 opposed [2] 531/24 534/8 option [1] 609/24 order [6] 498/17 511/4 517/3 595/22 601/6 630/15 OREGON [2] 487/2 641/19 organization [3] 549/17 549/19 549/24 organization's [1] 520/23 organizations [2] 605/22 606/13 orientation [25] 520/21 521/10 523/1 531/18 541/2 541/7 547/20 557/11 558/7 567/1 572/21 596/7 601/14 603/13 606/15 606/22 606/23 607/16 622/19 624/12 627/9 627/15 627/20 627/25 628/18 original [1] 641/21 other [48] 496/15 499/19 501/17 503/11 503/16 504/4 505/4 505/8 509/23 513/3 515/17 516/7 516/7 516/14 516/19 541/14

563/5 563/17 564/24 568/2 578/12 579/6 585/24 588/16 589/19 591/9 593/2 594/14 602/25 606/17 609/24 610/10 616/6 617/4 618/12 618/17 623/19 627/24 632/9 633/23 633/24 641/15 others [5] 605/20 617/14 617/17 618/3 620/23 otherwise [3] 594/18 597/8 639/23 our [6] 505/23 514/17 562/4 562/4 596/4 606/9 out [32] 504/5 504/17 507/13 511/4 511/18 512/2 515/12 519/15 523/19 524/8 533/4 538/12 545/15 546/23 546/25 548/5 549/12 555/23 564/3 574/6 581/5 581/7 581/11 581/15 599/10 601/2 603/7 609/19 610/15 613/5 622/2 635/3 outcome [2] 558/8 566/17 outcomes [10] 557/12 557/13 570/7 572/7 572/20 577/12 579/25 582/9 584/9 584/16 outside [6] 548/22 550/2 579/4 591/19 625/1 628/21 over [20] 508/9 512/12 515/8 515/18 521/6 527/11 534/16 534/18 553/6 558/1 561/2 564/22 574/12 575/23 595/19 603/4 615/13 629/18 634/5 641/18 overall [1] 590/9 overestimate [1] 585/11 overlap [1] 561/21 overlapping [1] 641/12 overreach [1] 635/7 overrule [1] 569/7 overruled [15] 512/16 514/8 515/5 515/5 530/9 532/2 533/24 534/4 535/10 536/6 541/25 544/6 566/22 620/3 631/17 oversees [1] 524/18 overturned [1] 572/3 own [8] 528/3 568/3 600/11 611/8 622/21 623/1 623/21 624/18 Oxford [2] 556/4 607/7

P.O [2] 488/14 488/17 page [39] 490/2 493/14 508/16 519/19 520/14 520/15 520/15 520/15 521/2 521/4 521/13 521/15 521/17 522/12

/523/3 524/14 527/24 528/14 529/1929/24 1 538/15 547/25 548/12 613/6 613/7 613/14 613/17 615/1 615/19 616/18 616/18 616/19 617/11 617/15 621/13 621/14 637/24 637/24 638/13 pages [8] 522/6 524/3 535/4 537/6 614/25 637/25 638/1 639/15 pandemic [1] 585/13 paragraph [11] 521/4 521/5 528/6 602/5 622/9 622/14 622/16 622/18 623/25 627/13 629/18 paragraphs [3] 537/10 537/12 627/14 paralyzed [1] 571/1 paraphrase [1] 503/13 paraphrasing [1] 606/18 Pardon [1] 583/4 parent [2] 557/14 570/4 parenting [3] 566/13 567/1 568/23 parents [5] 558/8 566/13 568/23 610/15 623/23 parlance [1] 621/4 part [20] 520/18 537/15 550/22 552/6 554/13 554/16 556/7 568/17 593/13 595/5 598/5 604/19 605/2 618/20 619/14 621/12 624/12 624/23 629/3 634/18 participate [3] 617/18 617/21 617/23 participating [1] 559/22 participation [1] 617/12 particular [24] 495/14 497/17 498/3 498/17 504/23 513/4 528/25 530/11 539/7 542/3 549/22 563/10 563/25 564/6 564/10 568/5 575/23 576/18 581/8 587/11 595/15 610/16 621/24 626/1 particularly [3] 537/9 561/13 585/18 parties [2] 565/19 641/11 partner [1] 626/5 partners [1] 568/16 parts [1] 627/8 party [1] 571/3 passed [2] 559/22 571/13 passing [1] 606/18 past [3] 537/22 569/25 589/5 pathway [1] 604/13 patient [1] 588/6 patterns [1] 622/24 PAUL [13] 488/4 488/4 491/6 503/10 535/2 554/22 565/8 590/16 620/13 621/21 636/8 638/15 639/12

pause [15] 500/3 500/7 250079500/11 510/18 510/18 510/24 511/3 511/11 511/19 511/20 511/23 512/10 512/11 512/21 pausing [1] 500/6 paying [1] 586/10 PDF [1] 629/16 peer [3] 557/21 557/25 560/15 peer-reviewed [2] 557/21 557/25 peer-reviewing [1] 560/15 peers [4] 577/13 602/18 616/20 617/19 Pell [1] 597/4 pending [1] 501/5 people [54] 557/5 559/22 561/1 570/15 570/18 578/24 581/19 585/14 595/2 597/18 598/6 598/13 598/15 598/17 598/20 598/23 600/2 603/7 604/23 605/4 605/8 605/19 605/22 608/6 609/8 609/24 609/25 610/4 610/15 610/20 611/10 611/14 612/7 612/12 613/23 614/17 618/12 621/11 623/5 623/10 623/20 624/8 624/17 626/4 628/3 628/8 631/25 633/22 633/23 633/24 634/5 634/6 638/11 639/9 people's [2] 604/19 607/25 per [3] 571/5 588/3 600/11 perceive [1] 633/23 perceived [4] 608/14 613/8 613/19 613/21 perceiving [1] 625/12 percent [42] 515/17 580/24 581/1 581/1 581/2 581/5 581/11 581/12 581/13 587/7 587/8 587/9 588/2 588/4 588/6 588/13 588/15 588/16 588/19 601/16 601/17 601/19 603/3 607/8 614/4 614/5 614/15 615/3 615/4 615/21 615/22 615/25 616/1 616/11 616/22 616/22 617/20 617/21 617/22 618/25 619/1 619/3 percentage [1] 626/21 percentages [1] 618/2 perfect [1] 540/12 perhaps [4] 561/1 606/20 607/16 622/3 period [5] 510/22 510/23 517/7 608/13 625/10 Perkins [1] 488/7 person [6] 550/10 552/19 592/9 617/14

Case 6:21-c person... [2] 619/2 633/25 person's [3] 604/7 633/20 633/21 personal [7] 498/18 499/1 505/1 508/5 594/9 623/2 624/16 personally [1] 597/24 persons [7] 601/5 601/13 610/2 623/12 623/14 626/2 631/21 perspective [1] 624/13 Ph.D [2] 553/22 554/2 phase [1] 556/6 PHOENIX [2] 487/13 489/3 phone [3] 545/14 548/4 641/11 physical [4] 517/23 576/24 620/6 621/16 physically [1] 613/23 PI [1] 609/9 picked [1] 589/4 picking [1] 589/2 piece [2] 557/16 557/18 pieces [1] 520/13 pivotal [1] 610/14 place [9] 501/11 501/22 501/23 570/23 578/9 599/19 599/21 609/20 610/4 places [2] 600/3 609/24 plaintiff [4] 494/17 511/13 540/23 636/6 Plaintiff's [1] 504/15 plaintiffs [32] 487/5 488/3 491/5 491/7 492/17 492/18 493/7 495/24 496/22 503/6 503/16 510/25 518/24 535/18 536/21 543/14 543/17 543/20 544/12 551/17 554/23 565/9 572/18 575/2 575/4 580/10 583/14 611/25 628/17 628/25 636/9 636/21 Plaintiffs' [31] 497/6 497/8 499/13 499/25 500/15 500/18 500/21 501/4 502/19 508/14 509/3 509/4 509/12 509/23 510/10 510/19 510/20 511/11 514/4 515/2 517/24 528/13 542/21 556/19 562/12 563/19 565/1 574/22 600/6 611/8 630/3 plausible [1] 607/20 play [4] 505/7 573/17 606/15 610/14 players [1] 606/6 playing [1] 610/12 please [36] 491/4 492/22 492/25 493/2 493/20 494/1 494/6 494/11 494/16 494/23 495/20 497/13 500/10 511/9 512/19 513/22 516/10 518/23 521/25

522/2 525/16 531/15 /544/16 551/23 553/9cu 555/5 556/12 566/23 569/10 576/8 615/11 615/11 615/12 626/9 626/18 639/20 plenty [3] 557/23 574/6 574/11 plunges [1] 607/9 plus [4] 515/17 596/6 610/3 630/20 PM [1] 640/3 point [23] 500/2 501/24 503/20 503/22 505/3 507/12 507/13 507/15 508/6 509/17 511/18 512/14 512/25 514/16 553/20 560/7 569/19 581/11 592/20 603/5 609/12 613/5 616/13 points [1] 598/4 policies [37] 521/22 530/15 534/8 534/11 563/22 564/6 564/10 584/12 589/24 591/17 592/2 592/13 593/1 593/8 593/21 594/24 595/9 596/4 596/24 598/10 598/18 599/6 600/17 600/21 611/1 611/16 624/8 624/11 624/21 626/11 626/14 627/4 629/11 629/11 632/20 632/24 634/3 policy [28] 506/14 524/11 528/1 534/17 534/22 534/22 534/25 535/5 535/14 536/1 536/2 539/3 539/4 539/11 540/4 540/6 547/11 547/14 547/15 547/18 580/20 581/25 595/3 595/13 595/21 598/12 625/11 627/6 pooled [1] 616/15 pools [1] 601/16 popped [2] 491/23 620/16 popularity [1] 628/6 population [11] 558/16 577/6 577/19 600/12 601/21 602/10 602/11 602/22 602/25 608/3 627/3 population-based [1] 558/16 populations [2] 558/20 589/17 portion [1] 548/17 portions [2] 572/19 574/22 Portland [3] 488/5 488/8 488/10 position [2] 553/24 568/6 positions [1] 555/17 possession [1] 493/12 possibility [4] 507/17 508/11 508/12 547/7 possible [3] 499/14 514/18 606/20 possibly [2] 504/23

599/10 prentian 621 53 974 11 581/15 Poverty [1] 631/24 practice [5] 524/23 525/1 525/2 539/2 628/17 practices [1] 591/15 prayer [2] 618/9 618/14 622/6 pre [1] 582/11 pre-COVID [1] 582/11 precedent [1] 602/21 predecessor [1] 530/3 preexisting [1] 507/15 prefer [1] 608/25 pregnancies [1] 527/17 pregnancy [1] 527/13 preliminary [5] 487/19 491/3 506/7 517/3 540/24 prematurely [1] 571/13 preparation [2] 538/5 562/5 prepared [3] 613/24 633/17 639/17 preparing [1] 562/25 prerequisites [1] 505/4 present [7] 569/24 588/22 588/25 589/3 589/4 596/4 635/5 presented [1] 611/7 preserve [1] 629/1 President [6] 519/20 524/4 528/20 529/17 529/20 529/20 presume [8] 564/9 598/15 599/14 600/14 601/2 604/10 614/12 629/7 presumptions [1] 609/25 PRETRIAL [1] 641/5 pretty [6] 491/15 600/3 609/19 615/9 616/10 626/21 prevalent [1] 578/24 prevent [2] 532/13 532/17 previous [1] 578/4 627/5 previously [5] 492/5 526/6 565/15 572/23 589/7 priesthood [1] 540/16 primarily [2] 563/16 597/19 primary [1] 598/3 PRINCE [13] 489/14 491/11 527/22 544/25 545/4 545/6 545/18 548/4 548/7 550/20 554/7 579/18 613/12 principles [2] 504/13 548/16 print [5] 517/15 518/15 519/15 570/16 571/11 print-out [1] 519/15 printed [4] 517/11 517/12 570/21 570/23 prior [12] 496/5 505/13 510/7 520/3 526/8 526/12 529/25 533/4 555/17 563/8 596/13

625/14 probably [14]368/172 561/6 562/2 578/5 584/12 585/21 591/23 592/10 592/13 597/1 607/2 614/14 615/5 615/25 problem [2] 578/17 problems [4] 496/15 563/22 585/12 623/3 procedure [1] 521/22 procedures [1] 538/12 proceed [1] 576/7 proceeding [4] 531/22 551/5 565/16 631/9 proceedings [7] 487/21 565/19 634/21 640/3 641/9 641/16 641/18 process [33] 492/10 492/15 499/7 499/12 499/14 500/2 501/11 501/12 501/22 501/22 502/5 502/7 502/17 502/18 502/21 502/22 502/23 503/15 503/15 503/17 503/20 508/6 511/5 514/5 514/17 516/6 516/9 516/10 517/22 536/12 571/6 571/6 571/8 processes [2] 507/25 521/15 processing [18] 494/7 497/21 503/3 503/17 503/21 503/22 504/1 504/3 504/17 506/19 508/15 508/19 508/21 509/19 514/5 514/18 521/9 521/15 professor [6] 555/12 555/18 555/19 555/20 593/24 594/21 program [4] 505/23 520/8 541/1 541/8 Programs [1] 488/16 progressive [1] 589/24 prohibit [2] 520/20 prohibited [1] 630/4 prohibiting [1] 624/22 prohibitions [3] 534/20 534/20 625/21 promoted [1] 555/19 promoting [1] 631/25 prompt [1] 521/16 pronounced [1] 586/1 properly [1] 530/16 proposal [2] 501/15 501/24 proposal's [1] 502/8 proposals [1] 501/17 propose [2] 506/12 638/13 proposed [2] 499/20 506/13 protect [1] 630/15 protected [3] 533/16 533/21 544/3 protection [1] 586/14 protections [1] 612/13 protective [2] 633/12

633/25 Protestant [3] 564/3 589/23 592/3 provide [10] 506/15 506/18 519/15 522/18 526/9 526/17 539/17 540/17 568/23 605/8 provided [7] 506/20 506/22 566/12 566/18 567/17 604/10 619/15 provides [5] 493/4 495/10 497/23 503/23 525/22 providing [4] 507/22 507/23 524/16 540/15 provision [4] 504/1 528/4 540/9 550/13 provisions [3] 497/22 520/19 524/21 psychological [9] 568/6 574/2 574/4 576/21 587/20 618/17 620/6 621/15 628/3 psychologically [1] 577/11 psychologist [4] 572/5 573/25 574/5 575/14 psychologists [1] 628/7 psychology [2] 572/20 574/11 public [5] 582/25 583/3 583/7 583/8 621/1 published [10] 555/22 557/10 558/7 558/24 559/5 560/6 571/7 582/10 603/17 607/6 pull [12] 516/24 566/3 582/2 613/9 613/12 620/12 621/8 621/20 622/10 626/24 627/11 629/13 pulling [1] 517/4 punish [4] 527/7 527/9 527/17 626/1 punished [1] 625/10 punishes [1] 625/4 punishing [1] 625/7 purport [1] 598/2 purports [1] 604/22 purpose [4] 511/24 621/5 623/17 629/9 purposes [2] 542/18 556/12 pursuant [3] 508/17 508/20 627/6 pursued [1] 558/23 put [5] 510/20 510/21 533/4 590/22 608/8 putting [3] 510/18 534/24 535/12 puzzled [1] 589/25 Q

qualifications [1] 565/10 qualified [1] 574/23 qualitative [2] 556/20 589/13 quarters [1] 619/7 queer [6] 582/8 615/2 615/4 615/6 615/23 616/10 queer-spectrum [6]

Q Case 6:21-0 queer-spectrum... [6] 582/8 615/2 615/4 615/6 615/23 616/10 quest [1] 624/18 question [48] 509/8 509/9 511/9 512/19 513/6 513/23 513/24 514/8 515/7 521/25 529/12 530/8 531/15 535/9 537/22 539/2 540/4 541/3 542/3 542/8 542/12 546/10 546/24 550/19 550/20 558/4 559/14 566/23 569/10 577/24 604/5 613/21 614/10 615/2 615/12 615/12 616/21 617/6 617/8 618/12 620/25 624/19 626/8 626/9 626/16 626/17 627/18 636/22 questioning [3] 566/20 573/2 629/17 questionnaire [1] 588/7 questions [30] 503/5 524/2 537/6 544/12 544/13 544/14 544/21 544/24 546/9 551/11 552/4 559/3 582/13 596/3 608/24 611/6 611/23 612/6 612/16 612/23 613/5 613/22 616/5 616/24 617/11 632/6 632/8 632/10 632/15 635/10 quickly [3] 547/23 609/12 621/8 quit [1] 615/13 quite [7] 558/9 562/20 590/3 597/14 600/14 603/10 617/7 quote [2] 520/19 622/21

R-A-N-D-O-L-P-H [1] 492/4 R-E-G-N-E-R-U-S [2] 552/25 553/11 R-U-T-H [1] 631/14 radical [1] 571/8 raise [1] 552/22 raised [5] 493/22 530/16 561/18 570/8 570/14 raises [1] 612/22 raising [1] 525/25 ran [1] 540/13 range [2] 601/17 601/18 ranged [1] 629/6 ranges [1] 601/20 ranks [1] 623/1 rare [2] 581/25 625/11 rate [2] 602/9 602/24 rates [16] 577/3 580/19 580/22 584/6 585/6 585/6 586/15 586/17 587/19 587/20 602/15 603/2 610/7 610/8 617/3 623/2 rather [5] 517/13 525/6

reach [2] 512/2 570/12 reached [3] 564/25 567/9 590/11 reaching [1] 507/21 react [1] 594/23 read [19] 517/13 522/1 522/21 522/22 522/23 527/11 539/15 548/12 548/16 548/17 549/15 566/5 567/16 574/15 579/20 584/4 629/19 629/19 639/16 reading [6] 520/24 528/18 528/23 549/20 549/21 574/13 reaffirmed [2] 568/5 572/1 really [8] 512/5 540/13 544/1 566/20 571/21 599/16 606/11 635/13 REAP [40] 563/4 579/1 579/10 579/14 579/21 579/24 580/18 580/24 581/5 581/10 581/24 583/21 584/9 584/21 584/25 585/3 585/7 585/11 585/19 586/3 586/22 587/21 588/8 589/1 589/3 590/11 610/7 612/24 613/6 613/17 614/3 614/7 616/12 616/16 617/6 617/10 617/15 618/6 625/9 626/20 reason [3] 510/7 581/3 581/8 reasons [1] 641/17 recall [41] 492/9 492/13 495/7 500/9 503/11 510/4 510/5 510/19 510/21 515/21 516/1 516/5 516/16 516/18 532/15 532/18 533/10 533/11 535/6 535/11 568/16 574/13 574/16 580/18 580/22 584/8 589/6 589/9 613/1 619/14 620/7 620/23 621/9 625/16 625/17 626/23 627/10 627/16 632/21 634/9 634/11 recalling [1] 565/23 receded [1] 610/18 receive [3] 504/22 532/14 554/1 received [16] 494/3 508/21 509/18 510/11 519/9 520/4 522/25 524/9 528/21 529/18 529/19 529/25 531/20 580/15 583/17 591/11 receives [1] 547/1 recent [2] 557/2 579/5 recently [2] 493/4 557/18 recess [1] 640/1 recipient [7] 493/21 499/1 499/2 504/23 520/21 525/12 525/15

531/13 579/18 623/13 Yallo [1] 608/7 Docu

ratios [2] 607/5 608/2

recipients [2] 543/13 11/501/24 538/22 539/18 1/539/24 539/240 1/3 recognize [7] 579/19 582/3 600/15 600/24 604/18 605/16 610/4 recognized [2] 508/24 585/14 recognizes [2] 520/2 606/22 recognizing [1] 610/1 recollection [4] 510/22 533/21 533/25 537/14 recommend [1] 507/5 recommendation [1] 542/22 recommending [1] 506/8 reconcile [1] 609/16 record [11] 491/4 513/12 544/18 556/12 575/15 576/2 636/14 637/2 637/6 637/9 639/15 recorded [2] 525/19 587/8 records [1] 493/12 recross [2] 635/12 635/13 redactions [2] 520/9 521/3 redirect [3] 551/14 632/13 632/17 reevaluation [1] 558/14 refer [6] 516/18 522/1 540/22 564/13 622/14 637/15 reference [7] 528/24 529/24 536/21 563/8 566/6 624/25 627/11 references [3] 494/16 494/17 530/2 referred [4] 508/22 510/18 511/11 566/12 referring [4] 562/10 589/15 620/21 626/20 refile [1] 550/11 refiling [1] 550/14 reflect [5] 493/17 493/23 494/20 495/16 585/25 reflected [1] 496/7 reflecting [2] 569/21 614/13 reflection [1] 623/23 reflects [2] 495/13 496/20 regard [8] 495/22 529/22 530/11 544/8 575/21 577/17 581/18 629/5 regarding [15] 515/23 524/10 525/22 528/21 529/9 539/25 541/7 566/13 567/1 572/2 572/23 595/2 619/25 620/2 622/19 regardless [3] 571/16 605/9 626/13 regards [1] 561/17 regional [12] 494/12 499/18 499/19 500/2 501/13 501/15 501/23

Regnerus [70] 552/17 552/20 553/10 553/17 554/7 554/14 554/20 554/24 555/2 555/8 557/10 560/14 560/14 565/6 565/15 565/18 565/23 566/25 567/12 567/15 569/4 569/12 570/19 570/21 571/16 571/24 572/5 572/11 573/8 574/13 576/11 577/14 579/19 582/3 583/20 590/23 590/25 593/18 595/7 599/3 606/14 609/12 610/11 611/6 612/4 612/24 614/22 615/17 617/22 618/5 618/19 619/14 619/25 620/5 620/23 621/16 621/19 622/8 624/20 626/20 627/8 627/18 628/16 628/24 629/17 630/1 630/12 630/25 631/4 631/19 Regnerus' [9] 565/9 567/18 568/3 568/5 568/14 572/19 572/22 574/22 575/18 regression [1] 571/22 regulation [1] 524/21 regulations [2] 523/3 548/13 relate [1] 634/21 related [5] 540/15 566/12 586/14 586/15 591/15 relating [1] 523/1 relationship [20] 555/25 556/9 557/6 557/14 564/6 569/19 570/2 570/5 572/17 598/18 600/16 602/13 606/1 607/19 608/2 611/1 624/11 624/24 625/11 635/9 relationships [15] 558/9 578/6 592/7 592/21 595/17 598/10 603/13 611/5 623/2 624/9 624/22 624/23 625/8 626/12 629/9 relevance [5] 527/21 528/7 577/22 577/25 619/23 relevant [3] 520/18 562/8 563/17 relied [1] 573/13 religion [12] 555/23 561/8 561/10 561/16 561/20 561/25 565/7 571/4 572/15 590/1 593/6 593/18 religious [102] 491/14 492/14 500/25 502/2 502/9 502/13 503/24 504/2 504/10 504/18 504/24 505/7 505/9 506/16 511/5 516/2 516/4 516/12 516/15 519/8 520/24 522/25

P524717 524/18 524/19 524/24 525/6 525/25 526/14 527/15 529/21 529/22 529/25 530/5 531/11 531/21 531/25 532/12 532/16 534/23 534/24 535/13 536/4 537/8 537/17 537/18 538/12 538/14 538/17 538/22 539/4 539/16 539/21 539/23 540/7 541/6 543/18 543/21 544/1 544/14 544/21 547/11 547/19 548/20 549/3 549/8 549/17 549/19 549/24 551/20 556/1 563/25 572/11 572/12 572/16 575/6 577/16 578/13 578/25 580/13 584/1 591/16 593/6 594/21 595/8 595/10 609/14 611/16 611/16 612/8 624/1 628/25 629/20 630/6 630/13 630/15 630/19 632/10 636/3 remain [7] 509/24 510/8 533/12 534/2 534/14 586/12 608/11 remained [1] 571/11 remaining [2] 498/6 498/8 remains [4] 508/11 510/9 577/11 629/23 remarks [1] 589/19 remember [2] 549/5 550/8 remind [2] 491/23 498/12 reminds [1] 606/2 rendered [1] 568/11 repair [1] 623/22 repeat [5] 512/18 515/7 550/20 569/9 604/5 repeatedly [1] 622/16 rephrase [10] 509/9 511/9 513/13 513/23 529/12 531/15 537/23 587/23 626/9 626/18 replicate [2] 558/23 560/6 reply [1] 639/12 report [59] 554/4 554/8 554/10 554/20 554/25 562/6 562/16 562/19 562/20 562/22 562/25 563/4 563/7 563/11 572/19 575/18 575/19 575/21 575/22 576/3 576/24 579/19 580/24 584/5 586/3 586/22 587/21 588/6 588/13 589/1 590/18 602/5 610/7 612/25 612/25 613/6 613/17 614/3 614/7 614/25 616/12 616/16 616/19 617/10 617/15 617/18 617/20 618/6 619/1 621/20 621/20 622/2 622/9 625/9 626/20 627/8

523/6 523/13 524/16

Case 6:21-c report... [3] 627/14 628/19 632/4 reported [9] 499/18 581/2 587/8 587/9 587/20 588/4 588/19 602/16 614/16 reporter [8] 489/22 553/4 636/24 637/3 637/8 637/9 637/11 641/25 reporters [1] 637/1 reporting [1] 577/3 reports [15] 561/18 562/7 562/9 562/14 563/17 563/19 564/23 575/1 576/20 577/8 582/7 588/9 588/20 601/16 604/19 representatives [1] 511/20 represented [1] 556/15 request [12] 495/5 495/6 495/6 495/14 495/16 505/14 520/18 521/18 527/4 527/12 533/18 638/17 requested [6] 495/1 498/20 519/1 522/25 527/10 527/25 requesting [6] 521/19 529/21 530/17 538/24 539/8 539/20 require [3] 520/21 541/7 549/23 required [3] 498/3 504/9 541/21 requirement [3] 495/7 495/11 512/4 requirements [2] 509/13 548/14 requires [4] 495/8 624/2 629/20 630/13 rescind [1] 541/7 rescinded [1] 541/1 research [2] 556/13 561/4 researched [2] 555/22 557/10 researchers [1] 587/4 resolution [3] 501/11 501/12 507/21 resolve [3] 492/11 501/8 501/18 resolved [1] 501/20 resources [2] 508/4 508/5 respect [10] 499/12 506/17 516/7 523/2 530/17 530/19 565/10 576/16 634/20 635/2 respond [3] 499/2 551/23 622/23 responded [1] 531/6 respondents [4] 580/24 581/5 581/11 614/14 responding [2] 529/8 574/25 responds [1] 526/25 response [10] 519/24 524/24 525/24 526/4

/526/23,527/1,527/2 /529/17 637/25 638/95 u responses [3] 616/10 634/4 634/5 responsible [1] 612/19 rest [5] 526/20 526/21 552/8 588/16 608/19 restate [4] 531/15 546/24 566/23 577/24 restraining [1] 517/2 restrict [1] 532/16 restricts [1] 532/13 restroom [1] 541/22 result [1] 499/14 results [1] 585/22 resume [1] 511/15 resumed [1] 511/16 retain [4] 575/20 595/21 596/17 611/1 retained [2] 563/14 563/16 retains [1] 575/20 rethink [1] 598/17 retired [1] 571/13 retracted [2] 559/1 567/6 returning [2] 551/13 636/6 reveal [1] 498/25 revealed [1] 585/23 Reverend [1] 527/5 reversed [1] 573/11 review [35] 499/21 505/24 511/21 523/17 523/25 524/1 528/15 529/14 530/12 537/3 537/9 537/11 537/12 538/23 539/5 539/21 560/18 562/6 562/14 562/16 562/17 562/22 564/23 565/5 571/8 576/15 579/24 581/23 584/21 590/11 600/11 601/4 611/7 611/8 621/8 reviewed [16] 506/24 531/5 532/21 533/5 538/5 543/3 547/24 557/21 557/25 560/18 562/7 562/25 579/1 579/25 599/7 618/5 reviewing [6] 523/18 528/16 539/20 542/20 542/25 560/15 rewarding [1] 601/23 Ricks [1] 530/3 right [141] 503/22 504/9 RPR [2] 489/22 641/24 509/17 509/21 510/14 510/16 511/3 511/6 511/17 512/10 513/6 515/15 516/18 517/10 518/7 518/18 519/3 519/19 520/2 521/2 521/12 522/12 522/23 523/13 523/14 523/15 524/2 525/15 526/23 528/12 528/16 528/17 531/7 536/23 536/24 537/5 538/19 539/13 543/19 545/2 546/9 548/6 552/5 552/23 553/9 555/9 555/16

36076-562/12-364/2 11 566/11 567/25 568/7 568/25 569/9 569/15 569/20 570/1 570/14 571/21 576/5 576/18 579/13 581/1 581/14 581/20 583/2 584/3 586/19 587/1 587/25 588/5 589/12 590/7 590/16 591/9 591/24 592/3 592/10 592/19 593/10 593/22 593/23 593/24 594/16 596/18 596/19 597/1 600/9 602/6 602/11 603/4 603/11 605/10 605/11 607/16 609/18 610/14 614/1 614/2 614/3 614/24 615/7 615/8 615/20 615/24 616/7 616/11 616/13 616/14 617/4 617/16 617/24 620/16 620/25 621/2 621/4 621/19 622/4 622/7 622/12 622/14 622/21 623/19 624/4 625/23 627/13 627/22 629/15 629/16 629/24 632/6 633/2 634/1 634/23 636/5 636/14 639/14 rights [31] 516/3 516/14 517/6 518/13 522/7 524/5 526/24 527/3 528/20 529/7 529/9 529/19 529/23 530/1 530/18 531/2 531/4 531/6 531/10 531/12 531/23 533/16 533/21 538/11 541/16 542/16 544/3 544/3 548/20 568/15 619/16 risk [1] 628/15 road [1] 607/23 robbed [1] 576/25 Robin [1] 525/20 role [5] 573/17 606/14 606/22 610/11 610/14 romantic [1] 625/7 Room [1] 489/23 roommate [1] 618/9 roommates [1] 618/14 Root [1] 631/12 rose [1] 621/25 roughly [1] 570/6 rule [2] 633/10 639/18 ruled [3] 634/13 634/17 635/3 rules [9] 578/6 578/10 606/2 607/18 607/21 608/5 608/8 610/23 633/4 ruling [1] 576/1 Rutger [1] 616/14 Rutgers [12] 563/7 578/20 582/7 583/21 584/9 585/3 586/22 588/14 590/11 612/25 614/25 616/19 Ruth [7] 630/25 631/3

631/4 631/12 631/14 | 524/8 524/14 538/20 631/19 631/239 174 | 539/8 539/15 548/13 RYAN [10] 489/4 491/13 519/7 544/20 551/21 552/3 575/5 635/23 636/4 639/4

555/23 558/6 559/10

safe [1] 613/23 said [19] 497/11 500/10 491/10 545/24 505/13 515/11 528/3 533/6 533/9 545/24 548/4 557/2 568/24 588/2 605/7 606/17 608/1 625/21 627/21 632/2 633/19 same [41] 497/25 503/15 503/15 504/8 522/14 534/20 556/8 557/14 558/8 558/25 565/19 565/21 566/13 567/5 567/8 568/22 569/19 570/5 571/14 576/23 577/6 587/5 595/1 602/19 604/14 607/18 614/15 616/21 617/9 624/22 624/23 626/12 626/12 626/15 627/5 630/1 630/3 630/20 632/24 633/15 638/1 same-sex [16] 534/20 556/8 557/14 558/8 565/19 565/21 566/13 568/22 569/19 570/5 577/6 624/22 624/23 626/12 626/12 627/5 sample [2] 588/17 602/19 samples [4] 584/4 589/16 602/21 617/8 sampling [1] 586/11 sanctions [1] 626/25 satisfaction [1] 601/19 satisfied [1] 509/13 saw [2] 558/22 585/4 say [54] 491/19 499/4 502/23 503/18 503/19 504/8 506/19 507/24 509/12 511/1 511/2 515/8 521/8 522/5 522/10 522/24 526/21 528/19 529/10 530/10 531/4 537/18 542/4 544/17 546/14 548/19 549/23 550/10 552/19 561/15 561/21 561/24 562/9 563/24 564/13 570/15 579/11 581/23 584/15 585/10 585/17 590/9 591/22 597/21 605/12 613/14 619/20 622/22 622/24 625/4 627/7 629/4 633/20 639/14 saying [9] 503/21 513/15 515/11 540/8 568/14 586/18 598/20 619/22 624/1 says [19] 497/4 508/17 508/19 519/23 521/5 521/13 521/15 521/18

549/15 567/22 606/3 613/21 613/25 scale [3] 556/15 572/15 625/5 scenarios [1] 608/7 SCHAERR [6] 489/12 489/12 489/15 489/17 Schaerr's [1] 553/6 schedule [2] 637/4 638/16 schedules [3] 638/6 638/8 639/9 scholarly [1] 561/3 scholars [1] 568/3 school [17] 491/14 544/21 547/15 547/17 547/20 550/18 551/2 563/24 593/24 594/21 594/23 594/23 598/16 600/13 618/23 632/11 634/2 school's [3] 547/11 580/20 581/25 schools [45] 519/8 544/14 548/20 551/20 564/14 564/14 564/20 575/6 580/5 580/14 582/20 584/2 584/5 584/12 584/14 584/18 585/12 586/8 586/13 586/18 589/17 589/21 589/22 591/21 591/24 592/23 593/22 595/19 596/12 596/12 597/12 598/9 598/23 598/25 599/1 599/15 607/3 610/16 610/21 610/21 627/3 628/14 629/12 633/19 636/3 science [2] 558/25 622/1 scope [7] 548/22 550/2 600/18 628/21 630/8 631/8 632/4 Scottsdale [1] 489/6 screen [9] 516/24 517/12 517/24 552/18 554/14 554/14 590/22 620/12 621/7 se [1] 571/5 search [1] 610/24 searching [1] 608/13 seated [1] 518/23 sec [1] 523/19 second [8] 521/4 558/9 558/12 558/13 616/18 620/12 624/1 626/24 Secretary [17] 499/22 500/19 500/23 500/24 501/3 507/1 507/6 520/17 527/3 532/6 532/22 532/23 533/1 533/4 538/11 542/19 542/24 Secretary's [2] 502/16 543/6 section [21] 497/22 497/22 508/16 508/17 508/18 508/20 537/7

Case 6:21 section... [14] 537/19 538/17 539/17 548/1 548/12 548/18 549/15 550/8 550/10 550/17 550/22 613/25 615/20 629/20 sections [1] 525/16 secular [10] 577/4 578/25 579/11 582/22 584/2 584/18 586/9 587/12 610/10 614/20 secularization [1] 622/24 secularizing [1] 595/12 see [43] 493/14 500/7 505/15 505/17 517/11 517/25 518/6 527/8 528/24 529/4 529/5 540/2 540/3 552/18 571/8 577/2 579/2 586/7 586/8 588/24 590/20 595/18 595/18 595/23 608/5 608/8 610/6 610/11 611/18 611/19 612/21 613/13 615/4 615/17 617/9 617/22 619/4 622/12 623/7 628/11 633/6 634/4 637/3 seeing [2] 574/16 603/8 seek [3] 549/24 628/3 628/4 seeking [1] 609/16 seem [3] 577/11 598/24 618/16 seemed [5] 571/1 579/9 589/25 605/11 636/17 seems [9] 544/25 578/18 578/18 584/13 618/11 618/16 618/17 618/22 625/11 seen [4] 514/12 517/8 543/9 611/15 seldom [1] 625/18 selected [3] 602/19 602/21 609/18 selecting [1] 578/9 selectivity [1] 599/16 self [15] 559/19 581/11 585/6 588/9 588/20 589/1 599/16 602/16 602/19 602/21 604/19 607/13 608/14 609/18 623/6 self-harm [3] 559/19 585/6 623/6 self-identified [1] 607/13 self-identify [1] 581/11 self-perceived [1] 608/14 self-report [1] 589/1 self-reported [1] 602/16 self-reports [3] 588/9 588/20 604/19 self-selected [3] 602/19 602/21 609/18 self-selectivity [1] 599/16 SEMINARY [2] 487/13 489/3

send [1] 610/23 senor [1] 538/11 Document 1 46_{9/1} Filed 11 single-mother [1] 175 0599/22 600/1 601/14 sense [8] 564/2 579/4 584/11 586/12 602/24 603/24 618/12 636/24 sent [1] 620/16 sentence [3] 538/20 539/8 624/1 separated [1] 623/15 separately [1] 572/22 September [4] 499/12 499/15 499/16 500/12 September 2021 [1] 499/15 series [3] 528/12 617/11 618/17 serious [5] 567/19 572/25 596/11 596/21 608/17 serve [1] 596/25 set [4] 491/1 503/2 602/20 638/8 sets [2] 529/21 578/19 setting [1] 536/4 settings [3] 578/13 594/15 602/12 seven [1] 522/14 several [8] 507/21 507/25 508/2 508/3 561/9 562/3 566/10 578/20 severely [1] 568/2 sex [27] 520/5 520/7 532/13 532/17 533/6 534/20 556/8 557/14 558/8 561/10 565/19 565/21 566/13 568/22 569/19 570/5 577/6 592/20 607/5 608/1 608/7 624/22 624/23 626/5 626/12 626/12 627/5 sex-based [1] 520/5 sexual [56] 520/21 521/10 523/1 531/18 541/1 541/7 547/20 556/1 556/5 556/8 557/2 557/6 557/11 557/17 558/7 558/20 560/20 561/25 565/7 567/1 571/5 572/20 576/25 578/6 581/2 581/12 581/18 581/20 591/19 596/7 600/24 606/1 606/15 606/21 606/23 607/16 617/12 617/20 618/1 618/25 619/8 622/19 622/20 624/7 624/12 624/24 625/8 625/11 626/12 627/5 627/9 627/15 627/20 627/24 628/17 629/2 sexuality [26] 547/12 547/14 547/16 547/18 560/24 561/16 561/20 564/16 580/20 581/25 591/17 592/5 595/17 596/17 599/6 604/25 609/15 609/16 611/4 624/3 629/9 629/22 630/13 633/1 634/20

shape [1] 585/16 shaped [1] 607/12 shapes [1] 604/24 share [3] 516/24 607/7 607/11 shared [1] 601/24 she [4] 605/13 637/4 637/9 637/12 she's [1] 637/10 shift [2] 597/14 597/15 shifts [1] 622/23 Shirley [1] 562/16 short [4] 510/22 543/8 614/19 635/12 shorthand [1] 567/24 shortly [1] 598/11 should [22] 504/16 504/17 505/19 512/13 512/24 513/1 538/22 570/22 585/11 586/11 586/15 599/14 609/1 609/25 612/17 612/17 612/18 616/24 617/1 622/23 628/17 633/6 shouldn't [1] 635/2 show [4] 579/17 579/18 583/25 590/20 showing [2] 623/18 623/18 shown [1] 504/16 shows [2] 543/19 607/7 shutdowns [1] 584/22 sic [2] 491/19 617/5 side [2] 584/3 584/3 sight [1] 611/19 signature [4] 641/21 641/22 641/22 641/25 signed [2] 498/23 641/22 significance [1] 592/21 significant [2] 570/13 600/6 significantly [5] 510/14 589/20 597/14 614/14 615/5 signing [1] 641/7 similar [8] 497/24 497/25 518/10 523/10 590/10 600/14 625/3 625/6 similarly [2] 504/2 516/14 simple [5] 588/20 600/24 601/23 606/2 616/17 simply [6] 505/1 511/19 525/12 525/14 574/25 578/8 simultaneous [4] 636/18 637/17 638/2 638/12 sin [5] 623/24 624/14 624/16 624/16 624/17 since [7] 496/6 519/16 522/23 553/25 567/7 585/19 620/11 sincerely [2] 630/5 630/18 single [2] 570/10 570/10 single-father [1] 570/10

sir [1] 546/24 sit [1] 513/8 sitting [6] 505/19 506/17 514/4 514/11 514/15 514/23 situation [7] 531/9 532/5 539/14 540/12 577/11 588/10 602/3 situations [4] 537/16 589/3 601/14 602/12 six [1] 614/11 Sixth [3] 571/24 573/8 574/14 Sixth Circuit [2] 571/24 573/8 Sixth Circuit's [1] 574/14 size [2] 543/2 612/21 skewed [2] 607/5 608/1 skirt [1] 639/7 slash [2] 495/23 543/14 slide [2] 607/7 626/24 slow [1] 546/2 small [7] 542/20 542/24 543/2 547/5 581/2 581/21 626/21 smaller [2] 618/10 625/5 536/24 538/11 SNYDER [6] 488/15 491/8 566/1 566/2 567/15 635/22 so [221] so-called [1] 568/11 social [9] 558/25 560/20 561/4 561/12 577/5 577/6 589/20 604/11 621/25 society [3] 560/21 577/4 612/9 Sociological [1] 560/18 sociologist [7] 555/23 571/4 571/5 572/15 575/13 593/5 593/18 sociologists [2] 562/4 619/15 sociology [12] 554/3 555/10 555/12 555/18 557/8 560/19 561/8 561/25 565/6 568/3 568/8 568/9 sodomy [4] 625/5 625/7 625/22 626/3 some [75] 491/17 496/24 499/11 513/4 513/5 515/22 516/23 516/25 520/9 520/12 522/18 531/4 532/21 537/6 543/19 544/23 546/15 546/16 549/3 549/7 556/7 556/17 556/19 556/20 556/22 560/7 561/17 563/9 565/9 566/11 569/19 570/15 572/9 573/1 577/2 578/22 578/23 579/5 585/18 585/21 586/13 587/22 587/22 589/6 591/19 594/3

601/15 603/16 603/22 605/19 609/25 612/5 612/24 615/25 619/25 620/20 627/2 627/3 631/21 632/19 633/4 633/4 633/5 633/15 633/16 633/22 634/5 634/6 636/17 somebody [5] 507/13 544/16 544/17 551/23 620/14 somebody's [2] 551/25 596/23 someone [3] 492/18 571/2 571/12 something [10] 506/1 536/8 561/10 603/19 607/22 619/21 619/22 638/9 638/13 638/22 sometime [1] 638/18 sometimes [8] 505/6 507/25 508/2 579/10 598/12 600/17 600/18 623/21 somewhat [5] 590/2 592/22 605/18 607/16 609/6 somewhere [1] 599/25 Smith [4] 536/19 536/23 soon [2] 552/1 635/16 sorry [20] 491/22 496/2 496/4 503/21 515/11 535/2 546/24 550/19 550/24 552/6 557/19 559/17 571/14 587/18 590/23 592/17 616/18 629/13 629/15 639/2 sort [45] 559/20 561/9 562/3 562/4 564/11 571/1 582/7 585/13 585/25 588/5 588/21 592/20 596/13 597/3 598/3 599/13 599/15 604/11 608/1 608/13 609/22 610/6 610/25 611/2 611/3 612/19 617/6 622/4 622/4 622/6 622/22 623/9 623/17 623/23 624/11 624/14 628/2 628/5 628/7 628/11 629/5 634/1 634/24 635/2 635/7 sound [1] 590/16 sounds [3] 491/15 518/18 627/18 source [1] 590/2 sources [1] 593/2 Southern [1] 631/24 SOUTHWICK [18] 488/4 488/4 491/6 503/10 512/18 514/10 515/6 516/9 516/9 528/16 549/3 554/23 565/8 632/19 634/8 636/8 638/15 639/12 speak [10] 505/23 527/19 541/10 541/13 542/5 544/17 545/7 552/19 604/12 641/13 speaker's [1] 641/14

Case 6:21-c speakerphone [1] 641/11 speakers [3] 641/12 641/13 641/14 speaking [12] 492/9 492/13 504/12 512/2 529/17 536/7 536/13 568/11 585/4 589/5 615/13 641/12 specific [8] 504/1 504/4 520/9 524/19 525/11 528/24 540/22 618/8 specifically [4] 497/3 523/2 529/2 563/14 specificity [3] 503/2 504/3 542/4 specifics [1] 629/6 spectrum [8] 582/8 615/2 615/4 615/6 615/23 616/10 616/20 616/23 speculate [2] 536/10 541/11 speculation [16] 512/15 528/7 529/11 530/21 533/23 534/3 536/5 541/24 544/5 593/12 595/2 625/24 626/8 628/21 630/9 633/16 speculative [2] 548/23 597/17 spell [1] 553/5 spelled [1] 553/11 spent [1] 500/4 spike [1] 586/20 spoke [2] 495/3 499/11 spouses [1] 601/25 Spring [10] 517/6 519/13 519/21 520/4 520/16 521/18 522/8 522/25 523/11 541/18 stability [1] 607/20 stable [3] 586/12 595/25 608/11 stably [1] 570/8 staff [1] 538/12 Stafford [1] 597/4 stage [32] 494/7 497/4 497/6 497/9 498/6 498/9 498/13 498/14 498/14 498/17 499/4 499/5 500/16 500/19 507/19 508/14 508/24 509/6 509/25 510/3 510/4 511/16 512/3 512/14 512/25 513/2 513/5 513/5 515/1 515/3 515/14 515/18 stalks [1] 624/13 Stamp [1] 538/16 stamped [1] 519/19 stand [4] 532/19 567/12 568/21 621/17 standards [2] 504/7 504/13 star [1] 608/6 stark [1] 615/9 started [3] 544/17 555/23 618/24 starting [1] 627/13

starts [2], 607/10 608/18 state [12] 498/1 513/4 U 525/1 536/7 553/5 576/2 620/5 621/15 625/4 625/13 625/22 634/24 stated [4] 520/18 580/24 622/22 634/25 statement [12] 530/13 533/9 540/6 567/20 567/21 568/7 568/13 621/14 621/14 621/17 621/23 622/5 statements [4] 572/1 572/13 619/25 620/21 states [16] 487/1 487/23 489/22 509/22 520/16 525/14 529/1 558/21 567/17 567/24 585/18 600/1 609/21 622/25 625/15 641/19 stating [1] 536/3 statistically [3] 576/19 614/13 615/5 status [8] 493/5 495/2 495/16 496/20 497/17 562/19 569/24 602/13 statute [3] 549/11 549/20 549/23 statutes [1] 548/13 stay [2] 586/3 595/23 steeped [1] 624/14 stemming [1] 536/1 stenographic [1] 641/10 step [2] 506/12 523/19 stepparenting [1] 570/11 Steppler [2] 489/22 641/24 stigma [14] 557/17 558/18 559/13 577/5 577/10 580/2 620/1 620/2 621/9 621/10 624/15 625/20 627/2 633/20 stigmatic [1] 627/4 stigmatized [1] 632/20 stigmatizing [1] 633/23 still [10] 491/23 495/12 501/5 511/17 553/21 570/16 586/16 586/17 595/21 607/25 stock [1] 540/18 stop [2] 615/11 615/11 straight [7] 606/1 614/14 614/15 617/13 617/22 618/3 619/3 strain [1] 592/24 strapped [1] 610/22 Street [6] 488/5 488/7 489/5 489/13 489/15 489/18 strengths [1] 556/18 stress [1] 514/21 stressed [1] 597/3 stretch [1] 606/25 strike [1] 635/7 strong [3] 595/24 596/16 600/3 strongly [2] 564/9 616/15 structural [7] 619/25

620/2 621/9 624/15 624/16 625/19 629/2 11 structure [2] 526/19 569/15 structures [2] 573/14 573/20 struggles [1] 578/24 struggling [2] 578/12 629/15 student [36] 515/23 516/17 520/5 520/7 520/14 521/4 523/10 523/11 528/22 528/25 529/8 529/23 531/10 531/13 531/18 531/23 532/8 533/15 533/19 534/17 534/17 535/25 536/2 541/18 541/22 550/17 551/1 551/6 581/19 594/6 594/20 605/12 605/21 625/10 627/3 630/5 student's [5] 516/11 523/7 531/14 550/25 students [89] 516/7 516/15 520/22 521/9 524/12 527/17 528/2 530/25 533/7 534/21 535/20 535/20 535/23 543/24 544/1 547/19 561/2 563/23 578/4 578/12 578/12 579/3 579/3 579/6 579/9 579/11 579/12 580/4 580/4 580/19 581/7 581/14 581/17 581/24 582/9 582/16 582/17 582/19 582/22 584/1 584/2 584/5 584/16 585/12 585/19 586/8 586/9 587/5 587/6 587/8 588/15 594/22 596/25 597/2 597/8 597/15 598/3 598/9 598/19 599/4 600/9 600/15 600/19 600/23 601/3 606/9 607/1 609/15 614/4 614/5 614/16 615/2 615/6 615/22 615/23 617/13 617/20 618/1 618/3 618/20 619/1 619/1 619/3 626/22 632/20 632/25 633/3 633/18 634/3 students' [1] 531/6 studied [2] 570/7 594/15 studies [22] 557/22 557/25 562/8 562/24 563/2 563/11 563/17 564/24 567/8 572/10 572/15 574/2 574/5 575/12 578/20 579/6 583/23 584/16 585/24 589/12 593/6 593/18 study [63] 555/25 558/14 560/5 566/12 566/18 567/1 567/3 567/13 567/22 567/25 568/21 568/22 569/1

576/11 576/15 576/18 576/23 577/8 577/13 578/16 578/20 579/1 579/10 581/6 581/16 582/12 582/22 583/21 584/9 584/10 585/3 585/19 586/22 587/6 588/25 589/2 589/7 589/9 589/11 590/10 590/12 590/14 590/19 590/21 590/25 591/4 594/17 601/8 601/13 602/1 602/4 602/5 606/21 616/14 studying [1] 594/18 stuff [4] 567/11 596/14 623/9 629/10 stunned [1] 571/10 subject [13] 498/18 504/6 506/5 508/18 511/12 519/23 534/10 535/6 536/3 554/1 601/9 603/17 630/2 subject-matter [6] 498/18 504/6 506/5 534/10 535/6 536/3 subjective [1] 618/12 submissions [1] 639/25 submit [1] 554/4 submitted [2] 639/18 639/23 suboptimal [1] 568/23 subsection [2] 549/18 550/13 subsequent [1] 496/25 subset [1] 547/5 substance [1] 582/14 substantial [1] 590/1 success [1] 610/7 such [19] 509/18 534/22 535/5 536/2 542/4 546/18 547/4 547/15 549/19 571/10 578/9 581/3 585/10 592/14 604/7 610/21 626/2 635/13 641/18 sue [2] 550/18 551/1 sued [1] 571/12 sufficiently [1] 611/2 suggest [5] 550/4 578/11 578/23 608/19 611/15 suggested [1] 507/4 suggesting [1] 609/6 suggestion [1] 637/14 suggests [1] 578/3 suicidality [1] 584/7 576/20 Suite [5] 488/5 489/10 489/13 489/15 489/18 summarize [2] 493/12 526/25 summary [4] 526/20 526/21 611/7 614/6 support [11] 577/5 577/6 597/10 601/1 604/11 604/17 605/14 613/8 613/19 613/22

569/12 569/14 570/12 571/17 571/189572/2

573/13 573/17 574/17

633/21 supposed [2] 505/14 505/16 supposition [1] 601/1 Supreme [3] 619/17 625/14 634/11 sure [32] 491/23 492/1 499/17 503/21 512/21 517/14 518/4 522/3 536/23 551/24 553/20 558/11 560/12 572/14 582/11 586/4 599/3 600/10 603/11 604/1 604/5 604/14 604/21 606/18 608/23 612/15 620/10 620/15 620/15 621/22 624/19 638/11 surmise [2] 607/14 614/19 surpasses [1] 607/10 surprise [1] 627/1 surreptitiously [1] 578/7 survey [20] 556/14 559/22 563/4 563/6 579/14 579/21 579/24 580/18 581/5 581/10 581/24 582/21 583/21 585/3 585/7 585/11 587/4 589/2 589/4 589/15 survey-based [3] 556/14 563/6 589/15 surveys [2] 556/16 556/20 survive [1] 595/21 suspect [4] 597/20 600/16 609/5 625/12 suspected [1] 505/24 sustain [2] 593/15 630/22 Sustained [9] 527/20 528/10 530/22 548/24 550/3 595/4 628/22 630/10 632/5 Suzanne [1] 532/24 SW [2] 488/9 489/10 sworn [2] 492/5 553/1 symptoms [1] 589/20 system [1] 545/10 systematic [1] 592/15

table [1] 613/14 take [19] 498/10 499/20 506/12 507/20 518/5 518/16 523/24 537/3 537/9 546/15 546/16 546/23 547/5 547/9 608/25 615/12 638/8 639/10 639/17 suicide [3] 559/19 560/3 taken [8] 496/6 514/13 518/20 523/21 548/15 576/4 639/15 641/9 takes [1] 546/11 taking [3] 501/23 510/18 610/20 talk [8] 497/3 570/24 583/20 624/16 627/8 631/20 636/15 637/2 talked [3] 550/7 557/1 581/22 talking [12] 529/6

Т Case 6:21-0 talking... [11] 561/15 563/25 564/14 581/6 581/14 581/16 590/17 601/8 624/15 627/14 627/19 talks [4] 521/4 522/15 601/12 628/13 tapping [1] 618/11 taps [1] 623/13 taught [3] 561/6 561/8 561/9 taxes [1] 598/25 teach [2] 561/4 561/4 teaching [2] 561/1 564/21 teachings [5] 598/8 611/16 633/1 633/9 634/2 technical [2] 567/11 641/15 technological [1] 641/17 Technology [1] 545/16 TELEPHONIC [2] 488/1 489/1 tell [15] 520/13 543/2 555/8 568/25 569/13 577/20 582/6 586/25 597/12 601/10 612/12 631/9 631/10 634/18 638/9 telling [1] 564/24 tells [1] 637/9 temporary [2] 500/6 517/2 ten [2] 535/19 599/1 tend [5] 564/3 564/5 564/5 589/23 602/15 tenets [6] 506/16 520/24 524/19 539/24 541/6 549/19 tent [1] 564/18 tenure [4] 555/13 555/14 555/15 555/18 term [6] 588/6 592/8 599/9 622/16 623/7 623/18 terminated [1] 527/17 termination [1] 527/13 terms [17] 502/21 539/10 559/4 562/20 584/10 587/23 592/6 595/15 595/24 601/23 603/2 614/20 615/6 623/2 625/10 625/19 630/13 territory [1] 563/10 testified [22] 492/5 495/7 501/4 503/13 503/14 507/18 508/13 509/21 510/2 514/12 525/24 537/15 537/21 540/24 540/25 553/1 565/15 565/18 566/7 573/13 612/6 628/24 testify [3] 525/3 565/18 566/25 testifying [4] 521/24 522/17 626/21 630/12 testimony [56] 502/24 503/1 505/13 507/23

509/8 510/4 510/5 /546/7 511/8 512/4 Ocur 513/11 513/16 514/7 515/4 532/15 532/18 532/19 532/25 533/10 533/11 533/12 533/19 533/22 534/2 534/10 534/12 534/14 534/16 535/4 535/7 535/11 537/22 562/5 566/11 566/18 566/21 567/17 567/18 567/23 572/2 572/22 574/23 575/2 575/7 576/12 578/2 608/20 609/7 613/2 620/2 628/21 629/23 630/8 630/15 632/4 632/22 Texas [6] 552/21 553/25 555/9 555/13 568/4 625/15 text [3] 549/10 549/23 585/10 texted [1] 548/4 than [37] 509/23 512/22 513/3 515/1 525/7 531/13 541/14 546/15 546/16 546/23 547/5 547/9 557/8 578/25 579/9 579/12 584/9 584/18 587/19 588/21 589/3 589/22 598/23 598/24 602/2 602/18 603/8 605/20 607/1 610/9 610/10 614/14 615/6 616/1 617/4 618/22 622/4 thank [55] 491/25 492/2 503/4 503/6 518/5 518/18 519/9 519/12 519/18 528/17 528/19 532/11 537/13 544/11 544/22 546/3 546/9 551/10 551/13 551/16 552/6 552/9 552/13 552/22 553/4 553/13 554/1 554/18 560/11 560/14 566/3 573/4 575/8 575/15 575/17 575/24 576/6 576/9 580/6 580/15 580/16 583/18 591/8 609/10 611/22 612/4 619/11 622/8 632/12 635/10 635/24 635/25 636/5 636/13 639/23 Thanks [1] 503/12 that [940] that's [56] 496/14 496/23 503/19 503/23 504/24 509/24 510/1 520/1 522/10 523/14 530/2 533/25 540/23 542/17 545/23 549/21 553/21 557/4 560/9 562/3 563/19 567/2 568/1 572/4 574/1 577/17 577/19 582/24 583/2 583/3 584/19 585/23 595/21 597/19 599/7 602/5 606/8 606/13 608/18 609/18

612/15 614/23 614/25 6998 6227 624 641 11 625/12 627/7 629/5 633/22 633/25 636/10 636/21 638/20 639/5 639/14 their [72] 492/18 506/17 510/25 520/22 523/12 527/17 531/2 531/24 533/16 533/20 544/2 544/8 550/11 551/2 563/21 564/11 568/16 569/21 569/25 570/1 570/1 570/1 570/2 570/2 577/12 580/25 584/18 592/7 592/7 593/8 594/24 595/9 595/10 595/15 595/21 596/9 596/17 596/24 598/3 598/10 598/18 599/5 601/6 601/21 602/18 603/21 604/3 605/2 605/21 605/21 606/13 607/16 607/19 609/16 610/6 611/1 611/2 617/19 623/11 623/17 623/21 623/23 624/7 624/18 624/21 625/2 626/5 628/18 629/1 630/5 638/12 639/9 them [66] 505/19 511/17 514/5 514/15 515/14 517/10 518/15 533/8 556/19 556/20 560/17 560/18 561/3 563/1 563/21 564/7 567/9 574/6 574/8 578/7 578/8 578/8 581/21 582/20 584/3 591/19 592/24 594/16 596/9 596/15 596/24 597/6 598/10 599/22 600/17 601/17 604/8 604/9 604/10 605/22 606/11 607/21 607/22 608/9 610/16 610/24 611/2 614/9 617/13 618/13 623/22 628/19 629/1 631/20 631/20 631/21 632/21 633/5 633/5 633/6 633/7 633/13 633/16 633/24 634/6 634/7 themselves [7] 527/19 542/5 572/24 605/10 607/8 607/15 641/13 then [58] 495/13 496/6 496/20 505/16 505/17 507/6 507/7 507/14 507/19 507/20 516/3 518/5 518/12 520/9 521/12 521/17 522/12 524/14 526/16 526/23 528/5 529/1 529/8 529/24 530/4 537/10 538/10 538/15 539/13 539/24 542/19 550/18 551/1 553/5 555/5 555/18 555/19 557/17 558/25 596/20 599/14 607/10 607/10 608/24

,608/24 614/13 616/4 616/12/616/18/99/7/37 623/25 631/2 634/5 636/6 637/15 637/23 637/25 638/16 theologian [1] 623/8 theological [8] 564/11 592/7 592/19 593/7 593/20 595/16 623/14 623/24 theologically [2] 564/5 564/20 theology [6] 591/14 592/8 609/15 624/3 629/22 630/13 theology-based [2] 624/3 629/22 theology-informed [1] 592/8 theory [4] 556/8 557/4 557/7 559/12 therapy [2] 627/21 628/6 there [82] 491/19 496/6 496/15 496/17 498/16 500/6 504/4 505/9 505/9 505/14 505/15 507/3 507/21 508/3 514/13 514/13 515/8 520/9 537/3 538/20 540/2 543/7 543/17 544/17 544/19 549/7 550/13 552/14 552/18 553/21 555/10 555/16 555/17 559/12 559/19 563/23 564/1 569/18 570/18 574/6 574/6 580/4 580/23 581/6 582/20 584/13 587/23 587/24 597/2 597/8 599/14 600/2 602/22 606/19 607/7 607/14 608/1 609/5 609/19 610/15 611/9 612/6 613/20 613/20 613/21 613/22 616/3 617/1 617/16 623/7 627/15 627/19 627/24 628/2 628/9 628/10 628/15 629/14 633/2 635/13 639/10 639/12 there's [52] 497/11 501/22 502/5 504/1 504/3 504/9 504/10 504/11 505/15 507/13 507/19 514/11 524/17 525/11 525/17 536/17 536/17 537/17 544/9 562/3 570/17 574/11 584/9 584/12 587/4 592/24 598/12 599/15 599/17 600/25 600/25 603/14 603/16 607/2 607/12 607/18 607/25 609/2 609/21 609/22 610/20 612/11 613/22 615/20 616/11 616/13 616/17 617/13 618/10 623/4 639/20 639/22 thereafter [4] 560/7 637/16 638/1 639/20 Therefore [1] 521/19

thereof [2] 593/4 596/8 these 70] 494/12 494/13 502/2 502/5 504/13 507/25 511/5 511/12 511/21 512/12 512/22 512/23 513/4 513/8 513/8 514/11 514/16 514/23 516/25 517/8 517/11 517/21 518/13 525/12 531/5 542/25 547/8 556/14 556/16 557/22 561/11 564/20 569/17 569/20 575/12 577/3 577/21 577/25 580/5 586/11 587/10 587/12 588/19 588/21 592/11 592/22 593/21 593/21 595/19 595/25 597/11 598/9 598/25 600/19 601/16 605/20 606/19 607/3 607/18 607/24 608/5 612/20 625/6 625/21 627/3 627/4 628/1 628/10 633/12 639/8 thesis [1] 559/6 they [147] 500/3 501/20 505/22 505/22 505/24 506/3 506/4 506/6 506/8 506/10 506/10 506/12 506/12 507/5 509/14 509/24 510/15 510/21 513/1 519/9 520/20 525/14 535/21 535/22 542/5 544/7 544/7 556/3 559/20 559/21 564/3 564/5 564/5 572/3 573/11 573/23 576/24 577/9 577/11 578/7 578/25 579/25 580/24 582/8 585/15 587/3 587/16 588/2 588/8 589/4 590/2 592/6 592/22 593/3 593/7 595/9 595/12 595/20 595/22 595/22 595/23 596/1 596/2 596/13 596/16 596/16 596/25 597/1 597/7 597/9 597/15 597/19 597/22 598/2 598/11 599/2 599/18 599/22 599/24 600/14 600/15 600/17 601/13 601/14 602/18 603/22 604/10 604/15 604/17 604/17 605/10 605/16 605/16 605/19 606/4 606/5 607/17 607/22 608/9 608/13 609/18 610/14 610/14 610/16 610/16 610/24 610/25 611/1 611/3 612/12 614/5 614/6 614/10 614/11 614/16 614/16 614/17 614/20 616/14 617/18 618/10 618/13 618/16 619/2 619/2 623/21 623/22 624/7 624/11 625/1 625/12 625/17 626/5 627/5 628/4 629/3 632/21

Case 6:21-c they... [10] 633/7 633/12 633/15 633/17 633/17 633/25 634/13 634/17 638/11 641/13 they'll [1] 596/3 they're [41] 504/8 505/21 510/16 512/8 524/20 535/21 551/24 587/22 587/22 588/9 588/20 588/24 589/12 589/16 592/3 596/19 596/20 596/20 597/2 597/18 598/18 600/16 600/17 600/18 601/2 605/6 605/14 605/23 610/2 611/20 613/23 614/13 616/2 616/5 616/7 616/7 626/4 628/12 629/7 633/24 they've [2] 511/16 579/9 thing [7] 507/2 564/12 571/10 595/11 612/9 626/25 635/13 things [23] 499/19 556/18 561/11 561/24 564/3 572/14 582/15 586/15 586/15 587/22 587/22 588/21 600/19 601/2 605/4 605/23 606/19 608/11 612/20 623/22 623/22 628/10 629/17 think [75] 491/11 503/14 505/2 537/20 555/16 558/14 561/10 562/2 565/22 574/15 574/23 575/14 577/17 578/3 582/9 582/10 585/17 588/14 590/2 590/5 590/15 592/24 594/6 594/21 594/22 596/2 596/11 597/13 599/12 599/15 599/17 600/23 601/12 603/2 603/17 605/1 605/2 605/16 606/8 606/12 606/25 608/25 609/2 609/13 609/19 610/3 610/14 610/20 610/23 612/12 612/15 612/16 618/12 621/24 622/23 623/7 624/11 625/1 625/9 625/20 627/7 628/5 629/5 633/12 633/17 633/22 633/24 634/13 634/23 634/24 634/25 635/5 635/6 639/2 639/8 thinking [2] 589/2 599/8 third [5] 521/5 556/6 558/10 607/6 608/3 thirds [2] 607/2 608/4 this [258] thorough [1] 541/11 thoroughly [1] 569/12 those [72] 493/5 499/23 500/1 501/8 501/11 501/18 502/13 504/7 505/4 506/15 506/21

506/23,506/23 511/24 /524/25 525/16 531/6 Cu 535/12 535/19 537/10 537/11 539/22 541/20 544/1 556/3 556/25 562/8 562/12 563/11 564/3 564/10 568/24 569/18 570/3 572/14 576/16 579/4 580/22 583/22 586/2 586/15 587/17 587/18 587/19 592/2 593/1 594/15 594/17 595/8 595/12 596/22 597/14 608/2 608/8 608/11 610/21 614/19 616/15 617/3 625/1 626/3 626/14 629/11 629/12 630/3 630/18 632/24 633/8 633/23 635/4 635/6 639/14 though [13] 515/18 558/22 582/11 585/8 604/1 606/20 611/13 613/25 614/6 618/7 624/19 625/25 629/6 thought [4] 515/8 545/5 545/14 598/24 thoughtful [1] 541/14 thousands [4] 546/18 546/23 547/1 609/21 threatened [1] 577/1 threatens [1] 533/7 three [14] 516/25 518/9 518/13 522/5 555/19 556/15 561/11 562/7 562/9 563/16 563/19 575/1 600/3 619/7 three-quarters [1] 619/7 through [12] 496/3 496/22 510/11 514/17 515/14 517/10 517/22 519/15 526/18 607/9 613/4 627/14 Thursday [2] 576/13 637/11 thwarted [1] 578/7 tick [1] 594/16 tie [1] 544/19 time [45] 491/1 500/5 507/23 508/25 510/3 510/6 510/22 510/23 514/25 517/7 521/8 523/24 533/19 546/10 546/11 546/22 547/4 549/7 567/5 568/11 571/14 574/15 576/23 590/3 598/20 599/23 602/19 603/5 604/16 607/18 607/24 608/6 608/18 609/2 610/20 611/24 614/15 617/9 626/5 633/15 634/5 635/24 639/10 639/18 639/24 timed [1] 603/4 timeline [2] 499/24 500/1 timeliness [5] 495/4 495/7 495/11 505/1 512/7 timely [2] 498/19 521/7

times [6] 561/6 581/4 1384/4 586/6 6 6 6/20 11 617/8 title [43] 492/20 506/16 506/17 508/5 516/15 519/24 521/5 521/12 522/8 523/1 523/7 523/12 524/20 524/21 524/25 525/4 525/6 525/25 528/21 531/2 531/3 531/7 531/18 531/22 533/16 533/20 534/23 534/24 535/1 535/17 537/16 538/12 541/17 542/17 542/21 544/2 548/21 549/16 550/17 550/18 551/2 551/6 555/11 today [19] 491/16 503/12 522/22 532/19 533/12 534/2 534/14 537/21 545/15 550/16 552/8 562/5 598/23 608/18 612/5 618/22 621/17 629/23 637/3 together [3] 557/7 561/11 616/15 told [2] 514/22 594/6 Tom [1] 606/3 tons [1] 612/20 too [5] 595/15 601/25 602/21 607/22 634/25 took [11] 547/4 552/6 553/23 560/5 563/5 563/7 571/17 579/5 585/23 586/19 587/1 top [1] 621/13 topic [3] 560/17 563/10 608/24 topics [1] 556/24 tossed [1] 599/10 total [2] 581/16 581/17 toward [3] 500/12 536/9 tweak [4] 595/13 540/6 towards [3] 520/14 521/17 593/8 track [1] 559/21 tradition [1] 592/3 traditional [9] 564/15 596/17 596/24 598/7 609/14 609/17 632/25 633/8 634/19 traditionally [1] 564/7 traditions [1] 595/16 training [4] 539/23 540/15 540/16 565/4 trans [2] 616/20 616/23 trans-spectrum [2] 616/20 616/23 transcript [11] 487/21 636/23 637/10 637/10 637/12 637/14 637/16 638/11 638/16 641/8 641/21 transfer [1] 599/25 transgender [11] 515/23 569/18 579/2 580/3 516/11 523/10 528/21 528/25 529/8 529/23 534/20 541/18 541/22 557/20 transitioning [3] 534/21 593/9 594/24

transitions [2] 557/19 557/19 Page 178 treat [1] 520/22 treated [1] 504/8 treatment [1] 627/21 tricky [1] 617/7 tried [4] 513/22 563/9 593/8 594/23 Trinity [1] 553/19 trite [1] 623/18 TRO [2] 508/24 508/25 trouble [3] 544/25 596/21 629/13 troubles [1] 586/1 true [10] 509/4 515/3 543/23 570/21 604/14 625/20 630/12 630/15 633/22 641/7 trusted [1] 605/19 try [1] 564/14 trying [17] 511/4 516/16 522/18 531/1 545/7 585/23 596/23 597/22 603/21 604/9 605/8 606/4 619/20 619/22 620/19 624/17 629/13 TUCKER [10] 489/4 491/13 519/7 544/20 551/21 552/3 575/5 635/23 636/4 639/4 tuition [4] 596/20 596/20 596/22 610/22 tuition-dependent [4] 596/20 596/20 596/22 610/22 turn [13] 492/17 504/16 504/17 504/20 519/12 523/15 536/22 547/23 547/25 552/13 553/6 597/8 621/19 turning [1] 622/9 turnover [1] 569/17 595/14 596/4 611/2 two [22] 500/11 518/16 560/19 561/21 569/17 570/11 570/12 573/11 575/1 583/22 584/16 587/18 600/2 607/2 608/4 611/6 626/15 637/1 637/12 637/16 637/25 639/14 two-minute [1] 518/16 two-thirds [2] 607/2 608/4 type [24] 498/22 563/20 563/21 563/24 564/13 580/5 582/19 584/17 589/17 589/22 591/24 593/21 594/21 597/22 599/5 600/9 600/13 604/3 604/8 605/7 605/15 609/23 610/11 633/18 types [6] 569/16 569/18 602/23 typically [11] 572/15 585/4 588/9 592/6 593/1 593/3 596/19 605/8 624/25 628/1 628/10

181 U-shaped [1] 607/12 U.S [3] 487/7 491/2 641/2 ultimate [3] 500/14 500/17 500/20 ultimately [2] 573/9 634/11 unaware [1] 574/18 unbelievable [3] 567/18 567/23 572/25 unclear [3] 570/5 570/5 596/10 uncontroversial [1] 592/12 under [17] 491/24 495/10 497/21 497/22 501/2 524/16 525/16 525/16 535/1 535/6 535/12 537/7 550/8 550/13 632/21 633/5 639/17 undergraduate [2] 561/5 594/7 underpinnings [1] 572/12 understand [19] 512/5 512/6 550/19 552/14 558/5 558/9 560/10 562/21 571/22 575/12 575/13 576/1 576/3 590/21 599/3 600/20 623/17 631/13 634/14 understanding [26] 496/24 497/2 510/8 528/6 532/25 549/21 550/16 550/23 550/25 551/5 551/9 563/18 564/15 584/21 591/16 592/1 592/9 595/20 596/17 599/4 600/12 605/3 611/4 623/24 624/6 625/17 understandings [6] 564/8 595/16 623/14 629/8 635/1 635/8 understands [3] 556/8 557/5 606/8 understood [5] 550/5 558/10 575/24 578/5 635/8 undertakes [1] 498/17 unheard [1] 570/25 unhindered [2] 624/3 629/23 Union [2] 541/5 541/6 unique [5] 561/9 577/2 578/5 587/2 612/8 uniquely [2] 611/20 623/12 UNITED [9] 487/1 487/23 489/22 558/20 585/17 599/25 609/21 622/24 641/19 universities [20] 487/11 489/8 563/21 578/5 578/9 580/3 582/23 587/12 587/14 589/23 595/12 595/25 597/2 605/20 609/22 609/23 614/21 623/10 624/7

Case 6:21-0 universities... [1] 630/17 Universities' [1] 572/13 university [56] 487/12 487/13 489/3 497/16 515/22 516/2 517/6 518/11 519/13 519/21 520/4 520/6 520/19 521/18 522/9 522/25 523/11 523/11 523/16 524/5 524/19 524/20 524/21 525/20 526/19 527/7 527/9 527/15 528/1 534/19 536/2 541/5 541/5 541/7 541/18 541/19 547/13 552/21 553/22 553/25 555/9 555/13 568/4 581/1 582/7 582/25 583/9 583/11 587/4 607/19 615/3 615/21 616/6 616/21 617/3 626/25 university's [7] 520/8 520/18 521/21 527/11 534/17 541/6 626/23 University-based [1] 582/7 unlawful [3] 534/22 535/1 535/5 unless [1] 528/25 unlike [1] 567/6 unnamed [1] 595/2 unrelated [1] 555/1 until [3] 500/4 501/15 574/18 untrue [1] 571/15 unwanted [2] 628/7 628/8 up [48] 491/23 492/24 498/21 504/16 506/24 509/22 516/25 517/4 522/7 542/11 557/13 557/15 566/3 569/20 569/22 570/1 570/4 573/2 575/8 582/2 584/21 589/2 589/4 595/10 596/14 607/10 608/4 609/12 611/2 611/6 612/5 613/9 613/13 615/18 620/12 620/16 621/8 621/20 621/21 622/10 623/25 625/13 626/24 627/11 629/14 631/2 639/10 639/20 uphold [1] 567/8 upon [3] 569/21 573/13 579/5 us [17] 491/12 515/21 517/17 521/20 523/24 536/9 541/13 555/8 566/10 568/25 569/13 576/15 582/6 586/25 590/21 622/1 638/19 use [20] 498/24 502/19 502/21 502/21 502/23 503/14 503/16 545/11 545/13 546/14 571/22 582/14 584/11 592/6 592/8 622/1 622/16

623/6 635/7 638/11 used [2] 516/23 575/22 uses [1] 492/10 using [1] 622/3 usually [1] 595/24 utterly [1] 592/12 value [3] 569/6 618/4 619/7 valued [2] 616/25 617/1 valuedness [1] 617/5 Vanderbilt [3] 587/4 588/25 589/2 variables [1] 571/23 varies [1] 604/18 variety [11] 512/8 561/2 563/5 567/8 570/9 577/12 579/25 582/9 582/14 587/13 627/16 various [4] 494/13 498/16 604/24 623/10 vary [1] 564/10 vast [1] 510/13 Velez [1] 493/10 ventured [1] 555/25 verifiable [1] 612/9 versus [2] 580/4 616/12 very [21] 501/13 502/17 502/18 504/4 508/6 517/13 529/2 540/19 543/8 547/6 552/6 552/7 552/13 567/11 571/14 592/22 608/17 609/7 616/2 618/19 639/7 via [2] 641/9 641/11 victimization [2] 582/13 584/10 video [6] 488/1 489/1 641/8 641/9 641/15 641/18 view [3] 514/15 591/23 624/8 views [2] 568/5 634/19 violated [1] 535/15 violating [1] 626/23 violation [2] 550/18 551/2 violations [3] 492/20 527/13 580/19 violence [2] 577/1 586/15 violence-related [1] 586/15 vision [2] 624/2 629/21 visual [1] 641/10 VOLUME [1] 487/20 vulnerability [1] 584/13 W-I-L-L-S [1] 492/4 wait [4] 528/10 528/10

W-I-L-L-S [1] 492/4 wait [4] 528/10 528/10 528/10 615/11 waiting [2] 517/23 638/16 waive [1] 495/6 waiver [9] 495/1 495/2 495/5 495/6 495/11

waiver [9] 495/1 495/2 495/5 495/6 495/11 495/14 495/16 498/20 512/7 waivers [1] 495/3 want [40], 508/15 1341/18564/21518/1611 528/4 547/23 547/25 551/23 551/25 558/4 581/12 598/21 599/18 600/17 602/20 604/15 605/22 606/5 606/5 607/22 608/9 608/16 613/5 613/9 617/14 618/9 619/2 620/20 625/19 626/24 629/18 632/25 633/8 633/25 636/16 637/14 637/24 638/8 638/10 638/13 wanted [5] 491/22 521/2 547/7 560/10 634/24 wants [1] 605/13 was [153] 493/10 493/22 494/2 496/8 496/10 496/17 496/18 497/14 497/20 497/21 497/25 500/6 500/9 500/10 501/16 505/25 507/9 508/25 510/4 510/5 510/22 510/23 511/1 511/3 511/4 511/12 511/14 511/19 511/23 511/24 511/25 513/15 515/11 515/24 516/1 516/12 518/20 521/6 521/9 521/9 522/11 522/11 522/15 523/7 523/21 525/24 526/4 526/15 526/16 527/10 527/15 530/2 530/11 532/15 532/24 533/19 534/10 535/5 535/11 535/14 537/22 541/19 542/2 544/17 544/18 546/13 549/3 549/7 551/2 553/19 553/20 555/16 556/6 557/4 557/12 557/14 558/8 558/12 558/13 558/14 558/24 559/12 559/12 559/15 559/19 559/20 560/8 561/10 563/8 563/16 565/21 565/22 568/11 568/12 568/17 569/12 569/14 569/18 570/12 571/2 571/7 571/10 571/12 573/8 574/18 574/18 575/15 576/12 580/23 581/6 582/9 582/10 582/18 582/18 582/19 582/19 584/22 584/25 585/7 585/9 585/9 585/13 585/19 586/5 587/2 587/6 587/7 587/13 588/3 588/14 590/5 590/6 594/8 599/8 599/10 599/12 602/2 605/1 610/7 615/10 618/22 619/22 620/22 620/25 621/12 622/2 631/12 631/13 634/19 634/24 635/2 636/18 637/6

Washington [5] 488/14

488/17 489/13 489/16

489/18 Washit [1] 510/94 179 way [11] 500/5 506/24 513/6 544/1 544/8 571/4 586/19 588/14 603/21 631/21 639/6 ways [5] 577/2 596/9 604/24 611/3 634/15 we [123] 491/15 491/17 491/18 498/2 498/17 498/18 498/22 498/24 498/24 499/16 499/17 500/2 500/4 500/10 501/11 502/21 502/21 503/14 504/5 504/7 504/13 505/3 506/5 506/8 511/21 512/2 512/8 514/19 514/20 515/13 517/17 517/22 518/2 518/2 518/5 520/12 520/13 520/14 521/3 525/17 525/17 526/11 526/17 527/11 531/5 532/21 533/5 534/16 534/18 540/7 540/8 540/9 541/11 541/12 543/15 543/15 545/14 545/14 547/7 547/8 549/2 550/7 552/4 552/6 554/6 554/19 556/8 558/23 560/19 564/7 564/17 569/23 570/7 574/21 574/23 574/24 575/6 575/17 579/3 580/7 583/12 583/20 585/4 585/13 586/2 586/10 590/22 591/3 592/24 593/17 595/13 595/14 595/14 596/4 599/14 608/21 609/1 609/24 610/6 610/6 613/12 613/13 613/22 614/22 614/24 616/3 616/12 629/14 629/18 629/19 636/16 636/17 636/18 638/10 638/12 639/2 639/10 639/17 639/18 639/18 639/19 639/22 639/25 we'd [2] 576/2 592/10 we'll [5] 491/24 552/13 586/2 620/16 639/16 we're [18] 491/17 509/2 510/13 517/22 518/9 522/6 526/7 529/6 551/25 581/6 581/14 581/16 584/3 601/8 615/19 627/13 637/8 638/15 we've [9] 503/10 506/22 514/12 530/24 534/18 575/2 581/22 590/25 593/20 weaker [1] 622/4 wearing [1] 641/11 wedding [1] 621/1 week [3] 577/23 578/2 608/19 weekend [1] 606/11

weeks [5] 500/11

503/11 637/13 637/16

638/1 Welgh [2] 536/13 575/14 weight [3] 575/11 575/21 609/8 welcome [1] 635/18 well [49] 504/21 509/22 516/11 517/21 528/3 530/25 532/4 532/22 545/1 545/3 548/20 554/1 564/2 565/4 566/16 568/8 568/25 569/25 572/22 574/21 578/22 579/16 580/1 580/23 583/20 584/20 587/23 589/12 590/6 591/14 592/3 592/18 595/7 599/24 601/8 609/10 614/11 614/22 615/15 625/13 629/14 630/8 631/8 632/3 634/18 636/11 638/20 638/24 639/24 well-being [1] 569/25 Wells [3] 537/13 537/21 542/15 went [3] 518/15 534/16 534/18 were [47] 499/11 499/16 499/17 500/3 505/4 508/3 510/12 510/20 510/21 517/1 526/1 531/1 545/5 549/7 555/17 558/5 559/22 561/18 563/6 563/14 569/1 569/18 569/21 570/8 578/5 579/6 580/19 580/22 581/7 581/17 585/14 585/15 589/21 593/17 594/6 597/15 605/8 614/9 617/11 618/24 625/17 625/22 626/20 630/18 634/18 636/17 636/18 weren't [2] 535/21 586/10 WESTERN [2] 487/12 489/3 what [142] 493/14 493/20 494/1 494/6 494/11 494/16 494/24 495/4 495/21 497/6 497/17 498/6 498/12 501/14 502/17 502/18 502/24 503/1 503/2 505/17 506/14 506/18 511/24 512/5 513/3 513/3 514/22 515/12 525/14 527/1 537/16 537/19 538/8 540/8 540/9 540/20 540/20 541/13 542/2 544/2 554/1 554/24 555/2 555/11 555/17 555/21 555/21 556/7 556/12 556/13 556/23 557/5 558/12 558/17 559/8 559/24 562/19 563/14 563/24 564/24 566/11 566/17 568/25 569/4 569/13 570/17 571/19

W Case 6:21 what... [75] 573/8 573/8 576/15 579/3 579/24 580/22 582/2 582/6 582/8 582/12 583/20 583/22 583/25 584/20 585/2 585/24 585/24 586/18 586/22 587/1 591/22 591/23 592/1 592/10 593/6 593/21 594/15 595/7 595/23 596/4 596/23 597/21 597/22 598/20 599/2 599/18 601/14 603/8 603/12 604/2 604/12 606/4 606/5 606/14 607/22 608/9 608/21 608/21 609/7 609/13 609/13 609/18 610/11 612/18 613/14 616/11 618/12 621/7 621/13 622/17 623/9 628/2 628/4 630/12 630/14 631/13 632/24 633/10 633/17 633/17 634/11 635/2 635/7 637/3 639/24 what's [5] 544/8 563/18 579/17 595/15 599/3 whatever [2] 499/3 501/12 when [41] 495/9 496/8 500/9 501/18 501/20 501/25 504/10 504/21 512/2 513/22 523/24 537/2 537/10 538/18 538/20 541/3 541/16 546/14 548/20 555/15 559/22 561/15 562/9 563/24 564/13 569/22 570/7 585/13 588/24 596/13 605/25 608/3 614/11 618/8 618/22 618/24 622/10 628/11 636/24 638/10 641/12 where [30] 497/12 497/23 503/20 503/22 503/23 504/16 504/16 504/19 531/12 531/22 532/5 532/8 537/16 539/4 540/12 540/13 543/15 549/3 553/25 555/8 556/6 569/18 595/24 598/6 598/6 598/6 607/7 621/14 623/19 628/6 wherein [1] 498/14 whether [63] 494/25 495/13 498/15 498/18 498/19 498/20 498/21 498/22 499/23 500/14 500/17 500/20 502/1 504/18 505/2 505/7 505/18 505/23 505/25 506/15 508/18 513/1 514/20 516/13 516/16 530/4 532/12 533/8 533/14 533/16 533/20 534/7 534/21 535/7 538/25 539/6 539/19 540/10 541/5 541/6

541/20.542/22.543/17 544/2.563/20.580/18 Cu Willely 13 6 67/5 189/5 1 595/20 605/6 605/23 605/25 609/14 610/24 610/25 611/1 613/23 613/23 617/17 617/17 617/24 618/13 632/19 633/7 637/11 which [67] 494/12 497/13 497/23 498/3 498/20 498/24 499/24 506/7 506/25 508/16 509/3 510/13 513/5 516/9 516/17 519/13 520/15 524/21 525/4 525/18 525/22 530/25 531/1 531/9 531/17 533/6 534/17 534/18 536/19 538/16 539/23 540/25 547/23 549/17 553/20 555/23 560/14 563/8 564/21 567/24 568/10 569/16 570/25 579/1 580/19 581/18 584/11 585/9 587/6 588/3 588/4 588/10 588/21 589/23 593/21 598/25 610/7 611/3 612/25 613/1 613/7 614/18 616/1 616/19 622/3 623/21 635/4 while [10] 517/22 577/3 577/4 577/9 605/14 607/21 608/13 610/17 614/9 620/11 who [74] 493/9 493/10 494/18 500/14 500/20 515/24 527/7 527/17 528/2 531/1 535/20 535/25 540/16 540/24 543/24 557/13 557/14 559/22 569/19 569/21 570/3 570/4 570/7 571/2 571/3 572/14 572/15 574/6 577/16 581/7 581/17 584/5 593/6 593/18 596/22 597/8 597/15 597/18 597/18 597/19 598/15 599/5 599/8 599/12 599/17 600/9 601/5 603/12 603/20 604/15 605/8 607/8 607/11 607/15 608/6 609/15 609/25 610/15 614/17 616/15 618/9 619/15 623/5 624/8 626/22 632/25 633/3 633/4 633/8 633/18 634/24 637/1 637/3 637/11 who's [5] 558/15 564/2 564/3 571/4 605/12 whole [4] 510/3 560/16 569/23 625/9 whom [3] 612/17 633/4 633/5 whose [2] 550/11 559/8 why [10] 497/20 501/10 501/21 502/4 505/17 590/22 600/12 621/24 623/4 623/11 wide [3] 585/19 623/4

607/8 607/13 607/15 Wonder [1] 758924180 568/2 585/13 620/7 wondering [1] 516/13 621/16 629/6 635/8 word [3] 546/14 599/9 wider [1] 606/21 621/23 will [48] 491/12 501/3 wordings [1] 617/8 501/8 501/14 501/18 words [3] 568/24 622/1 501/20 501/24 501/25 622/21 502/1 502/17 502/18 work [8] 511/21 555/8 504/11 506/12 506/12 555/9 568/14 573/20 508/22 519/9 521/25 607/6 636/19 637/17 worked [1] 545/22 539/9 541/9 541/10 541/11 541/14 543/3 working [1] 629/14 548/19 569/7 574/24 works [2] 597/11 575/9 575/10 575/10 597/13 575/19 575/20 575/22 world [4] 600/2 605/18 580/15 583/17 595/19 624/8 624/13 595/22 595/23 595/25 worse [5] 570/6 579/10 597/3 609/5 610/23 584/17 586/8 589/3 629/11 631/17 639/12 worthy [2] 567/19 639/17 639/18 639/19 572/25 would [146] 491/23 639/25 WILLIAM [3] 487/12 498/21 498/23 499/20 489/3 538/11 501/14 503/16 505/4 505/22 505/23 506/3 willingly [1] 610/1 Willis [1] 491/19 506/8 507/7 507/7 Wills [43] 491/21 507/9 508/9 508/10 491/22 491/22 492/9 512/10 512/12 512/18 503/10 508/13 509/12 512/23 513/9 514/2 513/16 514/2 515/12 515/6 516/18 517/11 515/21 516/6 516/11 517/12 518/6 520/23 517/7 519/12 519/17 526/6 526/21 527/11 522/5 522/21 523/24 530/12 530/18 533/16 524/23 525/8 528/9 533/21 534/22 534/24 528/13 528/15 528/19 534/25 535/5 535/13 529/14 530/24 531/9 535/25 536/2 536/8 531/17 532/11 532/12 536/9 536/13 536/21 540/23 544/7 546/21 535/17 536/16 537/3 547/3 549/18 550/4 538/2 541/3 542/7 543/9 544/11 545/25 550/14 551/6 552/16 546/4 548/10 552/6 552/19 552/22 554/19 wish [3] 606/11 609/18 559/18 561/21 564/21 628/4 566/1 566/2 571/10 574/8 575/17 578/7 withdrawn [1] 560/8 within [10] 495/8 499/24 580/7 583/12 585/10 521/20 536/3 538/25 585/20 586/6 586/7 593/13 595/12 596/13 586/7 586/12 586/17 625/5 629/6 591/3 591/21 591/22 without [7] 525/13 591/22 593/6 594/23 595/8 595/10 595/11 563/1 564/24 568/9 574/5 577/17 641/21 595/12 596/11 596/15 witness [22] 490/2 596/16 596/23 597/1 491/18 517/16 522/1 597/5 597/7 597/8 534/18 551/12 551/16 597/14 597/17 597/19 552/1 552/4 552/14 597/22 598/15 604/2 552/17 553/1 554/25 604/7 604/8 604/10 562/7 562/9 563/17 604/14 604/17 605/8 566/7 575/23 611/24 605/14 607/1 607/5 635/11 635/16 635/18 608/9 608/22 608/25 witnesses [4] 552/10 612/12 614/18 616/1 552/11 556/19 636/1 617/5 618/5 618/19 Wolff [10] 562/10 589/7 624/20 624/25 625/1 589/11 589/19 590/6 625/3 625/13 625/25 590/10 590/14 590/23 626/5 626/9 626/11 626/17 627/1 627/2 590/25 622/2 Wolff's [1] 590/18 630/16 632/24 633/2 woman [2] 531/1 633/10 633/10 633/23 591/20 633/23 634/21 635/5 women [13] 527/7 635/6 636/24 637/13 527/9 539/17 540/14 637/19 637/25 638/2 564/9 592/11 606/24 638/17 606/24 607/1 607/2 wouldn't [2] 504/25

602/20 Wrap [4] 611/6 write [1] 547/17 writers [1] 635/6 writing [2] 547/18 623/8 written [11] 508/20 509/18 547/11 547/13 549/11 556/10 557/15 559/6 561/19 601/9 639/25 wrong [2] 510/25 570/17 wrote [3] 556/1 556/3 558/24

Yarhouse [5] 601/8 601/12 602/4 602/20 603/8 Yarhouse's [1] 602/8 yeah [46] 513/22 536/23 536/24 542/13 544/7 545/13 557/4 558/13 559/14 559/17 562/2 562/17 563/9 564/17 566/16 568/17 569/12 578/3 578/3 578/16 579/15 582/18 584/19 586/11 586/24 587/13 587/13 590/21 597/24 599/7 600/8 600/14 604/10 613/10 613/19 614/12 615/18 616/24 617/16 618/1 618/23 621/7 621/12 627/1 627/7 639/3 year [10] 510/6 526/1 546/13 546/18 547/1 553/23 553/24 557/15 576/23 599/13 years [13] 555/10 555/19 558/18 561/3 561/6 561/9 564/22 569/20 569/22 570/1 571/4 574/12 610/3 Yep [2] 621/3 637/23 yes [139] 492/12 492/16 492/21 493/3 493/13 493/19 493/25 494/5 494/10 494/15 494/22 495/15 495/19 496/1 496/17 497/5 497/14 497/21 501/2 503/19 506/12 507/17 508/2 508/11 510/1 511/14 512/2 514/17 515/25 516/5 519/2 519/22 520/1 520/11 521/1 521/11 522/10 522/22 523/5 523/9 526/22 527/14 529/5 532/18 533/13 533/19 533/25 534/5 534/10 534/13 535/5 536/8 537/5 540/6 542/17 543/3 543/11 543/13 543/23 544/20 545/20 546/5 546/20 547/6 547/8 548/2 548/11 548/17 549/6 549/13 550/9 550/13 551/4 551/9 552/16 552/20

Y C250 6:21 c	. 00474 4 4	Danis and 146	File of 4.40.4/04	Dave 101 - £ 101	
yes [63] 553/8 553/19	V-UU474-AA	Document 146	Filed 11/24/21	Page 181 of 181	
554/9 554/15 555/14 557/23 558/2 561/17					
562/7 562/13 563/3 565/2 565/21 567/2					
574/3 574/9 576/8 576/14 577/20 578/14					
582/1 582/17 583/5					
584/23 585/19 586/24 590/13 591/2 591/18					
593/25 594/13 594/17 594/19 598/9 600/10					
600/10 601/9 602/7					
605/11 605/16 611/11 613/3 614/8 615/5					
616/17 620/24 621/12 621/18 624/5 627/17					
627/23 629/25 632/14 632/23 633/22 635/11					
635/20 635/21 635/23					
637/18 637/21 638/4 639/4					
yes/no [1] 616/17 yesterday [1] 516/22					
yet [7] 513/5 564/24					
570/15 589/25 598/13 601/23 611/2					
yields [1] 617/3 you [546]					
you'd [2] 505/1 527/12 you'll [2] 595/18 595/18					
you're [31] 491/23					
513/19 540/13 542/7 542/7 544/15 544/15					
545/1 545/9 546/1 546/1 549/10 552/8					
561/25 564/14 565/23 570/14 573/24 586/18					
593/17 599/1 606/1					
627/19 628/6 629/10 630/12 633/5 634/4					
635/18 635/24 639/1 you've [20] 521/15					
522/23 523/25 529/14 537/2 537/10 537/15					
538/18 555/21 557/25					
560/24 583/22 591/23 593/24 594/14 594/15					
614/17 622/10 634/22 637/12					
young [16] 497/16 498/5 529/18 556/3					
556/4 556/6 572/16					
578/24 585/14 585/17 594/18 607/13 611/10					
611/14 612/7 612/11 younger [1] 607/8					
youngest [3] 576/17 576/22 577/8					
your [219]					
yourselves [2] 491/4 544/18					
youth [3] 572/8 572/16 611/13					